



World Justice Project RULE OF LAW INDEX®

The World Justice Project Rule of Law Index[®] 2023

The World Justice Project

The World Justice Project (WJP) Rule of Law Index® 2023 report was prepared by the World Justice Project. The Index's conceptual framework and methodology were developed by Juan Carlos Botero, Mark David Agrast, and Alejandro Ponce. Data collection and analysis for the 2023 report was performed by Erin Campbell, James Davis, Alicia Evangelides, Joshua Fuller, Natalia Jardon, Lauren Littlejohn, Gustavo Núñez Peralta, Alejandro Ponce, Hannah Rigazzi, Natalia Rodríguez Cajamarca, Victoria Thomaides, Carlos Toruño Paniagua, Santiago Pardo, and Moss Woodbury, with the assistance of Said Aarji, Lloyd Cleary, John Cullen, Allyse Feitzinger, Skye Jacobs, and Helen Souki Reyes.

The graphic design team for this report included Enrique Paulin, Irene Heras, Mariana Lopez, and Raquel Medina.

Pitch Interactive served as lead website designer, with assistance from Irene Heras, Natalia Jardon, Mariana Lopez, Raquel Medina, and Enrique Paulin,

ISBN (print version): 978-0-615-40781-4 ISBN (digital version): 978-0-615-51219-8 Board of Directors: Sheikha Abdulla Al-Misnad; Kamel Ayadi; Adam Bodnar; Michael Chu; William C. Hubbard; Hassan Bubacar Jallow; Suet-Fern Lee; Mondli Makhanya; M. Margaret McKeown; John Nery; William H. Neukom; Ellen Gracie Northfleet; and James R. Silkenat.

Directors Emeritus: Ashraf Ghani Ahmadzai, Emil Constantinescu, and Petar Stoyanov

Officers: William C. Hubbard, Co-Founder and Chairman of the Board; William H. Neukom, Co-Founder and CEO; Mark D. Agrast, Vice President; Deborah Enix-Ross, Vice President; Judy Perry Martinez, Vice President; Nancy Ward, Vice President; James R. Silkenat, Director and Treasurer; and Gerold W. Libby, General Counsel and Secretary.

Executive Director: Elizabeth Andersen

Chief Research Officer: Alejandro Ponce

The WJP Rule of Law Index 2023 report was made possible by the generous supporters of the work of the World Justice Project listed in this report on page **217**.

© Copyright 2023 by the World Justice Project. The WJP Rule of Law Index and the World Justice Project Rule of Law Index are trademarks of the World Justice Project. All rights reserved. Requests to reproduce this document should be sent to:

WJP Rule of Law Index Permissions World Justice Project 1025 Vermont Avenue, N.W., Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20005 U.S.A.

E-mail: wjp@worldjusticeproject.org Subject line: WJP Rule of Law Index Permissions



World Justice Project RULE OF LAW INDEX®





Table of Contents

01

About the WJP Rule of Law Index

- 8 Foreword
- 10 Overview of Scores and Rankings
- 12 Features of the WJP Rule of Law Index
- 13 Defining the Rule of Law
- 14 The Four Universal Principles of the Rule of Law
- 15 Conceptual Framework of the WJP Rule of Law Index
- 16 Indicators of the WJP Rule of Law Index

02

Scores and Rankings

- 22 Rule of Law Around the World
- 24 Rule of Law by Region
- 26 Rule of Law by Income
- 28 Rule of Law by Factor

03

Country Profiles

- 38 How to Read the Country Profiles
- **39** Country Profiles



Behind the Numbers

- 182 Methodology Snapshot
- 183 Methodology
- **190** Contributing Experts
- 215 Acknowledgements
- 216 About the WJP
- 218 More From WJP

SECTION 1

About the WJP Rule of Law Index

"Laws of justice which Hammurabi, the wise king, established... That the strong might not injure the weak, in order to protect the widows and orphans..., in order to declare justice in the land, to settle all disputes, and heal all injuries." **Code of Hammurabi (18th Century BCE)**



- 8 Foreword
- 10 Overview of Scores and Rankings
- 12 Features of the WJP Rule of Law Index
- **13** Defining the Rule of Law
- 14 The Four Universal Principles of the Rule of Law
- 15 Conceptual Framework of the WJP Rule of Law Index
- 16 Indicators of the WJP Rule of Law Index

Foreword

8

The rule of law is internationally recognized as a foundational element in guaranteeing peace, justice, human rights, effective democracy, and sustainable development. Around the world, however, the rule of law continues to weaken. This 2023 edition of the *World Justice Project* (*WJP*) *Rule of Law Index* shows that over 6 billion people now live in countries where the rule of law is declining. Amid widespread institutional stagnation, a majority of countries continue to experience rule of law backsliding characterized by executive overreach, diminished human rights, and justice systems that are failing to meet people's needs.

In the face of these challenges, there is a need for a shared understanding of the landscape we face and a systematic, robust, and actionable assessment of adherence to the rule of law around the world. To this end, the World Justice Project is pleased to present the latest edition of the *WJP Rule of Law Index* so that a wide variety of stakeholders can identify rule of law strengths, weaknesses, progress, and setbacks across 142 countries and jurisdictions. The Index offers original, independent data organized into eight factors that encompass the concept of the rule of law: Constraints on Government Powers, Absence of Corruption, Open Government, Fundamental Rights, Order and Security, Regulatory Enforcement, Civil Justice, and Criminal Justice. Index factor scores reflect the perspectives and experiences of more than 149,000 everyday people and 3,400 legal experts around the world, and they are backed by a rigorous process of validation and analysis.

In 2023, overall rule of law has declined in a majority of countries yet again. The authoritarian trends that first spurred the global rule of law recession in 2016 persist in every region of the world today. However, these declines are less widespread and extreme for the second year in a row. Some countries have managed to reverse authoritarian trends, while others have made sustained progress in justice, anti-corruption, and human rights. The Index data proves that progress is possible.

There is still much work to be done to advance the rule of law worldwide and to prevent the arbitrary exercise of power. As a global leader, the World Justice Project reaffirms its commitment to work hand in hand with governments, policy makers, political actors, the private sector, civil society organizations, the media, donors, and academia to support reform efforts aimed at advancing the rule of law. This edition of the *WJP Rule of Law Index* is a small but important step in this direction.

Dr. Alejandro Ponce

Chief Research Officer World Justice Project

"Over 6 billion people now

live in countries where the rule of law is declining.... Index data [also] proves that progress is possible."



Overview of Overall Scores and Rankings

The table below shows the overall scores and rankings of the *WJP Rule of Law Index 2023* in alphabetical order. Scores range from 0 to 1, with 1 indicating the strongest adherence to the rule of law.

	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	% Change in Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank [†]		Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	% Change in Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank†
@	Afghanistan	0.32	-4.0%	140	0		Dominica	0.58	0.1%	53	1 🔺
	Albania	0.48	-0.7%	91	2 🔻		Dominican Republic	0.49	1.0%	86	8 🔺
e	Algeria	0.49	0.6%	84	7 🔺	ğ	Ecuador	0.47	-2.1%	96	1 💌
Q	Angola	0.43	-0.3%	115	0	应	Egypt, Arab Rep.	0.35	-1.2%	136	1 🔺
*	Antigua and Barbuda	0.63	0.6%	38	2 🔺	Ü	El Salvador	0.45	-2.5%	108	4 🔻
•	Argentina	0.55	-0.5%	63	2 🔻		Estonia	0.82	0.0%	9	0
*	Australia	0.80	0.5%	13	0	- (<u>*</u>	Ethiopia	0.38	-3.1%	129	4 🔻
	Austria	0.80	-0.3%	11	0	-	Finland	0.87	0.4%	3	0
	The Bahamas	0.59	-2.0%	50	2 🔻		France	0.73	-0.4%	21	0
	Bangladesh	0.38	-1.5%	127	2 🔺		Gabon	0.39	0.3%	124	4 🔺
Ψ	Barbados	0.66	0.0%	35	1 💌		The Gambia	0.49	0.1%	85	3 🔺
	Belarus	0.45	-1.9%	104	3 🔻	+ +	Georgia	0.60	0.3%	48	1 🔺
	Belgium	0.78	-1.0%	16	2 🔻		Germany	0.83	0.0%	5	1 🔺
۲	Belize	0.49	0.9%	80	7 🔺	*	Ghana	0.55	-0.5%	61	1 💌
	Benin	0.48	-0.6%	90	0		Greece	0.61	-1.4%	47	3 🔻
Ŏ	Bolivia	0.37	-0.5%	131	1 🔺		Grenada	0.60	1.4%	49	1 🔺
- ANA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.51	-1.2%	75	3 🔻	(J)	Guatemala Guinea	0.44 0.41	-0.3% 0.8%	111 118	1 ▲ 1 ▲
	Botswana	0.59	0.0%	51	0		Guyana	0.50	0.2%	76	1 🔺
	Brazil	0.49	-0.9%	83	0	m	Haiti	0.34	-3.5%	139	1 🔻
	Bulgaria	0.56	1.7%	59	3 🔺	1.1	Honduras	0.41	1.6%	119	4 🔺
*	Burkina Faso	0.47	-3.7%	95	11 🔻	**	Hong Kong SAR, China		-0.2%	23	1 🔻
ANA	Cambodia	0.31	-0.1%	141	0		Hungary	0.51	-0.2%	73	2 🔺
*	Cameroon	0.35	-0.3%	134	2 🔺	۲	India	0.49	-0.7%	79	0
÷	Canada	0.80	0.0%	12	0		Indonesia	0.53	0.2%	66	0
*	Chile	0.66	-0.1%	33	0	٩	Iran, Islamic Rep.	0.39	-5.0%	126	5 🔻
*)	China	0.47	-0.9%	97	0		Ireland	0.81	0.3%	10	0
	Colombia	0.48	-0.4%	94	1 💌		Italy	0.67	0.0%	32	0
*	Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.34	0.8%	138	1 🔺	$\mathbf{\times}$	Jamaica	0.57	-1.1%	54	1 🔻
	Congo, Rep.	0.40	-1.2%	122	0		Japan	0.79	0.0%	14	2 🔺
	Costa Rica	0.68	0.0%	29	0		Jordan	0.55	1.4%	62	1 🔺
	Côte d'Ivoire	0.45	0.7%	106	4 🔺	۰	Kazakhstan	0.53	1.0%	65	2 🔺
	Croatia	0.61	0.3%	45	2 🔺		Kenya	0.46	1.6%	101	5 🔺
۲	Cyprus	0.68	-0.9%	31	3 🔻	(•)	Korea, Rep.	0.74	0.5%	19	0
	Czechia	0.73	0.1%	20	0		Kosovo	0.56	0.4%	58	1 🔺
	Denmark	0.90	-0.3%	1	0		Kuwait	0.58	-	52	-

* Scores are rounded to two decimal places and percentage changes in score are rounded to one decimal place.

† The change in rankings was calculated by comparing the positions of the 140 countries and jurisdictions measured in the 2022 Index with the rankings of the same 140 countries and jurisdictions in 2023, exclusive of the two new additions to the 2023 Index, Kuwait and Montenegro.

		Overall	% Change in Overall		Change in Global			Overall	% Change in Overall	Gloł
	Country/Jurisdiction	Score*	Score*	Rank	Rank [†]		Country/Jurisdiction	Score*	Score*	Ran
	Kyrgyz Republic	0.45	-1.4%	103	1 🔻		Romania	0.63	-0.4%	40
	Latvia	0.73	0.7%	22	2 🔺		Russian Federation	0.44	-2.2%	113
	Lebanon	0.45	0.0%	107	1 🔺	•	Rwanda	0.63	0.1%	41
	Liberia	0.44	0.8%	112	2 🔺	*	Senegal	0.55	-1.3%	60
	Lithuania	0.77	0.4%	18	0	Ŵ	Serbia	0.48	-1.6%	93
	Luxembourg	0.83	0.8%	6	2 🔺		Sierra Leone	0.44	-2.1%	110
	Madagascar	0.43	-1.4%	114	1 💌	¢	Singapore	0.78	-0.1%	17
	Malawi	0.52	-0.5%	69	1 🔻		Slovak Republic	0.66	0.3%	34
	Malaysia	0.57	0.8%	55	1 🔺	8	Slovenia	0.69	1.6%	27
	Mali	0.40	-5.3%	121	5 💌		South Africa	0.57	-1.2%	56
	Malta	0.68	0.1%	30	0	<u>.</u>	Spain	0.72	-0.8%	24
	Mauritania	0.36	-1.4%	133	0		Sri Lanka	0.50	-0.5%	77
	Mauritius	0.61	-0.4%	46	1 🔻	XX	St. Kitts and Nevis	0.63	0.1%	39
	Mexico	0.42	-1.3%	116	1 🔺		St. Lucia	0.62	0.4%	43
	Moldova	0.53	1.3%	68	2 🔺	•	St. Vincent and the	0.63	-0.8%	42
	Mongolia	0.53	-0.6%	64	0		Grenadines		7 404	400
	Montenegro	0.56	-	57	-		Sudan	0.36	-7.4%	132
	Morocco	0.48	0.9%	92	4 🔺	*	Suriname	0.49	-0.9%	81
	Mozambique	0.38	-3.0%	128	4 🔻		Sweden	0.85	-0.4%	4
	Myanmar	0.35	-3.7%	135	1 🔻		Tanzania	0.47	0.9%	98
	Namibia	0.61	0.5%	44	2 🔺		Thailand	0.49	-1.0%	82
	Nepal	0.52	-0.9%	71	0	*	Тодо	0.45	-1.1%	102
	Netherlands	0.83	-0.3%	7	2 🔻		Trinidad and Tobago	0.52	-0.8%	70
	New Zealand	0.83	-0.2%	8	1 💌	0	Tunisia	0.52	-0.6%	72
	Nicaragua	0.35	-4.4%	137	2 🔻	C*	Türkiye	0.41	-0.7%	117
	Niger	0.44	-0.6%	109	2 🔺	G	Uganda	0.39	0.0%	125
	Nigeria	0.41	0.8%	120	0		Ukraine	0.49	-2.9%	89
	North Macedonia	0.53	-0.9%	67	2 🔻		United Arab Emirates	0.64	0.2%	37
	Norway	0.89	0.3%	2	0		United Kingdom	0.78	-0.4%	15
	Pakistan	0.38	-2.3%	130	1 🔺		United States	0.70	-0.6%	26
	Panama	0.51	-0.5%	74	0	*	Uruguay	0.72	0.4%	25
	Paraguay	0.46	-1.2%	99	1 💌	C	Uzbekistan	0.50	0.1%	78
	Peru	0.49	0.2%	88	4 🔺	100	Venezuela, RB	0.26	0.9%	142
	Philippines	0.46	-1.5%	100	1 🔻	*	Vietnam	0.49	-0.6%	87
	Poland	0.64	-0.6%	36	0	Ĭ	Zambia	0.45	0.0%	105
	Portugal	0.68	-0.9%	28	1 🔻		Zimbabwe	0.40	1.3%	123
_	-									

* Scores are rounded to two decimal places and percentage changes in score are rounded to one decimal place.

† The change in rankings was calculated by comparing the positions of the 140 countries and jurisdictions measured in the 2022 Index with the rankings of the same 140 countries and jurisdictions in 2023, exclusive of the two new additions to the 2023 Index, Kuwait and Montenegro.

Features of the WJP Rule of Law Index

The World Justice Project (WJP) developed the WJP Rule of Law Index to serve as a quantitative tool that measures the rule of law in practice. The Index's methodology and comprehensive definition of the rule of law are the products of intensive consultation and vetting with academics, practitioners, and community leaders from more than 100 countries and jurisdictions and 17 professional disciplines.

The scores and rankings of the eight factors and 44 subfactors of the Index draw from two sources of data collected by the WJP:

- 1. A General Population Poll (GPP) conducted by leading local polling companies, using a representative sample of 1,000¹ respondents in each country and jurisdiction.
- 2. Qualified Respondents' Questionnaires (QRQs) consisting of closed-ended questions completed by in-country legal practitioners, experts, and academics with expertise in civil and commercial law; constitutional law, civil liberties, and criminal law; labor law; and public health.²

Taken together, these two data sources provide current, original information reflecting the experiences and perceptions of the general public and in-country legal practitioners and experts in 142 countries and jurisdictions worldwide.

Rule of Law in Practice

The Index measures adherence to the rule of law by looking at policy outcomes, such as whether people have access to courts or whether crime is effectively controlled. This stands in contrast to efforts that focus on the written legal code, or the institutional means by which a society may seek to achieve these policy outcomes.

Comprehensive and Multi-Dimensional

While other indices cover particular aspects of the rule of law, such as absence of corruption or human rights, they do not yield a full picture of the state of the rule of law. The *WJP Rule of Law Index* is the only global instrument that looks at the rule of law comprehensively.

Perspective of Ordinary People

The WJP Rule of Law Index puts people at its core. It looks at a country's adherence to the rule of law from the perspective of ordinary individuals and their experiences with the rule of law in their societies. The Index examines practical, everyday situations, such as whether people can access public services and whether a dispute among neighbors can be resolved peacefully and cost-effectively by an independent adjudicator.

New Data Anchored in Actual Experiences

The Index is the only comprehensive set of indicators on the rule of law that is based on primary data. Index scores are built from the assessments of residents (generally 1,000 respondents per country or jurisdiction) and local legal practitioners and experts, which ensure that the findings reflect the conditions experienced by actual people, including residents from marginalized sectors of society.

Culturally Competent

The Index has been designed to be applied in countries and jurisdictions with vastly different social, cultural, economic, and political systems. No society has ever attained—let alone sustained—a perfect realization of the rule of law. Every country faces the perpetual challenge of building and renewing the structures, institutions, and norms that can support and sustain a rule of law culture.

Country-Specific Data and Online Tools

In addition to this written report, an interactive online platform for country-specific *WJP Rule of Law Index* data is available at: worldjusticeproject.org. The interactive data site invites viewers to browse each of the 142 country and jurisdiction profiles and explore overall and factor scores.



Scan the QR code or visit worldjusticeproject.org/index to view our interactive data portal.

1. Due to small populations or obstacles to data collection in certain countries and jurisdictions, the sampling plan was adjusted in some cases. For more information on specific countries and jurisdictions and sample sizes, see pages 186-189.

2. Please see the "Methodology" section on page 180 of this report for more detailed information regarding data collection and score computation.

Defining the Rule of Law

Effective rule of law reduces corruption, combats poverty and disease, and protects people from injustices large and small. It is the foundation for communities of justice, opportunity, and peace—underpinning development, accountable government, and respect for fundamental rights. Traditionally, the rule of law has been viewed as the domain of lawyers and judges. However, everyday issues of safety, rights, justice, and governance affect us all; everyone is a stakeholder in the rule of law.

Despite its profound importance for fair and functioning societies, the rule of law is notoriously difficult to define and measure. A simple way of approaching it is to examine a set of outcomes that the rule of law brings to societies, each of which reflects one aspect of the complex concept of the rule of law. The *WJP Rule of Law Index* seeks to embody these outcomes within a simple and coherent framework.

The WJP Rule of Law Index captures adherence to the rule of law as defined by the WJP's universal principles (see following page) through a comprehensive and multi-dimensional set of outcome indicators, each of which reflects a particular aspect of this complex concept. The theoretical framework linking these outcome indicators draws upon two main principles pertaining to the relationship between the state and the governed.

The first principle measures whether the law imposes limits on the exercise of power by the state and its agents, as well as individuals and private entities. This is measured in Factors One, Two, Three, and Four of the Index. The second principle measures whether the state limits the actions of members of society and fulfills its basic duties towards its population so that the public interest is served, people are protected from violence, and all members of society have access to dispute settlement and grievance mechanisms. This is measured in Factors Five, Six, Seven, and Eight of the Index. Although broad in scope, this framework assumes very little about the functions of the state, and when it does, it incorporates functions that are recognized by practically all societies, such as the provision of justice or the guarantee of order and security.

The resulting set of indicators is also an effort to strike a balance between what scholars call a "thin" or minimalist conception of the rule of law that focuses on formal, procedural rules, and a "thick" conception that includes substantive characteristics, such as self-governance and various fundamental rights and freedoms. Striking this balance between "thin" and "thick" conceptions of the rule of law enables the Index to apply to different types of social and political systems, including those that lack many of the features that characterize democratic nations, while including sufficient substantive characteristics to render the rule of law as more than a system of rules. The Index recognizes that a system of law that fails to respect core human rights guaranteed under international law is at best "rule by law" and does not deserve to be called a rule of law system.

The rule of law affects all of us in our everyday lives. Although we may not be aware of it, the rule of law is profoundly important—and not just for lawyers or judges. Every sector of society is a stakeholder in the rule of law. Below are a few examples:

Business Environment

Imagine an investor seeking to commit resources abroad. She would probably think twice before investing in a country where corruption is rampant, property rights are ill-defined, and contracts are difficult to enforce. Uneven enforcement of regulations, corruption, insecure property rights, and ineffective means to settle disputes undermine legitimate business and deter both domestic and foreign investment.

Public Works

Consider the bridges, roads, or runways we traverse daily or the offices and buildings in which we live, work, and play. What would happen if building codes governing design and safety were not enforced? What if government officials and contractors used low-quality materials to pocket the surplus? Weak regulatory enforcement and corruption decrease the security of physical infrastructure and waste scarce resources, which are essential to a thriving economy.

Public Health and Environment

Consider the implications of pollution, wildlife poaching, and deforestation for public health and the environment. What would happen if a company were pouring harmful chemicals into a river in a highly populated area and the environmental inspector ignored these actions in exchange for a bribe? Adherence to the rule of law is essential to holding governments, businesses, civil society organizations, and communities accountable for protecting public health and the environment.

The Four Universal Principles of the Rule of Law

The World Justice Project defines the rule of law as a durable system of laws, institutions, norms, and community commitment that delivers:



The four universal principles are further developed in the following eight factors of the annual *WJP Rule of Law Index*:

Constraints on Government Powers, Absence of Corruption, Open Government, Fundamental Rights, Order and Security, Regulatory Enforcement, Civil Justice, and Criminal Justice.

Conceptual Framework of the WJP Rule of Law Index

The conceptual framework of the WJP Rule of Law Index is comprised of eight factors further disaggregated into 44 sub-factors. These factors and sub-factors are presented below and described in detail in the section that follows.



Informal Justice and the Rule of Law

The conceptual framework of the Index includes a ninth factor on informal justice that is not included in the Index's aggregate scores and rankings. Informal justice systems often play a large role in countries and jurisdictions where formal legal institutions are weak, remote, or perceived as ineffective. As such, the WJP has devoted effort to collecting data on informal justice through our surveys. Nonetheless, the complexities of these systems and the difficulties of systematically measuring their fairness and effectiveness make cross-country assessments extraordinarily challenging. For this reason, the informal justice factor is not included in the Index scores and rankings.

Factor 9: Informal Justice

- 9.1 Informal justice is timely and effective
- 9.2 Informal justice is impartial and free of improper influence
- 9.3 Informal justice respects and protects fundamental rights

Indicators of the WJP Rule of Law Index

FACTOR ONE: Constraints on Government Powers

1.1 Government powers are effectively limited by the legislature Measures whether legislative bodies have the independence and the ability in practice to exercise effective checks on and oversight of the government.

1.2 Government powers are effectively limited by the judiciary

Measures whether the judiciary has the independence and the ability in practice to exercise effective checks on and oversight of the government.

1.3 Government powers are effectively limited by independent auditing and review

Measures whether comptrollers or auditors, as well as national human rights ombudsman agencies, have sufficient independence and the ability to exercise effective checks on and oversight of the government.

1.4 Government officials are sanctioned for misconduct

Measures whether government officials in the executive, legislature, judiciary, and the police are investigated, prosecuted, and punished for official misconduct and other violations.

1.5 Government powers are subject to non-governmental checks

Measures whether an independent media, civil society organizations, political parties, and individuals are free to report and comment on government policies without fear of retaliation.

1.6 Transition of power is subject to the law

Measures whether government officials are elected or appointed in accordance with the rules and procedures set forth in the constitution. Where elections take place, it also measures the integrity of the electoral process, including access to the ballot, the absence of intimidation, and public scrutiny of election results.

FACTOR TWO: Absence of Corruption

2.1 Government officials in the executive branch do not use public office for private gain

Measures the prevalence of bribery, informal payments, and other inducements in the delivery of public services and the enforcement of regulations. It also measures whether government procurement and public works contracts are awarded through an open and competitive bidding process, and whether government officials at various levels of the executive branch refrain from embezzling public funds.

2.2 Government officials in the judicial branch do not use public office for private gain

Measures whether judges and judicial officials refrain from soliciting and accepting bribes to perform duties or expedite processes, and whether the judiciary and judicial rulings are free of improper influence by the government, private interests, or criminal organizations.

2.3 Government officials in the police and the military do not use public office for private gain

Measures whether police officers and criminal investigators refrain from soliciting and accepting bribes to perform basic police services or to investigate crimes, and whether government officials in the police and the military are free of improper influence by private interests or criminal organizations.

2.4 Government officials in the legislative branch do not use public office for private gain

Measures whether members of the legislature refrain from soliciting or accepting bribes or other inducements in exchange for political favors or favorable votes on legislation.

FACTOR THREE: Open Government

3.1 Publicized laws and government data

Measures whether basic laws and information on legal rights are publicly available, presented in plain language, and made accessible in all languages used in the country or jurisdiction. It also measures the quality and accessibility of information published by the government in print or online, and whether administrative regulations, drafts of legislation, and high court decisions are made accessible to the public in a timely manner.

3.2 Right to information

Measures whether requests for information held by a government agency are granted, whether these requests are granted within a reasonable time period, if the information provided is pertinent and complete, and if requests for information are granted at a reasonable cost and without having to pay a bribe. It also measures whether people are aware of their right to information, and whether relevant records are accessible to the public upon request.

3.3 Civic participation

Measures the effectiveness of civic participation mechanisms, including the protection of the freedoms of opinion and expression, assembly and association, and the right to petition the government. It also measures whether people can voice concerns to various government officers and whether government officials provide sufficient information and notice about decisions affecting the community.

3.4 Complaint mechanisms

Measures whether people are able to bring specific complaints to the government about the provision of public services or the performance of government officers in carrying out their legal duties in practice, and how government officials respond to such complaints.

FACTOR FOUR: Fundamental Rights

4.1 Equal treatment and absence of discrimination

Measures whether individuals are free from discrimination based on socio-economic status, gender, ethnicity, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, or gender identity—with respect to public services, employment, court proceedings, and the justice system.

4.2 The right to life and security of the person is effectively guaranteed

Measures whether the police inflict physical harm upon criminal suspects during arrest and interrogation, and whether political dissidents or members of the media are subjected to unreasonable searches, arrest, detention, imprisonment, threats, abusive treatment, or violence.

4.3 Due process of the law and rights of the accused

Measures whether the basic rights of criminal suspects are respected, including the presumption of innocence and the freedom from arbitrary arrest and unreasonable pre-trial detention. It also measures whether criminal suspects are able to access and challenge evidence used against them, whether they are subject to abusive treatment, and whether they are provided with adequate legal assistance. In addition, it measures whether the basic rights of prisoners are respected once they have been convicted of a crime.

4.4 Freedom of opinion and expression is effectively guaranteed

Measures whether an independent media, civil society organizations, political parties, and individuals are free to report and comment on government policies without fear of retaliation.

4.5 Freedom of belief and religion is effectively guaranteed

Measures whether members of religious minorities can worship and conduct religious practices freely and publicly, and whether non-adherents are protected from having to submit to religious laws.

4.6 Freedom from arbitrary interference with privacy is effectively guaranteed

Measures whether the police or other government officials conduct physical searches without warrants, or intercept electronic communications of private individuals without judicial authorization.

4.7 Freedom of assembly and association is effectively guaranteed

Measures whether people can freely attend community meetings, join political organizations, hold peaceful public demonstrations, sign petitions, and express opinions against government policies and actions without fear of retaliation.

4.8 Fundamental labor rights are effectively guaranteed

Measures the effective enforcement of fundamental labor rights, including freedom of association and the right to collective bargaining, the absence of discrimination with respect to employment, and freedom from forced labor and child labor.

FACTOR FIVE: Order and Security

5.1 Crime is effectively controlled

Measures the prevalence of common crimes, including homicide, kidnapping, burglary and theft, armed robbery, and extortion, as well as people's general perceptions of safety in their communities.

5.2 Civil conflict is effectively limited

Measures whether people are effectively protected from armed conflict and terrorism.

5.3 People do not resort to violence to redress personal grievances

Measures whether people resort to intimidation or violence to resolve civil disputes amongst themselves or to seek redress from the government, and whether people are free from mob violence.

FACTOR SIX:

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1 Government regulations are effectively enforced

Measures whether government regulations, such as labor, environmental, public health, commercial, and consumer protection regulations are effectively enforced.

6.2 Government regulations are applied and enforced without improper influence

Measures whether the enforcement of regulations is subject to bribery or improper influence by private interests, and whether public services, such as the issuance of permits and licenses and the administration of public health services, are provided without bribery or other illegal inducements.

6.3 Administrative proceedings are conducted without unreasonable delay

Measures whether administrative proceedings at the national and local levels are conducted without unreasonable delay.

6.4 Due process is respected in administrative proceedings

Measures whether due process of the law is respected in administrative proceedings conducted by national and local authorities in issue areas such as the environment, taxes, and labor.

6.5 The government does not expropriate without lawful process and adequate compensation

Measures whether the government respects the property rights of people and corporations, refrains from the illegal seizure of private property, and provides adequate compensation when property is legally expropriated.

FACTOR SEVEN: Civil Justice

7.1 People can access and afford civil justice

Measures the accessibility and affordability of civil courts, including whether people are aware of available remedies; can access and afford legal advice and representation; and can access the court system without incurring unreasonable fees, encountering unreasonable procedural hurdles, or experiencing physical or linguistic barriers.

7.2 Civil justice is free of discrimination

Measures whether the civil justice system discriminates in practice based on socio-economic status, gender, ethnicity, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, or gender identity.

7.3 Civil justice is free of corruption

Measures whether the civil justice system is free of bribery and improper influence by private interests.

7.4 Civil justice is free of improper government influence

Measures whether the civil justice system is free of improper government or political influence.

7.5 Civil justice is not subject to unreasonable delay

Measures whether civil justice proceedings are conducted and judgments are produced in a timely manner without unreasonable delay.

7.6 Civil justice is effectively enforced

Measures the effectiveness and timeliness of the enforcement of civil justice decisions and judgments in practice.

7.7 Alternative dispute resolution mechanisms are accessible, impartial, and effective

Measures whether alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (ADRs) are affordable, efficient, enforceable, and free of corruption.

Criminal Justice

8.1 Criminal investigative system is effective

Measures whether perpetrators of crimes are effectively apprehended and charged. It also measures whether police, investigators, and prosecutors have adequate resources, are free of corruption, and perform their duties competently.

8.2 Criminal adjudication system is timely and effective

Measures whether perpetrators of crimes are effectively prosecuted and punished. It also measures whether criminal judges and other judicial officers are competent and produce speedy decisions.

8.3 Correctional system is effective in reducing criminal behavior

Measures whether correctional institutions are secure, respect prisoners' rights, and are effective in preventing recidivism.

8.4 Criminal justice is impartial

Measures whether the police and criminal judges are impartial and whether they discriminate in practice based on socioeconomic status, gender, ethnicity, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, or gender identity.

8.5 Criminal justice is free of corruption

Measures whether the police, prosecutors, and judges are free of bribery and improper influence from criminal organizations.

8.6 Criminal justice is free of improper government influence

Measures whether the criminal justice system is independent from government or political influence.

8.7 Due process of the law and rights of the accused

Measures whether the basic rights of criminal suspects are respected, including the presumption of innocence and the freedom from arbitrary arrest and unreasonable pre-trial detention. It also measures whether criminal suspects can access and challenge evidence used against them, whether they are subject to abusive treatment, and whether they are provided with adequate legal assistance. In addition, it measures whether the basic rights of prisoners are respected once they have been convicted of a crime.



Scores and Rankings

"We are all servants of the laws in order that we may be free." **Cicero (106 BCE-43 BCE)**



- 22 Rule of Law Around the World
- 24 Rule of Law by Region
- 26 Rule of Law by Income
- 28 Rule of Law by Factor

Rule of Law Around the World

The table below shows the overall scores and rankings of the *WJP Rule of Law Index*[®] 2023 by country rank. Scores range from 0 to 1, with 1 indicating the strongest adherence to the rule of law.

ADHERENCE	Weaker			
TO THE RULE OF LAW	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75
Country/Jurisdict	ion	Overall Score*	Global Rank	Cou
Denmark		0.90	1	Ba
Norway		0.89	2	Pol
Finland		0.87	3	Un
Sweden		0.85	4	An
Germany		0.83	5	St.
Luxembourg		0.83	6	Ro
Netherlands		0.83	7	Rw
New Zealand		0.83	8	St.
Estonia		0.82	9	Gre
Ireland		0.81	10	St.
Austria		0.80	11	Na
Canada		0.80	12	Cro
Australia		0.80	13	Ma
Japan		0.79	14	Gre
United Kingdo	m	0.78	15	Ge
Belgium		0.78	16	Gre
Singapore		0.78	17	The
Lithuania		0.77	18	Bo
Korea, Rep.		0.74	19	Ku
Czechia		0.73	20	Do
France		0.73	21	Jan
Latvia		0.73	22	Ma
Hong Kong SA	R, China	0.73	23	So
Spain		0.72	24	Mo
Uruguay		0.72	25	Ko
United States		0.70	26	Bu
Slovenia		0.69	27	Ser
Portugal		0.68	28	Gh
Costa Rica		0.68	29	Jor
Malta		0.68	30	Arg
Cyprus		0.68	31	Mo
Italy		0.67	32	Ka
Chile		0.66	33	Ind
Slovak Republi	с	0.66	34	No

Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Barbados	0.66	35
Poland	0.64	36
United Arab Emirates	0.64	37
Antigua and Barbuda	0.63	38
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.63	39
Romania	0.63	40
Rwanda	0.63	41
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.63	42
St. Lucia	0.62	43
Namibia	0.61	44
Croatia	0.61	45
Mauritius	0.61	46
Greece	0.61	47
Georgia	0.60	48
Grenada	0.60	49
The Bahamas	0.59	50
Botswana	0.59	51
Kuwait	0.58	52
Dominica	0.58	53
Jamaica	0.57	54
Malaysia	0.57	55
South Africa	0.57	56
Montenegro	0.56	57
Kosovo	0.56	58
Bulgaria	0.56	59
Senegal	0.55	60
Ghana	0.55	61
Jordan	0.55	62
Argentina	0.55	63
Mongolia	0.53	64
Kazakhstan	0.53	65
Indonesia	0.53	66
North Macedonia	0.53	67

Stronger 1.00

Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Moldova	0.53	68
Malawi	0.52	69
Trinidad and Tobago	0.52	70
Nepal	0.52	71
Tunisia	0.52	72
Hungary	0.51	73
Panama	0.51	74
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.51	75
Guyana	0.50	76
Sri Lanka	0.50	77
Uzbekistan	0.50	78
India	0.49	79
Belize	0.49	80
Suriname	0.49	81
Thailand	0.49	82
Brazil	0.49	83
Algeria	0.49	84
The Gambia	0.49	85

* Scores are rounded to two decimal places.



Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Dominican Republic	0.49	86
Vietnam	0.49	87
Peru	0.49	88
Ukraine	0.49	89
Benin	0.48	90
Albania	0.48	91
Morocco	0.48	92
Serbia	0.48	93
Colombia	0.48	94
Burkina Faso	0.47	95
Ecuador	0.47	96
China	0.47	97
Tanzania	0.47	98
Paraguay	0.46	99
Philippines	0.46	100
Kenya	0.46	101
Тодо	0.45	102
Kyrgyz Republic	0.45	103
Belarus	0.45	104

Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Zambia	0.45	105
Côte d'Ivoire	0.45	106
Lebanon	0.45	107
El Salvador	0.45	108
Niger	0.44	109
Sierra Leone	0.44	110
Guatemala	0.44	111
Liberia	0.44	112
Russian Federation	0.44	113
Madagascar	0.43	114
Angola	0.43	115
Mexico	0.42	116
Türkiye	0.41	117
Guinea	0.41	118
Honduras	0.41	119
Nigeria	0.41	120
Mali	0.40	121
Congo, Rep.	0.40	122
Zimbabwe	0.40	123

Country/Jurisdiction

Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Gabon	0.39	124
Uganda	0.39	125
Iran, Islamic Rep.	0.39	126
Bangladesh	0.38	127
Mozambique	0.38	128
Ethiopia	0.38	129
Pakistan	0.38	130
Bolivia	0.37	131
Sudan	0.36	132
Mauritania	0.36	133
Cameroon	0.35	134
Myanmar	0.35	135
Egypt, Arab Rep.	0.35	136
Nicaragua	0.35	137
Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.34	138
Haiti	0.34	139
Afghanistan	0.32	140
Cambodia	0.31	141
Venezuela, RB	0.26	142

* Scores are rounded to two decimal places.

Rule of Law Around the World by Region

East Asia and Pacific

Regional Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Annual % Change in Overall Score	5-year % Change in * Overall Score	Global * Rank	Change in Global Rank†
1/15	New Zealand	0.83	-0.2%	-0.4%	8	1 🔻
2/15	Australia	0.80	0.5%	-1.3%	13	0
3/15	Japan	0.79	0.0%	-0.2%	14	2 🔺
4/15	Singapore	0.78	-0.1%	-2.6%	17	0
5/15	Korea, Rep.	0.74	0.5%	2.0%	19	0
6/15	Hong Kong SAR, China	0.73	-0.2%	-6.0%	23	1 🔻
7/15	Malaysia	0.57	0.8%	6.1%	55	1 🔺
8/15	Mongolia	0.53	-0.6%	-2.0%	64	0

Regional Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Annual % Change in Overall Score	5-year % Change in * Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank†
9/15	Indonesia	0.53	0.2%	2.6%	66	0
10/15	Thailand	0.49	-1.0%	-3.0%	82	0
11/15	Vietnam	0.49	-0.6%	-2.9%	87	1 🔻
12/15	China	0.47	-0.9%	-6.7%	97	0
13/15	Philippines	0.46	-1.5%	-2.0%	100	1 🔻
14/15	Myanmar	0.35	-3.7%	-17.2%	135	1 🔻
15/15	Cambodia	0.31	-0.1%	-3.5%	141	0

Eastern Europe and Central Asia

Regional Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Annual % Change in Overall Score*	5-year % Change in Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank†	Regional Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Annual % Change in Overall Score*	5-year % Change in Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank†
1/15	Georgia	0.60	0.3%	-1.2%	48	1 🔺	8/15	Uzbekistan	0.50	0.1%	7.7%	78	2 🔺
2/15	Montenegro	0.56	-	-	57	-	9/15	Ukraine	0.49	-2.9%	-2.7%	89	11 🔻
3/15	Kosovo	0.56	0.4%	-	58	1 🔺	10/15	Albania	0.48	-0.7%	-5.2%	91	2 🔻
4/15	Kazakhstan	0.53	1.0%	3.1%	65	2 🔺	11/15	Serbia	0.48	-1.6%	-4.0%	93	8 🔻
5/15	North Macedonia	0.53	-0.9%	-0.4%	67	2 🔻	12/15	Kyrgyz Republic	0.45	-1.4%	-4.5%	103	1 🔻
6/15	Moldova	0.53	1.3%	7.7%	68	2 🔺	13/15	Belarus	0.45	-1.9%	-12.0%	104	3 🔻
7/15	Bosnia and	0.51	-1.2%	-3.5%	75	3 🔻	14/15	Russian Federation	0.44	-2.2%	-7.0%	113	4 🔻
//15	Herzegovina	0.51	-1.270	-0.070	75	3.4	15/15	Türkiye	0.41	-0.7%	-1.3%	117	1 🔺

EU, EFTA, and North America (European Union, European Free Trade Association, and North America)

Regional Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Annual % Change in Overall Score*	5-year % Change in Overall Score	Global * Rank	Change in Global Rank†	Regional Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Annual % Change in Overall Score*	5-year % Change in Overall Score	Global * Rank	Change in Global Rank†
1/31	Denmark	0.90	-0.3%	0.7%	1	0	17/31	Latvia	0.73	0.7%	-	22	2 🔺
2/31	Norway	0.89	0.3%	0.3%	2	0	18/31	Spain	0.72	-0.8%	2.4%	24	1 🔻
3/31	Finland	0.87	0.4%	0.3%	3	0	19/31	United States	0.70	-0.6%	-4.0%	26	0
4/31	Sweden	0.85	-0.4%	-1.1%	4	0	20/31	Slovenia	0.69	1.6%	2.3%	27	4 🔺
5/31	Germany	0.83	0.0%	-0.2%	5	1 🔺	21/31	Portugal	0.68	-0.9%	-5.2%	28	1 🔻
6/31	Luxembourg	0.83	0.8%	-	6	2 🔺	22/31	Malta	0.68	0.1%	-	30	0
7/31	Netherlands	0.83	-0.3%	-2.5%	7	2 🔻	23/31	Cyprus	0.68	-0.9%	-	31	3 🔻
8/31	Estonia	0.82	0.0%	2.3%	9	0	24/31	Italy	0.67	0.0%	2.0%	32	0
9/31	Ireland	0.81	0.3%	-	10	0	25/31	Slovak Republic	0.66	0.3%	-	34	1 🔺
10/31	Austria	0.80	-0.3%	-2.0%	11	0	26/31	Poland	0.64	-0.6%	-5.3%	36	0
11/31	Canada	0.80	0.0%	-1.6%	12	0	27/31	Romania	0.63	-0.4%	-4.1%	40	2 🔻
12/31	United Kingdom	0.78	-0.4%	-3.1%	15	0	28/31	Croatia	0.61	0.3%	0.2%	45	2 🔺
13/31	Belgium	0.78	-1.0%	1.2%	16	2 🔻	29/31	Greece	0.61	-1.4%	-0.3%	47	3 🔻
14/31	Lithuania	0.77	0.4%	-	18	0	30/31	Bulgaria	0.56	1.7%	3.9%	59	3 🔺
15/31	Czechia	0.73	0.1%	-1.3%	20	0	31/31	Hungary	0.51	-0.2%	-6.0%	73	2 🔺
16/31	France	0.73	-0.4%	-1.4%	21	0							

ADHERENCE	Weaker			S	Stronger
TO THE RULE OF LAW	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75	1.00

* Scores are rounded to two decimal places. Percentage changes in score are rounded to one decimal place.

† The change in rankings was calculated by comparing the positions of the 140 countries and jurisdictions measured in the 2022 Index with the rankings of the same 140 countries and jurisdictions in 2023, exclusive of the two new additions to the 2023 Index, Kuwait and Montenegro.

Latin America and Caribbean

7/34

8/34

9/34

10/34

11/34

12/34

13/34

14/34

15/34

16/34

17/34

Ghana

Malawi

Benin

Tanzania

Kenya

Zambia

Niger

Côte d'Ivoire

Togo

The Gambia

Burkina Faso

0.55

0.52

0.49

0.48

0.47

0.47

0.46

0.45

0.45

0.45

0.44

-0.5%

-0.5%

0.1%

-0.6%

-3.7%

0.9%

1.6%

-1.1%

0.0%

0.7%

-0.6%

-7.4%

2.1%

-

-6.7%

-0.9%

1.7%

-

-4.9%

-5.2%

61

69

85

90

95

98

101

102

105

106

109

1 🔻

1 🔻

3 🔺

11 🔻

2 🔺

5 🔺

1 🔺

4 🔺

2 🔺

0

0

Latin	America and Car	ribbean	l										
Regional Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Annual % Change in Overall Score*	5-year % Change in Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank†	Regional Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Annual % Change in Overall Score*	5-year % Change in Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank†
1/32	Uruguay	0.72	0.4%	0.7%	25	0	16/32	Guyana	0.50	0.2%	-0.5%	76	1 🔺
2/32	Costa Rica	0.68	0.0%	-0.6%	29	0	17/32	Belize	0.49	0.9%	3.3%	80	7 🔺
3/32	Chile	0.66	-0.1%	-0.7%	33	0	18/32	Suriname	0.49	-0.9%	-3.6%	81	0
4/32	Barbados	0.66	0.0%	1.1%	35	1 🔻	19/32	Brazil	0.49	-0.9%	-8.9%	83	0
5/32	Antigua and Barbuda	0.63	0.6%	0.7%	38	2 🔺	20/32	Dominican Republic	0.49	1.0%	4.4%	86	8 🔺
6/32	St. Kitts and Nevis	0.63	0.1%	-4.3%	39	0	21/32 22/32		0.49 0.48	0.2% -0.4%	-7.1% -4.7%	88 94	4 ▲ 1 ▼
7/32	St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.63	-0.8%	2.3%	42	1 🔻	23/32	Ecuador	0.47	-2.1%	-0.2%	96	1 🔻
8/32	St. Lucia	0.62	0.4%	-2.0%	43	0	24/32	σ,	0.46	-1.2%	-	99	1 🔻
9/32	Grenada	0.60	1.4%	-1.6%	49	1 🔺	25/32		0.45	-2.5%	-7.4%	108	4 🔻
10/32	The Bahamas	0.59	-2.0%	-1.4%	50	2 🔻	26/32		0.44	-0.3%	-1.0%	111	1 🔺
11/32	Dominica	0.58	0.1%	-3.6%	53	1 🔺	27/32		0.42	-1.3%	-8.7%	116	1 🔺
12/32	Jamaica	0.57	-1.1%	-0.4%	54	1 🔻	28/32		0.41	1.6%	1.6%	119	4 🔺
13/32	Argentina	0.55	-0.5%	-6.4%	63	2 🔻	29/32		0.37	-0.5%	-2.9%	131	1 🔺
14/32	Trinidad and Tobago	0.52	-0.8%	-7.0%	70	1 🔻	30/32 31/32	-	0.35 0.34	-4.4% -3.5%	-20.8% -	137 139	2 ▼ 1 ▼
15/32	Panama	0.51	-0.5%	-1.2%	74	0	32/32	Venezuela, RB	0.26	0.9%	-7.8%	142	0
NA: J J	la Fast and Naut												
	le East and North	n Africa	Annual %	5-year %		Change				Annual %	5-year %		Change
Regional Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Change in Overall Score*	Change in Overall Score*	Global Rank	in Global Rank†	Regional Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*		Change in * Overall Score*		in Global Rank†
1/9	United Arab Emirates	0.64	0.2%	-1.9%	37	0	5/9	Algeria	0.49	0.6%	-	84	7 🔺
2/9	Kuwait	0.58	-		52		6/9	Morocco	0.48	0.9%	-5.0%	92	4
3/9	Jordan	0.55	1.4%	-8.1%	62	1 🔺	7/9	Lebanon	0.45	0.0%	-5.0%	107	1 🔺
4/9	Tunisia	0.52	-0.6%	-3.6%	72	1 🔺	8/9	Iran, Islamic Rep.	0.39	-5.0%	-18.9%	126	5 🔻
., ,		0.02	0.070	0.070	-	-	9/9	Egypt, Arab Rep.	0.35	-1.2%	-4.4%	136	1 🔺
South	n Asia		Annual %	5-year %		Change				Annual %	5-year %		Change
Regional Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Change in Overall Score*	Change in	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank†	Regional Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Change in Overall Score*	Change in	Global Rank	in Global Rank†
1/6	Nepal	0.52	-0.9%	-2.2%	71	0	4/6	Bangladesh	0.38	-1.5%	-6.2%	127	2 🔺
2/6	Sri Lanka	0.50	-0.5%	-5.2%	77	1 🔻	5/6	Pakistan	0.38	-2.3%	-4.2%	130	1 🔺
3/6	India	0.49	-0.7%	-4.8%	79	0	6/6	Afghanistan	0.32	-4.0%	-8.3%	140	0
	Saharan Africa		Annual %	5-year %		Change				Annual %	5-year %		Change
Regional Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Change in Overall Score*	Change in Overall Score*	Global Rank	in Global Rank†	Regional Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Change in Overall Score*	Change in Overall Score*	Global Rank	in Global Rank†
1/34	Rwanda	0.63	0.1%	-	41	1 🔺	18/34	Sierra Leone	0.44	-2.1%	-3.1%	110	3 🔻
2/34	Namibia	0.61	0.5%	-	44	2 🔺	19/34	Liberia	0.44	0.8%	-3.6%	112	2 🔺
3/34	Mauritius	0.61	-0.4%	-	46	1 🔻	20/34	Madagascar	0.43	-1.4%	-1.7%	114	1 🔻
4/34	Botswana	0.59	0.0%	1.3%	51	0	21/34		0.43	-0.3%	-	115	0
5/34	South Africa	0.57	-1.2%	-3.3%	56	1 🔻	22/34	Guinea	0.41	0.8%	-	118	1 🔺
6/34	Senegal	0.55	-1.3%	0.2%	60	2 🔻	23/34		0.41	0.8%	-6.3%	120	0

* Scores are rounded to two decimal places. Percentage changes in score are rounded to one decimal place.

† The change in rankings was calculated by comparing the positions of the 140 countries and jurisdictions measured in the 2022 Index with the rankings of the same

24/34

25/34

26/34

27/34

28/34

29/34

30/34

31/34

32/34

33/34

34/34

Mali

Congo, Rep.

Zimbabwe

Gabon

Uganda

Ethiopia

Sudan

Mauritania

Cameroon

Congo, Dem. Rep.

Mozambique

0.40

0.40

0.40

0.39

0.39

0.38

0.38

0.36

0.36

0.35

0.34

-5.3%

-1.2%

1.3%

0.3%

0.0%

-3.0%

-3.1%

-7.4%

-1.4%

-0.3%

0.8%

140 countries and jurisdictions in 2023, exclusive of the two new additions to the 2023 Index, Kuwait and Montenegro.

121

122

123

124

125

128

129

132

133

134

138

-

_

5.9%

-

-3.1%

-

0.2%

_

-

-4.0%

_

5 🔻

0

3 🔺

4 🔺

5 🔺

4 🔻

4 🔻

5 🔻

2 🔺

1 🔺

0

Rule of Law Around the World by Income*

Low Income

Income Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score†	Annual % Change in Overall Score†	5-year % Change in Overall Score†	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank‡	Income Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score†	Annual % Change in Overall Score†	5-year % Change in Overall Score†	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank‡
1/18	Rwanda	0.63	0.1%	-	41	1 🔺	11/18	Guinea	0.41	0.8%	-	118	1 🔺
2/18	Malawi	0.52	-0.5%	2.1%	69	1 🔻	12/18	Mali	0.40	-5.3%	-	121	5 🔻
3/18	The Gambia	0.49	0.1%	-	85	3 🔺	13/18	Uganda	0.39	0.0%	-3.1%	125	5 🔺
4/18	Burkina Faso	0.47	-3.7%	-6.7%	95	11 🔻	14/18	Mozambique	0.38	-3.0%	-	128	4 🔻
5/18	Тодо	0.45	-1.1%	-	102	1 🔺	15/18	Ethiopia	0.38	-3.1%	0.2%	129	4 🔻
6/18	Zambia	0.45	0.0%	-4.9%	105	0	16/18	Sudan	0.36	-7.4%	-	132	5 🔻
7/18	Niger	0.44	-0.6%	-	109	2 🔺	17/18	Congo, Dem.	0.34	0.8%	_	138	1 🔺
8/18	Sierra Leone	0.44	-2.1%	-3.1%	110	3 🔻	1//10	Rep.	0.34	0.0%	-	130	1
9/18	Liberia	0.44	0.8%	-3.6%	112	2 🔺	18/18	Afghanistan	0.32	-4.0%	-8.3%	140	0
10/18	Madagascar	0.43	-1.4%	-1.7%	114	1 🔻							

Lower-Middle Income

Income Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score†	Annual % Change in Overall Score†	5-year % Change in Overall Score†	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank‡	Income Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score†	Annual % Change in Overall Score	5-year % Change in † Overall Score†	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank‡
1/37	Senegal	0.55	-1.3%	0.2%	60	2 🔻	20/37	Lebanon	0.45	0.0%	-5.0%	107	1 🔺
2/37	Ghana	0.55	-0.5%	-7.4%	61	1 🔻	21/37	El Salvador	0.45	-2.5%	-7.4%	108	4 🔻
3/37	Mongolia	0.53	-0.6%	-2.0%	64	0	22/37	Angola	0.43	-0.3%	-	115	0
4/37	Indonesia	0.53	0.2%	2.6%	66	0	23/37	Honduras	0.41	1.6%	1.6%	119	4 🔺
5/37	Nepal	0.52	-0.9%	-2.2%	71	0	24/37	Nigeria	0.41	0.8%	-6.3%	120	0
6/37	Tunisia	0.52	-0.6%	-3.6%	72	1 🔺	25/37	Congo, Rep.	0.40	-1.2%	-	122	0
7/37	Sri Lanka	0.50	-0.5%	-5.2%	77	1 🔻	26/37	Zimbabwe	0.40	1.3%	5.9%	123	3 🔺
8/37	Uzbekistan	0.50	0.1%	7.7%	78	2 🔺	27/37	Iran, Islamic Rep.	0.39	-5.0%	-18.9%	126	5 💌
9/37	India	0.49	-0.7%	-4.8%	79	0	28/37	Bangladesh	0.38	-1.5%	-6.2%	127	2 🔺
10/37	Algeria	0.49	0.6%	-	84	7 🔺	29/37	Pakistan	0.38	-2.3%	-4.2%	130	1 🔺
11/37	Vietnam	0.49	-0.6%	-2.9%	87	1 🔻	30/37	Bolivia	0.37	-0.5%	-2.9%	131	1 🔺
12/37	Ukraine	0.49	-2.9%	-2.7%	89	11 🔻	31/37	Mauritania	0.36	-1.4%	-	133	0
13/37	Benin	0.48	-0.6%	-	90	0	32/37	Cameroon	0.35	-0.3%	-4.0%	134	2 🔺
14/37	Morocco	0.48	0.9%	-5.0%	92	4 🔺	33/37	Myanmar	0.35	-3.7%	-17.2%	135	1 🔻
15/37	Tanzania	0.47	0.9%	-0.9%	98	2 🔺	34/37	Egypt, Arab Rep.	0.35	-1.2%	-4.4%	136	1 🔺
16/37	Philippines	0.46	-1.5%	-2.0%	100	1 🔻	35/37	Nicaragua	0.35	-4.4%	-20.8%	137	2 🔻
17/37	Kenya	0.46	1.6%	1.7%	101	5 🔺	36/37	Haiti	0.34	-3.5%	-	139	1 🔻
18/37	Kyrgyz Republic	0.45	-1.4%	-4.5%	103	1 🔻	37/37	Cambodia	0.31	-0.1%	-3.5%	141	0
19/37	Côte d'Ivoire	0.45	0.7%	-5.2%	106	4 🔺							

ADHERENCE	Weaker			S	tronger
TO THE RULE OF LAW	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75	1.00

* Income groups used in this year's report are based on the World Bank's 2023 fiscal year income group classifications. For the 2023 fiscal year, low-income economies are defined as those with a GNI per capita, calculated using the World Bank Atlas method, of \$1,085 or less in 2021; lower middle-income economies are those with a GNI per capita between \$1,086 and \$4,255; upper middle-income economies are those with a GNI per capita of more than \$13,205. https://datahelpdesk.worldbank.org/knowledgebase/articles/906519-world-bank-country-and-lending-groups

† Scores are rounded to two decimal places. Percentage changes in score are rounded to one decimal place.

‡ The change in rankings was calculated by comparing the positions of the 140 countries and jurisdictions measured in the 2022 Index with the rankings of the same 140 countries and jurisdictions in 2023, exclusive of the two new additions to the 2023 Index, Kuwait and Montenegro.

Upper-Middle Income

Income Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score†	Annual % Change in Overall Score†	5-year % Change in Overall Score†	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank‡	Income Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score†	Annual % Change in Overall Score†	5-year % Change in Overall Score†	Global Rank	Change in Global Rank‡
1/41	Costa Rica	0.68	0.0%	-0.6%	29	0	22/41	Guyana	0.50	0.2%	-0.5%	76	1 🔺
2/41	St. Vincent and	0.63	-0.8%	2.3%	42	1 🔻	23/41	Belize	0.49	0.9%	3.3%	80	7 🔺
2/41	the Grenadines	0.05	-0.0%	2.370	42	1 *	24/41	Suriname	0.49	-0.9%	-3.6%	81	0
3/41	St. Lucia	0.62	0.4%	-2.0%	43	0	25/41	Thailand	0.49	-1.0%	-3.0%	82	0
4/41	Namibia	0.61	0.5%	-	44	2 🔺	26/41	Brazil	0.49	-0.9%	-8.9%	83	0
5/41	Mauritius	0.61	-0.4%	-	46	1 🔻	07/44	Dominican	0.49	1.00/	4 40/	86	8 🔺
6/41	Georgia	0.60	0.3%	-1.2%	48	1 🔺	27/41	Republic	0.49	1.0%	4.4%	80	ð 🔺
7/41	Grenada	0.60	1.4%	-1.6%	49	1 🔺	28/41	Peru	0.49	0.2%	-7.1%	88	4 🔺
8/41	Botswana	0.59	0.0%	1.3%	51	0	29/41	Albania	0.48	-0.7%	-5.2%	91	2 🔻
9/41	Dominica	0.58	0.1%	-3.6%	53	1 🔺	30/41	Serbia	0.48	-1.6%	-4.0%	93	8 🔻
10/41	Jamaica	0.57	-1.1%	-0.4%	54	1 🔻	31/41	Colombia	0.48	-0.4%	-4.7%	94	1 🔻
11/41	Malaysia	0.57	0.8%	6.1%	55	1 🔺	32/41	Ecuador	0.47	-2.1%	-0.2%	96	1 🔻
12/41	South Africa	0.57	-1.2%	-3.3%	56	1 🔻	33/41	China	0.47	-0.9%	-6.7%	97	0
13/41	Montenegro	0.56	-	-	57	-	34/41	Paraguay	0.46	-1.2%	-	99	1 🔻
14/41	Kosovo	0.56	0.4%	-	58	1 🔺	35/41	Belarus	0.45	-1.9%	-12.0%	104	3 🔻
15/41	Bulgaria	0.56	1.7%	3.9%	59	3 🔺	36/41	Guatemala	0.44	-0.3%	-1.0%	111	1 🔺
16/41	Jordan	0.55	1.4%	-8.1%	62	1 🔺	37/41	Russian	0.44	-2.2%	-7.0%	113	4 🔻
17/41	Argentina	0.55	-0.5%	-6.4%	63	2 🔻	57/41	Federation	0.44	-2.270	-7.0%	115	4 •
18/41	Kazakhstan	0.53	1.0%	3.1%	65	2 🔺	38/41	Mexico	0.42	-1.3%	-8.7%	116	1 🔺
19/41	North	0.53	-0.9%	-0.4%	67	2 🔻	39/41	Türkiye	0.41	-0.7%	-1.3%	117	1 🔺
19/41	Macedonia	0.53	-0.9%	-0.4%	07	2 *	40/41	Gabon	0.39	0.3%	-	124	4 🔺
20/41	Moldova	0.53	1.3%	7.7%	68	2 🔺	41/41	Venezuela, RB	0.26	0.9%	-7.8%	142	0
21/41	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.51	-1.2%	-3.5%	75	3 🔻							

High Income

High II	ncome		Annual %	5-year %		Change				Annual %	5-year %		Change
Income Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score†	Change in Overall Score [†]	Change in Overall Score [†]	Global Rank	in Global Rank‡	Income Rank	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score†	Change in Overall Score	Change in † Overall Score†	Global Rank	in Global Rank‡
1/46	Denmark	0.90	-0.3%	0.7%	1	0	25/46	Uruguay	0.72	0.4%	0.7%	25	0
2/46	Norway	0.89	0.3%	0.3%	2	0	26/46	United States	0.70	-0.6%	-4.0%	26	0
3/46	Finland	0.87	0.4%	0.3%	3	0	27/46	Slovenia	0.69	1.6%	2.3%	27	4 🔺
4/46	Sweden	0.85	-0.4%	-1.1%	4	0	28/46	Portugal	0.68	-0.9%	-5.2%	28	1 🔻
5/46	Germany	0.83	0.0%	-0.2%	5	1 🔺	29/46	Malta	0.68	0.1%	-	30	0
6/46	Luxembourg	0.83	0.8%	-	6	2 🔺	30/46	Cyprus	0.68	-0.9%	-	31	3 🔻
7/46	Netherlands	0.83	-0.3%	-2.5%	7	2 🔻	31/46	Italy	0.67	0.0%	2.0%	32	0
8/46	New Zealand	0.83	-0.2%	-0.4%	8	1 🔻	32/46	Chile	0.66	-0.1%	-0.7%	33	0
9/46	Estonia	0.82	0.0%	2.3%	9	0	33/46	Slovak Republic	0.66	0.3%	-	34	1 🔺
10/46	Ireland	0.81	0.3%	-	10	0	34/46	Barbados	0.66	0.0%	1.1%	35	1 🔻
11/46	Austria	0.80	-0.3%	-2.0%	11	0	35/46	Poland	0.64	-0.6%	-5.3%	36	0
12/46 13/46	Canada Australia	0.80 0.80	0.0% 0.5%	-1.6% -1.3%	12 13	0	36/46	United Arab Emirates	0.64	0.2%	-1.9%	37	0
14/46	Japan	0.79	0.0%	-0.2%	14	2	37/46	Antigua and Barbuda	0.63	0.6%	0.7%	38	2 🔺
15/46 16/46	United Kingdom Belgium	0.78 0.78	-0.4% -1.0%	-3.1% 1.2%	15 16	0 2 🔻	38/46	St. Kitts and Nevis	0.63	0.1%	-4.3%	39	0
17/46	Singapore	0.78	-0.1%	-2.6%	17	0	39/46	Romania	0.63	-0.4%	-4.1%	40	2 🔻
18/46	Lithuania	0.77	0.4%	-	18	0	40/46	Croatia	0.61	0.3%	0.2%	45	2 🔺
19/46	Korea, Rep.	0.74	0.5%	2.0%	19	0	41/46	Greece	0.61	-1.4%	-0.3%	47	3 🔻
20/46	Czechia	0.73	0.1%	-1.3%	20	0	42/46	The Bahamas	0.59	-2.0%	-1.4%	50	2 🔻
21/46	France	0.73	-0.4%	-1.4%	21	0	43/46	Kuwait	0.58	-	-	52	-
22/46	Latvia Hong Kong SAR,	0.73	0.7%	-	22	2 🔺	44/46	Trinidad and Tobago	0.52	-0.8%	-7.0%	70	1 🔻
23/46	China	0.73	-0.2%	-6.0%	23	1 🔻	45/46	Hungary	0.51	-0.2%	-6.0%	73	2 🔺
24/46	Spain	0.72	-0.8%	2.4%	24	1 🔻	46/46	Panama	0.51	-0.5%	-1.2%	74	0

† Scores are rounded to two decimal places. Percentage changes in score are rounded to one decimal place.

The change in rankings was calculated by comparing the positions of the 140 countries and jurisdictions measured in the 2022 Index with the rankings of the same 140 countries and jurisdictions in 2023, exclusive of the two new additions to the 2023 Index, Kuwait and Montenegro.

â Constraints on Government Powers

Factor 1 measures the extent to which those who govern are bound by law. It comprises the means, both constitutional and institutional, by which the powers of the government and its officials and agents are limited and held accountable under the law. It also includes non-governmental checks on the government's power, such as a free and independent press. For a further breakdown of Constraints on Government Powers by sub-factor, please refer to page 16.

Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank									
Denmark	0.95	1	Malta	0.64	38	Montenegro	0.52	72	Mali	0.41	108
Norway	0.92	2	Jamaica	0.64	39	Dominica	0.52	73	Mozambique	0.41	109
Finland	0.92	3	South Africa	0.62	40	Moldova	0.51	74	El Salvador	0.41	110
Germany	0.86	4	St. Kitts and	0.61	41	Bulgaria	0.51	75	Angola	0.41	111
Sweden	0.86	5	Nevis	0.01	41	Morocco	0.51	76	Congo, Dem.	0.40	112
New Zealand	0.85	6	Antigua and Barbuda	0.61	42	Dominican	0.51	77	Rep.		
Netherlands	0.85	7	Romania	0.61	43	Republic			Niger	0.39	113
Austria	0.84	8	Botswana	0.61	44	Brazil	0.51	78	Uganda	0.39	114
Ireland	0.83	9	Rwanda	0.60	45	Tanzania	0.50	79	Guinea	0.38	115
Estonia	0.83	10	St. Lucia	0.60	46	Paraguay	0.50	80	Honduras	0.38	116
Canada	0.82	11	The Bahamas	0.59	47	Sri Lanka	0.50	81	Côte d'Ivoire	0.38	117
Belgium	0.82	12	Nepal	0.59	48	Benin	0.50	82	Тодо	0.38	118
Australia	0.82	13	Peru	0.59	49	Kenya	0.50	83	Uzbekistan	0.38	119
Luxembourg	0.82	14	St. Vincent	0.57	12	Colombia	0.50	84	Bangladesh	0.37	120
United	0.81	15	and the	0.58	50	Nigeria	0.50	85	Cameroon	0.37	121
Kingdom			Grenadines		_	Guatemala	0.49	86	Afghanistan	0.37	122
Costa Rica	0.77	16	Mauritius	0.58	51	Liberia	0.49	87	Hungary	0.37	123
Lithuania	0.76	17	Grenada	0.58	52	Ecuador	0.49	88	Congo, Rep.	0.36	124
Uruguay	0.76	18	Croatia	0.58	53	Lebanon	0.49	89	Haiti	0.36	125
Portugal	0.76	19	United Arab Emirates	0.57	54	Suriname	0.48	90	Bolivia	0.36	126
Czechia	0.74	20	Malawi	0.57	55	Belize	0.48	91	Serbia	0.35	127
Japan	0.73	21	Malaysia	0.57	56	Sierra Leone	0.48	92	Ethiopia	0.35	128
France	0.72	22	The Gambia	0.57	57	Philippines	0.47	93	Mauritania	0.33	129
Spain	0.72	23	India	0.57	58	Ukraine	0.47	94	Zimbabwe	0.33	130
Italy	0.71	24	Hong Kong			Pakistan	0.47	95	Iran, Islamic Rep.	0.32	131
Chile	0.71	25	SAR, China	0.57	59	Algeria	0.47	96	Russian		
Latvia	0.71	26	Argentina	0.56	60	North Macedonia	0.46	97	Federation	0.32	132
Korea, Rep.	0.71	27	Kosovo	0.56	61	Zambia	0.46	98	Gabon	0.32	133
United States	0.69	28	Kuwait	0.55	62	Jordan	0.46	99	China	0.31	134
Slovak Republic	0.67	29	Senegal	0.55	63	Thailand	0.45	100	Myanmar	0.29	135
Greece	0.67	30	Tunisia	0.54	64	Bosnia and			Sudan	0.29	136
Singapore	0.67	31	Guyana	0.54	65	Herzegovina	0.45	101	Türkiye	0.28	137
Cyprus	0.66	32	Poland	0.53	66	Vietnam	0.45	102	Cambodia	0.26	138
Namibia	0.66	33	Panama	0.53	67	Kazakhstan	0.45	103	Belarus	0.26	139
Indonesia	0.66	34	Trinidad and	0.53	68	Mexico	0.44	104	Egypt, Arab	0.24	140
Ghana	0.66	35	Tobago			Kyrgyz	0.43	105	Rep.		
Slovenia	0.65	36	Georgia	0.53	69	Republic			Nicaragua	0.23	141
Barbados	0.64	37	Burkina Faso	0.53	70	Madagascar	0.43	106	Venezuela, RB	0.18	142
			Mongolia	0.53	71	Albania	0.43	107			

ADHERENCE	Weaker			S	tronger
TO THE RULE OF LAW	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75	1.00

* Scores are rounded to two decimal places.

Absence of Corruption

Factor 2 measures the absence of corruption in government. The factor considers three forms of corruption: bribery, improper influence by public or private interests, and misappropriation of public funds or other resources. These three forms of corruption are examined with respect to government officers in the executive branch, the judiciary, the military, police, and the legislature. For a further breakdown of Absence of Corruption by sub-factor, please refer to page 16.

Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank	Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank	Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank	Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank
Denmark	0.96	1	Kuwait	0.66	36	Thailand	0.45	72	Iran, Islamic	0.37	107
Norway	0.94	2	Czechia	0.66	37	Belize	0.45	73	Rep.		
Singapore	0.91	3	Grenada	0.66	38	Algeria	0.45	74	Albania	0.36	108
Sweden	0.90	4	St. Kitts and	0.65	39	North	0.45	75	Lebanon	0.36	109
Finland	0.89	5	Nevis			Macedonia			Sierra Leone	0.36	110
New Zealand	0.87	6	Cyprus	0.65	40	Guyana	0.45	76	Côte d'Ivoire	0.35	111
Netherlands	0.87	7	Italy	0.65	41	Türkiye	0.44	77	Sudan	0.35	112
Luxembourg	0.85	8	Costa Rica	0.64	42	Ethiopia	0.44	78	Mozambique	0.35	113
Hong Kong	0.83	9	The Bahamas	0.64	43	Angola	0.44	79	El Salvador	0.35	114
SAR, China			St. Lucia	0.64	44	Suriname	0.43	80	Guatemala	0.34	115
Canada	0.83	10	Antigua and Barbuda	0.62	45	Brazil	0.43	81	Peru	0.33	116
United Kingdom	0.83	11	Dominica	0.60	46	Togo	0.43	82	Bangladesh	0.33	117
-	0.82	12	Jordan	0.58	40	Philippines	0.43	83	Ukraine	0.33	118
Germany	0.82	12	Mauritius	0.58	47	Burkina Faso	0.43	84	Honduras	0.32	119
Japan Ireland	0.82	13		0.58	40	Myanmar	0.42	85	Zimbabwe	0.32	120
	0.82	14	Botswana Croatia	0.57	49 50	Mongolia	0.42	86	Nigeria	0.32	121
Australia Estonia	0.81	16	Malaysia	0.57	51	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.42	87	Mali	0.31	122
Austria	0.81	17	Romania	0.57	52	_	0.42	88	Pakistan	0.31	123
Belgium	0.80	17	Greece	0.56	53	Morocco Vietnam	0.42	89	Liberia	0.30	124
	0.79	10	Senegal	0.55	54	Malawi	0.42	90	Afghanistan	0.30	125
United Arab Emirates	0.78	19	Jamaica	0.55	55	Serbia	0.42	90	Congo, Rep.	0.30	126
France	0.75	20		0.55	55			71	Nicaragua	0.30	127
Uruguay	0.73	21	Slovak Republic	0.53	56	Russian Federation	0.41	92	Mauritania	0.29	128
United States	0.73	22	China	0.53	57	Tanzania	0.41	93	Guinea	0.29	129
Spain	0.73	23	Namibia	0.52	58	Nepal	0.40	94	Kyrgyz Republic	0.29	130
Lithuania	0.72	24	Hungary	0.50	59	Indonesia	0.40	95	Paraguay	0.29	131
Poland	0.72	25	Belarus	0.49	60	India	0.40	96	Venezuela,	0.27	151
Portugal	0.71	26	Trinidad and	0.49	61	Benin	0.40	97	RB	0.28	132
Barbados	0.70	27	Tobago	0.49	01	Panama	0.40	98	Kenya	0.27	133
Chile	0.69	28	Montenegro	0.48	62	Dominican	0.39	99	Madagascar	0.27	134
St. Vincent			Kazakhstan	0.48	63	Republic	0.37	77	Uganda	0.26	135
and the Grenadines	0.68	29	Kosovo	0.48	64	Niger	0.39	100	Mexico	0.26	136
Latvia	0.68	30	Sri Lanka	0.47	65	Ghana	0.39	101	Bolivia	0.25	137
Malta	0.68		Uzbekistan	0.47	66	Egypt, Arab	0.38	102	Cameroon	0.24	138
Rwanda	0.68	31 32	The Gambia	0.47	67	Rep.		_	Gabon	0.24	139
Georgia	0.68	33	Tunisia	0.47	68	Colombia	0.38	103	Haiti	0.24	140
Georgia Korea, Rep.	0.66	33	South Africa	0.47	69	Zambia	0.38	104	Cambodia	0.23	141
Slovenia	0.67	35	Argentina	0.46	70	Ecuador	0.38	105	Congo, Dem.	0.17	140
Silverilla	0.07	35	Bulgaria	0.45	71	Moldova	0.38	106	Rep.	0.17	142

ADHERENCE	Weaker			S	tronger
TO THE RULE OF LAW	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75	1.00

Open Government •

Factor 3 measures the openness of government defined by the extent to which a government shares information, empowers people with tools to hold the government accountable, and fosters citizen participation in public policy deliberations. This factor measures whether basic laws and information on legal rights are publicized and evaluates the quality of information published by the government. For a further breakdown of Open Government by sub-factor, please refer to page 17.

Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank	Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank	Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank	Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank
Norway	0.88	1	Croatia	0.61	37	Kenya	0.50	71	Türkiye	0.40	107
Denmark	0.86	2	Argentina	0.61	38	St. Lucia	0.50	72	China	0.40	108
Finland	0.86	3	Greece	0.61	39	Dominica	0.49	73	Jordan	0.39	109
Sweden	0.84	4	Cyprus	0.60	40	Mongolia	0.49	74	Zambia	0.39	110
Netherlands	0.83	5	Brazil	0.59	41	Tunisia	0.48	75	Angola	0.39	111
New Zealand	0.82	6	India	0.59	42	The Bahamas	0.48	76	Uganda	0.39	112
Luxembourg	0.82	7	Georgia	0.59	43				Tanzania	0.39	113
Australia	0.81	8	Poland	0.58	44	Thailand	0.48	77	Sierra Leone	0.39	114
Estonia	0.81	9	Mexico	0.58	45	Burkina Faso	0.48	78	Suriname	0.38	115
Canada	0.80	10	Namibia	0.58	46	Philippines	0.47	79	The Gambia	0.38	116
Ireland	0.79	11	Kosovo	0.58	47	Albania	0.47	80	Benin	0.38	117
United	0.79	12	Bulgaria	0.57	48	Botswana	0.47	81	Gabon	0.37	118
Kingdom			Moldova	0.57	49	El Salvador	0.47	82	Uzbekistan	0.37	119
Germany	0.79	13	Jamaica	0.57	50	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.47	83	Niger	0.37	120
Belgium	0.76	14	Rwanda	0.57	51	Kazakhstan	0.47	84	Guinea	0.37	121
United States	0.76	15	Paraguay	0.56	52	Liberia	0.47	85	Mozambique	0.36	122
France	0.75	16	Panama	0.56	53	Malawi	0.40	86	Algeria	0.36	123
Lithuania	0.75	17	Indonesia	0.55	54	Grenada	0.40	87	Côte d'Ivoire	0.36	124
Uruguay	0.73	18	Ukraine	0.55	55	Mali	0.46	88	Afghanistan	0.35	125
Latvia	0.72	19	Dominican	0.55	56	Russian	0.45	00	Haiti	0.35	126
Korea, Rep.	0.72	20	Republic			Federation	0.45	89	Sudan	0.35	127
Japan	0.70	21	Barbados	0.55	57	Serbia	0.45	90	Congo, Dem.	0.34	128
Spain	0.70	22	Peru	0.54	58	Madagascar	0.45	91	Rep.	0.04	120
Chile	0.70	23	Montenegro	0.53	59	Honduras	0.45	92	United Arab Emirates	0.34	129
Costa Rica	0.70	24	Trinidad and Tobago	0.53	60	Guyana	0.45	93	Zimbabwe	0.33	130
Austria	0.70	25	Mauritius	0.53	61	St. Kitts and	0.45	04	Congo, Rep.	0.33	130
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.69	26		0.55	01	Nevis	0.45	94	Congo, Rep. Cameroon	0.33	131
Slovak			Antigua and Barbuda	0.52	62	Hungary	0.45	95		0.33	132
Republic	0.69	27	Ecuador	0.52	63	Vietnam	0.45	96	Nicaragua	0.32	133
Czechia	0.69	28	Guatemala	0.52	64	Belize	0.44	97	Togo Belarus	0.32	134
Slovenia	0.66	29	Nepal	0.52	65	Senegal	0.44	98			
Portugal	0.64	30	St. Vincent			Lebanon	0.44	99	Ethiopia Myanmar	0.31	136
Malta	0.64	31	and the	0.51	66	Bolivia	0.44	100	Myanmar Mauritania	0.30	137
Italy	0.63	32	Grenadines Sri Lanka	0.51	47	Morocco	0.43	101	Mauritania	0.28	138
Romania	0.63	33	Sri Lanka	0.51	67	Kuwait	0.43	102	Venezuela, RB	0.28	139
South Africa	0.62	34	Ghana Kurra a	0.51	68	Malaysia	0.42	103	Iran, Islamic	0.07	140
Singapore	0.61	35	Kyrgyz Republic	0.50	69	Nigeria	0.42	104	Rep.	0.27	140
Colombia	0.61	36	North	0.50	70	Pakistan	0.41	105	Cambodia	0.24	141
		-	Macedonia	0.50	70	Bangladesh	0.40	106	Egypt, Arab Rep.	0.23	142

ADHERENCE	Weaker		Stronger		
TO THE RULE OF LAW	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75	1.00

Scores are rounded to two decimal places.

🕴 Fundamental Rights

Factor 4 recognizes that a system of positive law that fails to respect core human rights established under international law is at best "rule by law," and does not deserve to be called a rule of law system. Since there are many other indices that address human rights, and because it would be impossible for the Index to assess adherence to the full range of rights, this factor focuses on a relatively modest menu of rights that are firmly established under the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights and are most closely related to rule of law concerns. For a further breakdown of Fundamental Rights by sub-factor, please refer to page 17.

Norway0.912United States0.6838Burkina Faso0.5373Vietnam0.73Finland0.903Romania0.6739Guatemala0.5374Bolivia0.73Sweden0.874Singapore0.6740Ecuador0.5375Tanzania0.73Germany0.865Montenegro0.6741Rwanda0.5276Algeria0.73Luxembourg0.856St. Lucia0.6642Belize0.5277Morocco0.73Belgium0.847Namibia0.6643Benin0.5278Gabon0.73Netherlands0.849Mauritius0.6445Nepal0.5279Nigeria0.73Ireland0.8211The Bahamas0.6447Liberia0.5181Congo, Dem. Rep.0.73New Zealand0.8212South Africa0.6348Paraguay0.5183Zambia0.51	0.45 109 0.45 110 0.44 111 0.44 112 0.43 113 0.43 114 0.43 115 0.42 116 0.42 117 0.43 118 0.44 119 0.40 120 0.40 121 0.39 122
Finland0.903Romania0.6739Guatemala0.5374Bolivia0Sweden0.874Singapore0.6740Ecuador0.5375Tanzania0Germany0.865Montenegro0.6741Rwanda0.5276Algeria0Luxembourg0.856St. Lucia0.6642Belize0.5277Morocco0Belgium0.847Namibia0.6643Benin0.5278Gabon0Austria0.848Greece0.6544The Gambia0.5279Nigeria0Netherlands0.849Mauritius0.6445Nepal0.5280Haiti0Ireland0.8211The Bahamas0.6447Liberia0.5181Congo, Dem. Rep.Rep.0New Zealand0.8212South Africa0.6348Paraguay0.5183Dilingiace0	0.44 111 0.44 112 0.43 113 0.43 114 0.43 115 0.42 116 0.42 117 0.43 118 0.44 120 0.40 121 0.40 121
Sweden0.874Singapore0.6740Ecuador0.5375Tanzania0Germany0.865Montenegro0.6741Rwanda0.5276Algeria0Luxembourg0.856St. Lucia0.6642Belize0.5277Morocco0Belgium0.847Namibia0.6643Benin0.5278Gabon0Austria0.848Greece0.6544The Gambia0.5279Nigeria0Netherlands0.849Mauritius0.6445Nepal0.5280Haiti0Ireland0.8211The Bahamas0.6447Liberia0.5181Congo, Dem. Rep.0New Zealand0.8212South Africa0.6348Paraguay0.5183Zambia0	0.44 112 0.43 113 0.43 114 0.43 115 0.42 116 0.42 117 0.43 117 0.44 118 0.40 119 0.40 121 0.40 121
Germany0.865Montenegro0.6741Rwanda0.5276Algeria0.7Luxembourg0.856St. Lucia0.6642Belize0.5277Morocco0.7Belgium0.847Namibia0.6643Benin0.5278Gabon0.7Austria0.848Greece0.6544The Gambia0.5279Nigeria0.7Netherlands0.849Mauritius0.6445Nepal0.5280Haiti0.7Estonia0.8310Jamaica0.6446Suriname0.5181Congo, Dem. Rep.0.7Ireland0.8211The Bahamas0.6448Paraguay0.5183Zambia0.7New Zealand0.8212South Africa0.6348Paraguay0.5183Dilipariaes0.7	0.43 113 0.43 114 0.43 115 0.42 116 0.42 117 0.41 118 0.42 119 0.40 120 0.40 121 0.39 122
Luxembourg0.856St. Lucia0.6642Belize0.5277Morocco0.66Belgium0.847Namibia0.6643Benin0.5278Gabon0.66Austria0.848Greece0.6544The Gambia0.5279Nigeria0.66Netherlands0.849Mauritius0.6445Nepal0.5280Haiti0.66Estonia0.8310Jamaica0.6446Suriname0.5181Congo, Dem. Rep.0.67Ireland0.8211The Bahamas0.6447Liberia0.5182Zambia0.66New Zealand0.8212South Africa0.6348Paraguay0.5183Dilipations0.66	0.43 114 0.43 115 0.42 116 0.42 117 0.41 118 0.40 119 0.40 120 0.40 121 0.39 122
Belgium0.847Namibia0.6643Benin0.5278Gabon0.66Austria0.848Greece0.6544The Gambia0.5279Nigeria0.66Netherlands0.849Mauritius0.6445Nepal0.5280Haiti0.66Estonia0.8310Jamaica0.6446Suriname0.5181Congo, Dem. Rep.0.66Ireland0.8211The Bahamas0.6447Liberia0.5182Zambia0.66New Zealand0.8212South Africa0.6348Paraguay0.5183Dilipariace0.66	0.43 115 0.42 116 0.42 117 0.41 118 0.40 119 0.40 121 0.40 121
Austria0.848Greece0.6544The Gambia0.5279Nigeria0.70Netherlands0.849Mauritius0.6445Nepal0.5280Haiti0.70Estonia0.8310Jamaica0.6446Suriname0.5181Congo, Dem. Rep.0.70Ireland0.8211The Bahamas0.6447Liberia0.5182Zambia0.70New Zealand0.8212South Africa0.6348Paraguay0.5183Delibratione0.70	0.42 116 0.42 117 0.41 118 0.40 119 0.40 120 0.40 121 0.39 122
Netherlands0.849Mauritius0.6445Nepal0.5280Haiti0.64Estonia0.8310Jamaica0.6446Suriname0.5181Congo, Dem. Rep.0.64Ireland0.8211The Bahamas0.6447Liberia0.5182Zambia0.64New Zealand0.8212South Africa0.6348Paraguay0.5183Delibrations0.64	0.42 117 0.41 118 0.40 119 0.40 120 0.40 121 0.39 122
Estonia0.8310Jamaica0.6446Suriname0.5181Congo, Dem. Rep.0.1Ireland0.8211The Bahamas0.6447Liberia0.5182Zambia0.1New Zealand0.8212South Africa0.6348Paraguay0.5183Zambia0.1	0.41 2 118 0.40 2 119 0.40 2 120 0.40 2 121 0.39 2 122
Ireland0.8211The Bahamas0.6447Liberia0.5182Rep.0.New Zealand0.8212South Africa0.6348Paraguay0.5183Zambia0.Delibration0.0348Paraguay0.5183Delibration0.	0.40 119 0.40 120 0.40 121 0.39 122
Ireland0.8211The Bahamas0.6447Liberia0.5182Rep.New Zealand0.8212South Africa0.6348Paraguay0.5183Zambia0.Dhilipping0.030.0348Paraguay0.5183Dhilipping0.	0.40 119 0.40 120 0.40 121 0.39 122
New Zealand 0.82 12 South Africa 0.63 48 Paraguay 0.51 83	0.40 120 0.40 121 0.39 122
Canada 0.81 12 Danama 0.42 40 Turisia 0.54 84 Philippines 0.	0.40 121 0.39 122
Canada 0.81 13 Panama 0.62 49 Tunisia 0.51 84 Philippines 0.	0.39 122
Uruguay 0.80 14 Grenada 0.62 50 Indonesia 0.50 85 Congo, Rep. 0.	
United Georgia 0.62 51 Sri Lanka 0.50 86 Mozambique 0.	00 400
Kingdom0.8015Georgia0.625151516060Dominica0.6252Mali0.5087Mauritania0.50	.38 123
Costa Rica 0.79 16 Bulgaria 0.61 53 Guinea 0.50 88 Russian 0	.38 124
Spain 0.79 17 Poland 0.61 54 Colombia 0.49 89	_
Lithuania 0.78 18 Kosovo 0.61 55 Malaysia 0.49 90 Pakistan 0.	0.38 125
Japan 0.76 17 North Brazil 0.49 91	0.37 126
Czechia 0.78 20 Macedonia 0.00 50 Cameroon 0.	0.36 127
Australia 0.78 21 Peru 0.60 57 Mexico 0.48 93	0.36 128
Latvia 0.77 22 Hong Kong 0.60 58 Kenva 0.47 94	0.35 129
Portugal 0.76 23 SAR, China Zimbabwe 0.	0.34 130
Kvrgvz and Ar	0.33 131
Korea, Rep. 0.75 25 Senegal 0.59 60 Republic 0.46 96 Sudan 0.	0.33 132
Maita 0.74 20 0.59 61 Lebanon 0.46 97 7	0.30 133
France 0.74 27 Rotewana 0.59 62 Madagascar 0.46 98 Venezuela, RB 0.	0.30 134
Barbados 0.73 28 Meldava 0.59 42 India 0.46 99 Ethiopia 0.	0.30 135
Slovak 0.73 29 Receipt and Kazakhstan 0.46 100 Micaragua 0.	0.30 136
Republic 0.58 64 Thailand 0.46 101 Bangladesh 0.	0.29 137
Malawi 0.58 65 Li Salvadoi 0.40 102	0.28 138
Ghana 0.58 66 Jordan 0.40 105	0.25 139
Cyprus 0.72 32 Dominican 0.58 67 Togo 0.46 104 Egypt, Arab 0.	.24 140
Antigua and 0.71 33 Republic Côte d'Ivoire 0.45 105 Myanmar 0	.20 141
Albania 0.57 68 Honduras 0.45 106	
the Grenadines 0.70 34 Mongolia 0.56 69 Kuwait 0.45 107 Rep. 0	0.20 142
Argentina 0.69 35 Guyana 0.56 70 United Arab 0.45 108	-
St. Kitts and 0.69 36 Hungary 0.55 71 Emirates 0.49 100	

ADHERENCE TO THE RULE OF LAW	Weaker	S	tronger	
	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75

Order and Security

Factor 5 measures how well a society ensures the security of persons and property. Security is one of the defining aspects of any rule of law society and is a fundamental function of the state. It is also a precondition for the realization of the rights and freedoms that the rule of law seeks to advance. For a further breakdown of Order and Security by sub-factor, please refer to page 18.

Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank									
Luxembourg	0.95	1	Belgium	0.82	37	Tunisia	0.72	71	Bangladesh	0.63	108
Ireland	0.95	2	Cyprus	0.81	38	Botswana	0.72	72	Iran, Islamic	0.63	109
Singapore	0.93	3	China	0.81	39	Greece	0.72	73	Rep.		
Denmark	0.93	4	Moldova	0.81	40	Guinea	0.72	74	Gabon	0.63	110
Norway	0.93	5	Belarus	0.81	41	Türkiye	0.72	75	Guyana	0.63	111
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.93	6	Barbados	0.80	42	Ghana	0.71	76	Egypt, Arab Rep.	0.62	112
Sweden	0.92	7	North Macedonia	0.80	43	Belize	0.71	77	Niger	0.62	113
Japan	0.92	8	Kazakhstan	0.80	44	Uruguay	0.71	78	Jamaica	0.62	114
Finland	0.92	9	Grenada	0.80	45	Indonesia	0.71	79	Peru	0.62	115
United Arab			France	0.79	46	Madagascar	0.71	80	Argentina	0.61	116
Emirates	0.91	10	Georgia	0.79	47	Paraguay	0.70	81	Congo, Rep.	0.61	117
Malta	0.91	11	Antigua and			Senegal	0.70	82	Ukraine	0.61	118
Austria	0.91	12	Barbuda	0.79	48	Tanzania	0.70	83	South Africa	0.60	119
Slovak	0.90	13	Portugal	0.78	49	Panama	0.70	84	Brazil	0.60	120
Republic	0.70	13	Malaysia	0.78	50	Costa Rica	0.70	85	Liberia	0.60	121
Hungary	0.90	14	Vietnam	0.78	51	Togo	0.70	86	Bolivia	0.59	122
Canada	0.90	15	Bulgaria	0.78	52	The Gambia	0.70	87	Ecuador	0.59	123
Estonia	0.90	16	Albania	0.78	53	Nicaragua	0.70	88	Guatemala	0.59	124
Uzbekistan	0.90	17	St. Kitts and	0.78	E A	Morocco	0.69	89	Myanmar	0.58	125
Slovenia	0.89	18	Nevis	0.76	54	Zambia	0.69	90	Kenya	0.58	126
Czechia	0.89	19	Bosnia and	0.77	55	Sri Lanka	0.68	91	Angola	0.57	127
Lithuania	0.89	20	Herzegovina			Malawi	0.68	92	Uganda	0.57	128
Germany	0.89	21	Jordan	0.76	56	Lebanon	0.68	93	Colombia	0.56	129
New Zealand	0.88	22	Serbia	0.76	57	Philippines	0.67	94	Sudan	0.56	130
Australia	0.87	23	Mongolia	0.76	58	Zimbabwe	0.67	95	Ethiopia	0.53	131
Kuwait	0.87	24	St. Vincent and the	0.76	59	Chile	0.67	96	Venezuela,	0.54	400
Latvia	0.86	25	Grenadines	0.70		Côte d'Ivoire	0.67	97	RB	0.51	132
Poland	0.86	26	Mauritius	0.75	60	Sierra Leone	0.67	98	Mexico	0.50	133
Rwanda	0.85	27	Algeria	0.75	61	Cambodia	0.66	99	Cameroon	0.48	134
Netherlands	0.85	28	Italy	0.75	62	El Salvador	0.66	100	Haiti	0.48	135
Korea, Rep.	0.84	29	Namibia	0.75	63	Russian Federation	0.66	101	Congo, Dem.	0.45	136
United	0.84	30	Benin	0.74	64				Rep.		
Kingdom			Thailand	0.74	65	Trinidad and Tobago	0.65	102	Burkina Faso	0.43	137
Croatia	0.84	31	The Bahamas	0.73	66	Suriname	0.65	103	Mozambique	0.43	138
Kosovo	0.83	32	St. Lucia	0.73	67	Mauritania	0.65	104	Nigeria	0.37	139
United States	0.83	33	Kyrgyz	0.73	68	India	0.64	105	Mali	0.37	140
Romania	0.83	34	Republic			Dominican			Pakistan	0.33	141
Spain	0.83	35	Dominica	0.73	69	Republic	0.64	106	Afghanistan	0.30	142
Montenegro	0.82	36	Nepal	0.73	70	Honduras	0.64	107			

ADHERENCE	Weaker	Weaker							
TO THE RULE OF LAW	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75	1.00				

Regulatory Enforcement

Factor 6 measures the extent to which regulations are fairly and effectively implemented and enforced. Regulations, both legal and administrative, structure behaviors within and outside of the government. This factor does not assess which activities a government chooses to regulate, nor does it consider how much regulation of a particular activity is appropriate. Rather, it examines how regulations are implemented and enforced. For a further breakdown of Regulatory Enforcement by sub-factor, please refer to page 18.

Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank									
Denmark	0.88	1	Slovak	0.62	37	Bosnia and	0.49	71	Lebanon	0.44	107
Norway	0.88	2	Republic	0.02	0,	Herzegovina	0.17	, <u>-</u>	Ukraine	0.43	108
Finland	0.87	3	Antigua and Barbuda	0.62	38	Trinidad and Tobago	0.49	72	Angola	0.43	109
Luxembourg	0.87	4	St. Kitts and			Nepal	0.49	73	Congo, Rep.	0.43	110
Singapore	0.86	5	Nevis	0.62	39	China	0.49	74	Uganda	0.43	111
Netherlands	0.85	6	St. Lucia	0.61	40	Sri Lanka	0.48	75	Albania	0.43	112
New Zealand	0.84	7	Rwanda	0.60	41	Brazil	0.48	76	Zambia	0.43	113
Germany	0.84	8	Portugal	0.60	42	El Salvador	0.48	77	Dominican	0.42	114
Sweden	0.83	9	Malta	0.59	43	Benin	0.48	78	Republic	0.40	445
Ireland	0.82	10	Romania	0.59	44	Mongolia	0.48	79	Myanmar	0.42	115
Australia	0.82	11	Botswana	0.58	45	Kosovo	0.48	80	Türkiye	0.42	116
Canada	0.81	12	Namibia	0.58	46	Peru	0.48	81	Kyrgyz Republic	0.41	117
Estonia	0.81	13	Georgia	0.58	47	Montenegro	0.48	82	Belize	0.41	118
Austria	0.80	14	Indonesia	0.57	48	India	0.48	83	Nigeria	0.41	119
Japan	0.80	15	Senegal	0.56	49	Philippines	0.47	84	Mozambique	0.41	120
Belgium	0.79	16	Croatia	0.56	50	Niger	0.47	85	Cameroon	0.40	121
Hong Kong	0.79	17	Greece	0.55	51	Guyana	0.47	86	Guatemala	0.40	122
SAR, China			Jamaica	0.55	52	Mali	0.47	87	Liberia	0.40	123
United Kingdom	0.79	18	Grenada	0.55	53	Ecuador	0.47	88	Honduras	0.39	124
Lithuania	0.76	19	Jordan	0.55	54	Burkina Faso	0.46	89	Bolivia	0.39	125
France	0.75	20	Malaysia	0.55	55	Malawi	0.46	90	Bangladesh	0.38	126
Korea, Rep.	0.74	21	St. Vincent			Paraguay	0.46	91	Madagascar	0.38	127
United States	0.72	22	and the Grenadines	0.53	56	Russian			Pakistan	0.38	128
Czechia	0.71	23	Ghana	0.53	57	Federation	0.46	92	The Gambia	0.37	129
Latvia	0.71	24	Dominica	0.53	58	Gabon	0.46	93	Sierra Leone	0.37	130
Uruguay	0.71	25	Bulgaria	0.53	59	North	0.46	94	Guinea	0.37	131
United Arab			South Africa	0.52	60	Macedonia			Ethiopia	0.36	132
Emirates	0.70	26	Kazakhstan	0.52	61	Suriname	0.46	95	Egypt, Arab	0.07	100
Spain	0.69	27	Côte d'Ivoire	0.52	62	Moldova	0.46	96	Rep.	0.36	133
Costa Rica	0.68	28	Colombia	0.52	63	Serbia	0.46	97	Nicaragua	0.36	134
Cyprus	0.66	29	The Bahamas	0.51	64	Hungary	0.45	98	Zimbabwe	0.35	135
Kuwait	0.66	30	Тодо	0.51	65	Uzbekistan	0.45	99	Afghanistan	0.35	136
Slovenia	0.65	31	Morocco	0.50	66	Kenya	0.45	100	Congo, Dem.	0.34	137
Chile	0.64	32	Tunisia	0.50	67	Tanzania	0.44	101	Rep.		
Italy	0.64	33	Panama	0.49	68	Mexico	0.44	102	Sudan	0.33	138
Poland	0.63	34	Argentina	0.49	69	Thailand	0.44	103	Mauritania	0.28	139
Mauritius	0.62	35	Algeria	0.49	70	Vietnam	0.44	104	Haiti	0.28	140
Barbados	0.62	36	0		-	Belarus	0.44	105	Cambodia	0.26	141
						Iran, Islamic Rep.	0.44	106	Venezuela, RB	0.19	142

ADHERENCE	Weaker	S	tronger		
TO THE RULE OF LAW	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75	1.00

Civil Justice

Factor 7 measures whether ordinary people can resolve their grievances peacefully and effectively through the civil justice system. It measures whether civil justice systems are accessible and affordable as well as free of discrimination, corruption, and improper influence by public officials. It examines whether court proceedings are conducted without unreasonable delays and whether decisions are enforced effectively. It also measures the accessibility, impartiality, and effectiveness of alternative dispute resolution mechanisms. For a further breakdown of Civil Justice by sub-factor, please refer to page 18.

Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank	Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank	Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank	Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank
Norway	0.86	1	Romania	0.63	35	Morocco	0.52	72	Nepal	0.44	107
Denmark	0.86	2	Kazakhstan	0.63	36	China	0.52	73	Angola	0.44	108
Netherlands	0.84	3	Barbados	0.63	37	Uzbekistan	0.52	74	Sri Lanka	0.43	109
Germany	0.83	4	United States	0.62	38	North	0.52	75	Dominican	0.43	110
Sweden	0.82	5	Namibia	0.62	39	Macedonia	0.52	75	Republic		_
Finland	0.81	6	Cyprus	0.62	40	Iran, Islamic Rep.	0.51	76	India	0.43	111
Estonia	0.81	7	Chile	0.62	41	Côte d'Ivoire	0.51	77	Benin	0.43	112
Lithuania	0.79	8	Malaysia	0.62	42	Russian			Madagascar	0.43	113
Singapore	0.79	9	Grenada	0.61	43	Federation	0.51	78	Sierra Leone	0.43	114
Luxembourg	0.78	10	Jordan	0.61	44	Moldova	0.50	79	Uganda	0.42	115
New Zealand	0.78	11	Poland	0.61	45	Kosovo	0.50	80	Mozambique	0.42	116
Japan	0.76	12	Botswana	0.61	46	Tunisia	0.49	81	Paraguay	0.42	117
Korea, Rep.	0.75	13	Costa Rica	0.61	47	El Salvador	0.49	82	Ethiopia	0.42	118
Australia	0.75	14	Malta	0.60	48	The Gambia	0.49	83	Türkiye	0.41	119
Belgium	0.74	15	South Africa	0.59	49	Brazil	0.49	84	Mali	0.41	120
Austria	0.74	16	Greece	0.58	50	Kenya	0.49	85	Peru	0.41	121
Ireland	0.73	17	Italy	0.58	51	Suriname	0.49	86	Cameroon	0.40	122
Uruguay	0.72	18	Kuwait	0.58	52	Thailand	0.49	87	Honduras	0.40	123
United	0.71	19	The Bahamas	0.57	53	Togo	0.48	88	Lebanon	0.40	124
Kingdom			Ghana	0.57	54	Kyrgyz	0.48	89	Liberia	0.40	125
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.71	20	Dominica	0.57	55	Republic			Mauritania	0.40	126
Czechia	0.69	21	Malawi	0.56	56	Tanzania	0.47	90	Gabon	0.40	127
France	0.69	22	Senegal	0.56	57	Colombia	0.47	91	Guinea	0.39	128
Latvia	0.69	23	Croatia	0.56	58	Serbia	0.47	92	Pakistan	0.38	129
Canada	0.69	24	Trinidad and	0.56	59	Indonesia	0.47	93	Egypt, Arab Rep.	0.38	130
St. Kitts and			Tobago	0.57	(0)	Zimbabwe	0.46	94	Mexico	0.37	131
Nevis	0.68	25	Belarus	0.56 0.56	60	Panama	0.46	95	Congo, Dem.		
Antigua and	0.68	26	Jamaica	0.56	61 62	Ecuador	0.46	96	Rep.	0.36	132
Barbuda			Algeria Argentina	0.55	63	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.46	97	Bangladesh	0.36	133
Slovenia	0.67	27	Slovak	0.55	03	Zambia	0.46	98	Sudan	0.36	134
United Arab Emirates	0.66	28	Republic	0.55	64	Niger	0.46	99	Haiti	0.35	135
St. Lucia	0.65	29	Bulgaria	0.54	65	Nigeria	0.46	100	Guatemala	0.33	136
Spain	0.65	30	Mongolia	0.54	66	Burkina Faso	0.45	101	Afghanistan	0.33	137
Rwanda	0.65	31	Montenegro	0.54	67	Albania	0.45	102	Myanmar	0.32	138
Portugal	0.65	32	Georgia	0.53	68	Philippines	0.45	103	Nicaragua	0.32	139
St. Vincent			Ukraine	0.53	69	Vietnam	0.45	104	Bolivia	0.31	140
and the	0.64	33	Belize	0.52	70	Hungary	0.45	105	Venezuela, RB	0.26	141
Grenadines Mauritius	0.63	34	Guyana	0.52	71	Congo, Rep.	0.44	106	Cambodia	0.25	142

ADHERENCE	Weaker	Weaker								
TO THE RULE OF LAW	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75	1.00					

* Scores are rounded to two decimal places.

🖧 Criminal Justice

Factor 8 evaluates a country's criminal justice system. An effective criminal justice system is a key aspect of the rule of law, as it constitutes the conventional mechanism to redress grievances and bring action against individuals for offenses against society. An assessment of the delivery of criminal justice should take into consideration the entire system, including the police, lawyers, prosecutors, judges, and prison officers. For a further breakdown of Criminal Justice by sub-factor, please refer to page 19.

Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank	Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank	Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank	Country/ Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank
Finland	0.84	1	Slovak	0.58	35	North	0.44	72	Ecuador	0.33	109
Denmark	0.83	2	Republic	0.50		Macedonia			Iran, Islamic	0.33	110
Norway	0.83	3	Costa Rica	0.58	36	Malawi	0.44	73	Rep.	0.00	110
Sweden	0.79	4	Poland	0.58	37	China	0.43	74	Egypt, Arab	0.33	111
Austria	0.79	5	Uruguay	0.58	38	Benin	0.43	75	Rep. Peru	0.33	112
Germany	0.78	6	Jordan	0.57	39	Thailand	0.41	76	Liberia	0.33	112
Singapore	0.77	7	Slovenia	0.56	40	Tunisia	0.41	77	Brazil	0.33	113
Japan	0.76	8	Portugal	0.56	41	Moldova	0.41	78			114
Estonia	0.75	9	Rwanda	0.56	42	Algeria	0.41	79	Colombia	0.32	115
Netherlands	0.74	10	Malaysia	0.56	43	Sri Lanka	0.41	80	Kyrgyz Republic	0.32	116
New Zealand	0.73	11	Dominica	0.56	44	Guyana	0.40	81	Madagascar	0.32	117
Canada	0.73	12	Namibia	0.55	45	The Gambia	0.40	82	Lebanon	0.32	118
Luxembourg	0.73	13	St. Lucia	0.54	46	Indonesia	0.40	83	Uganda	0.32	119
Australia	0.73	14	Mauritius	0.54	47	Zambia	0.40	84	Philippines	0.31	120
Ireland	0.72	15	Chile	0.54	48	Belize	0.39	85	Trinidad and		
Korea, Rep.	0.71	16	Grenada	0.54	49	Nigeria	0.39	86	Tobago	0.31	121
Belgium	0.71	17	Antigua and	0.53	50	Argentina	0.39	87	Mozambique	0.31	122
United	0.70	10	Barbuda			Kenya	0.39	88	Bangladesh	0.30	123
Kingdom	0.70	18	Suriname	0.53	51	Belarus	0.39	89	Guatemala	0.30	124
Czechia	0.70	19	Romania	0.52	52	Serbia	0.39	90	Guinea	0.29	125
Hong Kong	0.69	20	Georgia	0.52	53	Albania	0.38	91	Gabon	0.29	126
SAR, China			Croatia	0.51	54	Dominican	0.38	92	Russian	0.29	127
Lithuania	0.69	21	South Africa	0.50	55	Republic			Federation	0.27	127
Latvia	0.68	22	Greece	0.50	56	India	0.37	93	Congo, Dem.	0.28	128
Cyprus	0.68	23	Jamaica	0.49	57	Tanzania	0.37	94	Rep.	0.00	100
United Arab Emirates	0.68	24	Mongolia	0.48	58	Morocco	0.37	95	Mali	0.28	129
Spain	0.66	25	Burkina Faso	0.48	59	Togo	0.36	96	Mauritania	0.27	130
Italy	0.64	26	Montenegro	0.47	60	Ukraine	0.36	97	Paraguay	0.26	131
Malta	0.63	20	Kazakhstan	0.47	61	Zimbabwe	0.36	98	Mexico	0.26	132
France	0.63	27	Kuwait	0.47	62	Pakistan	0.36	99	Honduras	0.26	133
United States	0.60	29	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.47	63	Côte d'Ivoire	0.36	100	Cambodia	0.26	134
St. Vincent	0.00	27	Senegal	0.47	64	Angola	0.35	101	Afghanistan	0.25	135
and the	0.60	30	Kosovo	0.47	65	Niger	0.35	102	Myanmar	0.25	136
Grenadines						Sierra Leone	0.35	103	Nicaragua	0.25	137
St. Kitts and	0.59	31	Vietnam	0.46	66	Ethiopia	0.35	104	Haiti	0.25	138
Nevis			Hungary	0.45	67	Sudan	0.34	105	El Salvador	0.25	139
Botswana	0.59	32	Nepal	0.45	68	Panama	0.34	106	Cameroon	0.24	140
The Bahamas	0.58	33	Ghana	0.45	69	Türkiye	0.34	107	Bolivia	0.21	141
Barbados	0.58	34	Bulgaria	0.44	70	Congo, Rep.	0.34	108	Venezuela, RB	0.12	142
			Uzbekistan	0.44	71				ND		

ADHERENCE	Weaker	Weaker								
TO THE RULE OF LAW	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75	1.00					

SECTION 3

Country Profiles

"The Law of Nations, however, is common to the entire human race, for all nations have established for themselves certain regulations exacted by custom and human necessity."

Corpus Juris Civilis (6th Century CE)


- 38 How to Read the Country Profiles
- **39** Country Profiles

How to Read the Country Profiles

Section 1

Indonesia

0.53

This section presents profiles for the 142 countries and jurisdictions included in the WJP Rule of Law Index[®] 2023 report. Each profile presents the featured country's scores for each of the WJP Rule of Law Index's factors and sub-factors and draws comparisons between the scores of the featured country and the scores of other indexed countries in the same regional and income groups.

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score (strong adherence to rule of law) and 0 signifies the lowest possible score (weak adherence to rule of law). The country profiles consist of four sections, outlined below.

Displays the country's overall rule of law score; its overall global, income, and regional ranks; and its change in score and rank from the 2022 edition of the Index.

Section 2

Displays the featured country's individual factor scores, along with its global, regional, and income group rankings. The global, regional, and income rankings are distributed across three tiers — high, medium, and low — as indicated by the color of the box where the score is found.

Displays the country's disaggregated scores for each of the sub-factors that compose the WJP Rule of Law Index.

The featured country's score is represented by the purple bar and labeled at the end of the bar. The average score of the country's region is represented by the orange line. The average score of the country's income group is represented by the green line.

Section 4

gion: East Asia and Pacit

Presents the individual sub-factor scores underlying each of the factors listed in Section 3 of the country profile.

Each of the 44 sub-factors is represented by a gray line drawn from the center to the periphery of the circle. The center of the circle corresponds to the worst possible score for each sub-factor (0), and the outer edge of the circle marks the best possible score for each sub-factor (1).

The featured country's scores for 2023 are represented by the purple line. The featured country's scores for 2022 are represented by the gray line.

 Contractional Power
 0.0
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00



Afghanistan

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Ra	ink	Income	Rank	Global Rank	
	0.32	6/6		18/1	18	140/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	ge				
-	0.01 -	0					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.37	-0.03*	6/6	16/18	122/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.30	0.00	6/6	14/18	125/142
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.35	-0.02	6/6	14/18	125/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.28	-0.04*	6/6	18/18	138/142
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.30	0.00	6/6	18/18	142/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.35	-0.01	6/6	16/18	136/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.33	-0.01	6/6	18/18	137/142
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.25	-0.01	6/6	18/18	135/142
	ates statistically cent level	v 1	Medium	High			



†‡

🗕 Afghanistan 📒 South Asia 📒 Low

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1	0.50
Limits by legislature	
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.31
1.3	0.37
Independent auditing	
1.4	0.31
Sanctions for official misconduct	
1.5	0.46
Non-governmental checks	
1.6	0.25
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	*
	\$
2.1	0.36
In the executive branch	
2.2	0.20
In the judiciary	
2.3	0.42
In the police/military	

0.24

ŀ

0.21

0.38

0.46

0.36

2.4

In the legislature

Open Government

Publicized laws and gov't data

3.1

Right to information

Civic participation

Complaint mechanisms

3.2

3.3

3.4

	. 14
4.1 No discrimination	0.25
4.2 Right to life and security	0.13
4.3 Due process of law	0.25
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.46
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.19
4.6 Right to privacy	0.17
4.7 Freedom of association	0.45
4.8 Labor rights	0.37
Order and Security	6
5.1 Absence of crime	0.47
	0.47
Absence of crime	
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	0.06
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.06
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.06
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	0.06 0.37
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	0.06 0.37 0.32 0.32

Fundamental Rights

Civil Justice	∆ <u>T</u> ∆
7.1	0.42
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2 No discrimination	0.11
	0.00
7.3 No corruption	0.20
7.4	0.26
No improper gov't influence	
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.38
7.6	0.52
Effective enforcement	0.52
7.7	0.44
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1	0.30
Effective investigations	
8.2	0.40
Timely and effective adjudication	
8.3	0.23
Effective correctional system	
8.4 No discrimination	0.20
8.5	0.27
No corruption	/
8.6	0.12
Ne income an early influence	

No improper gov't influence

Due process of law

8.7

0.25

Albania

Open Government

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

0	verall Score	Regional Rank	Income	e Rank	Globa	al Rank	
	0.48	10/15	29/	41	91/	/142	Constraints on Government Powers
Sc	ore Change	Rank Change					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4
	0.00	-2 •					8.4 1.5 1.6
			ictor Score core Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 7.7 2.3 2.1 Absence of 2.2 Corruption 2.3
Ĺ	Constraints o Government		.43 0.00	10/15	34/41	107/142	7.5
\$	Absence of C	orruption C	.36 0.00	13/15	35/41	108/142	7.5 Civil 7.4
	Open Govern	ment C	.47 0.01	8/15	25/41	80/142	Justice 7.3 7.2
ţŧ	Fundamental	Rights C	.57 -0.01	8/15	21/41	68/142	7.1 3.4 4.1
ĥ	Order and Se	curity C	.78 0.00	9/15	12/41	53/142	6.5 6.4 4.2 4.3
	Regulatory E	nforcement C	.43 0.00	13/15	36/41	112/142	Regulatory 6.1 4.6 Eurodemental
₽Ţ₫	Civil Justice	C	.45 -0.01	14/15	33/41	102/142	Enforcement 5.3 5.2 5.1 4.8 4.7 Rights
60	Criminal Just	ice C	.38 -0.02	11/15	29/41	91/142	Security
	ercent level	significant change at the second s				High	— 2023 Score — 2022 Score
С	onstraints on	Government Pov	vers		Fundam	ental Righ	s Civil Justice
1.1 Li	mits by legislati	ure	0.4		No discri	mination	0.53 7.1 0.56 Accessibility and affordability
1.2 Li	mits by judiciar	v	0.2		Right to l	ife and secu	0.73 7.2 0.47 rity No discrimination
1.3	dependent aud		0.50	0 4.3		ess of law	0.50 7.3 0.31 0.31
1.4		cial misconduct	0.3	7 4.4		of expressi	0.50 7.4 0.32
1.5	on-government		0.50) 4.5		of religion	0.76 7.5 0.36 No unreasonable delay
1.6	awful transition		0.4	5 4.6	Right to p		0.58 7.6 0.51 Effective enforcement
	bsence of Cor			4.7		of associat	0.51 7.7 0.63

Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.39
2.2 In the judiciary	0.35
2.3	0.52

2.5	0.52
In the police/military	
2.4	0.21
In the legislature	



4.1	0.53
No discrimination	0.00
NO discrimination	
4.2	0.73
Right to life and security	
- ,	
4.3	0.50
Due process of law	
4.4	0.50
	0.50
Freedom of expression	
4.5	0.76
Freedom of religion	
rredoniorrengion	
4.6	0.58
Right to privacy	
	0.54
4.7	0.51
Freedom of association	
4.8	0.48
Labor rights	0.10
Labor rights	
Order and Security	6
	1
5.1	0.88
5.1	
Abconco of crime	
Absence of crime	
Absence of crime 5.2	1.00
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	1.00
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	1.00
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	1.00
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement	1.00 0.45
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	1.00
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement	1.00 0.45
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	1.00 0.45
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	1.00 0.45
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	1.00 0.45
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	1.00 0.45
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	1.00 0.45 0.45 0.47
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay	 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.47 0.50
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4	1.00 0.45 0.45 0.47
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay	 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.47 0.50
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4 Respect for due process	 1.00 0.45 0.45 0.47 0.50 0.28
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4 Respect for due process 6.5	1.00 0.45 0.45 0.45 0.47 0.50 0.28 0.42
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4 Respect for due process	1.00 0.45 0.45 0.45 0.47 0.50 0.28 0.42

Civil Justice	ΔŢΔ
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.56
7.2 No discrimination	0.47
7.3 No corruption	0.31
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.32
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.36
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.51
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.63
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1	0.43
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	0.43 0.35
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 	0.35
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No 	0.35
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 Model 	0.35
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 Occorruption 	0.35 0.36 0.45 0.33

Algeria

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional R	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank	
	0.49	5/9		10/3	37	84/	142	Constraints on Government Powers
Sco	ore Change	Rank Char	nge					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3
	0.00	7 •						8.4 1.5 1.6
			Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.1 0,5 2.2 Corrupti
Ó	Constraints o Government		0.47	0.00	6/9	18/37	96/142	7.7 7.6 2.3 2.4
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.45	0.01	5/9	5/37	74/142	7.5 Civil 7.4
ŀ	Open Govern	iment	0.36	0.01	6/9	26/37	123/142	Justice 7.3 3.3 Gc
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.43	0.00	6/9	21/37	113/142	72 7.1 3.4 4.1
f	Order and Se	curity	0.75	0.00	4/9	4/37	61/142	65 6.4 4.2 4.3
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.49	0.01	6/9	7/37	70/142	6.3 Regulatory 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1
₫ĭ	Civil Justice		0.55	0.00	4/9	3/37	62/142	Order and Rights
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.41	0.01	5/9	9/37	79/142	Security
	cates statistically rcent level	significant chang	ge at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High	— 2023 Score — 2022 Score

Algeria — Middle East and North Africa — Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1	0.49
Limits by legislature	
1.2	0.42
Limits by judiciary	
1.3	0.49
Independent auditing	
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.53
	0.20
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.39
1.6	0.47
Lawful transition of power	0.17
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1	0.40
In the executive branch	
2.2	0.56
In the judiciary	
2.3	0.46
In the police/military	
2.4	0.39
In the legislature	
Open Government	
3.1	0.24
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.34
Right to information	
3.3	0.37
Civic participation	0.55
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.50
Complaint mechanisms	

Fundamental Rights	ţŧ.
4.1 No discrimination	0.55
4.2 Right to life and security	0.47
4.3 Due process of law	0.47
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.39
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.21
4.6 Right to privacy	0.47
4.7 Freedom of association	0.40
4.8 Labor rights	0.49
Order and Security	6
-	1
5.1 Absence of crime	0.67
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.59
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.44
6.2 No improper influence	0.53
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.52
6.4 Respect for due process	0.42
6.5	0.54
No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	

Civil Justice	ΔĪΔ
7.1	0.60
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2	0.44
No discrimination	
7.3	0.61
No corruption	
7.4	0.42
No improper gov't influence	
7.5	0.73
No unreasonable delay	
7.6	0.50
Effective enforcement	
7.7	0.56
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1	0.27
Effective investigations	
8.2	0.45
Timely and effective adjudication	
8.3	0.46
Effective correctional system	
8.4	0.60
No discrimination	
8.5	0.42
No corruption	
8.6	0.20

8.6 0.20 No improper gov't influence 8.7 0.47 Due process of law

Angola

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score Regional		Regional Ra	ank	Income Rank		Global Rank		
	0.43 21/34			22/37		115/142		
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge					
	0.00	0						
			Fact Scor		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.4	1	0.00	21/34	22/37	111/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.4	4	0.00	9/34	6/37	79/142
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.3	9	0.01	16/34	22/37	111/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.3	7	-0.01	29/34	29/37	126/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.5	7	-0.02	25/34	33/37	127/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.4	3	0.01	18/34	22/37	109/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.4	4	-0.01	20/34	22/37	108/142
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.3	5	0.01	19/34	21/37	101/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the								



10 percent level

🗕 Angola 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 🛑 Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ó
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.50
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.40
1.3 Independent auditing	0.39
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.35
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.39
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.41
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.36
2.2 In the judiciary	0.51
2.3 In the police/military	0.48
2.4 In the legislature	0.40
Open Government	ŀ
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.17
3.2 Right to information	0.43
3.3 Civic participation	0.38
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.59

Fundamental Rights	_ † ‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.44
4.2 Right to life and security	0.24
4.3 Due process of law	0.33
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.39
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.49
4.6 Right to privacy	0.18
4.7 Freedom of association	0.41
4.8 Labor rights	0.47
Order and Security	ĥ
5.1 Absence of crime	0.48
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.94
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.31
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.42
6.2 No improper influence	0.50
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.33
6.4 Respect for due process	0.48
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compense	0.41 ation

Civil Justice	₽Ţ₽
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.49
7.2 No discrimination	0.53
7.3 No corruption	0.46
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.31
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.28
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.37
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.60
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.37
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.36
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.25
8.4 No discrimination	0.38
8.5	0.50

No corruption	
8.6	0.28
No improper gov't influence	
8.7	0.33
Due process of law	

Antigua and Barbuda

Constraints on Government

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score Regional Rank		k	Income R	lank	Global Rank		
	0.63	5/32		37/46		38/142	
Score Change Rank Change		Rank Change	5				
	0.00	2 •					
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.61	0.00	7/32	36/46	42/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.62	0.01	10/32	39/46	45/142
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.52	0.00	15/32	41/46	62/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.71	0.01	5/32	32/46	33/142
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.79	-0.01	3/32	37/46	48/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.62	0.01	5/32	36/46	38/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.68	-0.01	3/32	26/46	26/142
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.53	0.01	11/32	39/46	50/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							



10 percent level

Antigua and Barbuda — Latin America and Caribbean — High



3.4

Complaint mechanisms

0.56

Fundamental Rights	†‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.71
4.2	0.82
Right to life and security 4.3	0.58
Due process of law	0.63
Freedom of expression	0.05
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.65
4.6 Right to privacy	0.73
4.7	0.72
Freedom of association 4.8	0.85
Labor rights	
Order and Security	ĥ
5.1 Absence of crime	0.80
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	0.55
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.54
6.2 6.2 No improper influence	0.78
6.3	0.50
No unreasonable delay	0.58
Respect for due process	
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.68 ation

Civil Justice	۵_۵
7.1	0.73
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2 No discrimination	0.66
7.3	0.82
No corruption	0.82
7.4	0.76
No improper gov't influence	
7.5	0.47
No unreasonable delay	
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.52
	0.77
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.77
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice	0.48
	0
8.1 Effective investigations	0
8.1 Effective investigations	0.48
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Timely and effective adjudication 	0.48
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 	0.48
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 	0.48
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 	0.48 0.53 0.38 0.48
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 	0.48
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.48 0.53 0.38 0.48
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 	0.48 0.53 0.38 0.48 0.71
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No improper gov't influence 8.7 No box 	0.48 0.53 0.38 0.48 0.71
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No improper gov't influence 	0.48 0.53 0.38 0.48 0.71 0.56

Argentina

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score Regional Ra		nk	Income Rank		Global Rank		
	0.55 13/32			17/41		63/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	ge				
	0.00	-2 •					
			Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.56	0.00	13/32	12/41	60/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.46	0.00	14/32	19/41	70/142
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.61	-0.01	5/32	4/41	38/142
ţ‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.69	0.01	7/32	3/41	35/142
f	Order and Se	curity	0.61	0.00	24/32	34/41	116/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.49	0.00	15/32	17/41	69/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.55	0.00	14/32	15/41	63/142
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.39	-0.02	16/32	26/41	87/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							



10 percent level

🗕 Argentina 🛑 Latin America and Caribbean 🛑 Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	É
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.61
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.43
1.3 Independent auditing	0.54
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.36
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.72
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.72
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.40
2.2 In the judiciary	0.63
2.3 In the police/military	0.59
2.4 In the legislature	0.21
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.67
3.2 Right to information	0.49

0.69

0.58

Fundamental Rights	†‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.62
4.2 Right to life and security	0.79
4.3 Due process of law	0.55
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.72
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.79
4.6 Right to privacy	0.64
4.7 Freedom of association	0.78
4.8 Labor rights	0.65
Order and Security	ĥ
5.1 Absence of crime	0.57
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.28
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.50
6.2 No improper influence	0.60
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.42
6.4 Respect for due process	0.47
6.5 Control 1 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.48 ation

Civil Justice	<u> </u>
7.1	0.72
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2	0.64
No discrimination	
7.3	0.53
No corruption	
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.44
7.5	0.28
No unreasonable delay	0.20
7.6	0.51
Effective enforcement	
7.7	0.72
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice	<mark>්රි</mark> 0.29
	0.29
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	0.29
8.1 Effective investigations	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 	0.33
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 	0.33
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 	0.33 0.28 0.50
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.33
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 	0.33 0.28 0.50
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 	0.33 0.28 0.50 0.45
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 	0.33 0.28 0.50 0.45

Civic participation

Complaint mechanisms

3.3

3.4

Australia

Constraints on Government Powers

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Ranl	k I	ncome R	lank	Global Rank		
	0.80	2/15		13/4	6	13/142		
Sco	re Change	Rank Change	:					
	0.00	0						
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.82	0.00	2/15	13/46	13/142	
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.81	0.00	5/15	15/46	15/142	
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.81	0.01	2/15	8/46	8/142	
ţţ.	Fundamental	Rights	0.78	0.00	3/15	20/46	21/142	
î	Order and Se	curity	0.87	0.00	5/15	22/46	23/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.82	0.01	3/15	11/46	11/142	
ΔŢ	Civil Justice		0.75	0.01	5/15	14/46	14/142	
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.73	0.00	4/15	14/46	14/142	
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High								

Civil Ci

Criminal Justice

İİ

10 percent level





0.87

Civic participation

Complaint mechanisms

3.4

4.1 No discrimination	0.66
4.2 Right to life and security	0.89
4.3 Due process of law	0.76
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.79
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.83
4.6 Right to privacy	0.81
4.7 Freedom of association	0.81
4.8 Labor rights	0.70
Order and Security	ĥ
5.1 Absence of crime	0.90
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.72
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	4
	0.71
Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	0.71
Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	0.71

Fundamental Rights

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

	Civil Justice	₽Ţ₫
7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.59
7.2	No discrimination	0.65
7.3	No corruption	0.87
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.89
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.67
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.78
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.81
	Criminal Justice	do
8.1		<mark>ර්රි</mark> 0.66
8.1 8.2	Effective investigations	<mark>ර්</mark> රි 0.66 0.70
	Effective investigations	
8.2	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system	0.70
8.2 8.3	Effective investigations	0.70 0.63
8.2 8.3 8.4	Effective investigations	0.70 0.63 0.58
8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5	Effective investigations	0.70 0.63 0.58 0.85

Austria

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	nk	Income F	Rank	Global	Rank	
	0.80	10/31		11/4	6	11/:	142	Constraints on Government Powers
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chang	ge					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3
	0.00	0						8.4 8.3 8.2
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.1 0,5 2.2 Corrupt
Í	Constraints o Government		0.84	0.00	7/31	8/46	8/142	7.7 7.6 2.3 2.4
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.80	0.00	12/31	17/46	17/142	7.5 Civil 7.4 0 3.1
	Open Govern	iment	0.70	-0.01	18/31	24/46	25/142	Justice 7.3 G
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.84	0.00	8/31	8/46	8/142	7.2 7.1 4.1
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.91	0.00	8/31	12/46	12/142	6.5 6.4 4.2 4.3
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.80	-0.01	11/31	14/46	14/142	6.3 Regulatory 6.1 5.2 4.5 Enforcemental
₫ĭ	Civil Justice		0.74	-0.01	11/31	16/46	16/142	Order and Rights
80	Criminal Just	ice	0.79	0.00	5/31	5/46	5/142	Security
	cates statistically rcent level	significant change	e at the	Low	M	edium	High	— 2023 Score — 2022 Score

Constraints on Government Powers	
	0.83
Limits by legislature	
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.82
1.3	0.81
Independent auditing	
1.4	0.79
Sanctions for official misconduct	
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.83
1.6	0.94
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1	0.74
In the executive branch	
2.2 In the judiciary	0.94
2.3	0.91
In the police/military	
2.4	0.61
In the legislature	
Open Government	
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.71
3.2	0.61
Right to information	0.01
3.3	0.79
Civic participation	

Complaint mechanisms

0.68

Austria 📒 EU, EFTA, and North America 📒 High

Fundamental Rights	ţ‡
4.1	0.70
No discrimination	
4.2	0.95
Right to life and security	
4.3	0.84
Due process of law	
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.83
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.83
4.6	0.88
Right to privacy	0.00
4.7	0.87
Freedom of association	
4.8	0.83
Labor rights	
Order and Security	6
5.1	0.91
Absence of crime	0.71
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3	0.81
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.84
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2	0.91
No improper influence	
6.3	0.66
No unreasonable delay	
6.4	0.78
Respect for due process	
6.5	0.80
No expropriation w/out adequate compens	ation

	Civil Justice	₽Ţ₽
7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.68
7.2	No discrimination	0.67
7.3	No corruption	0.87
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.84
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.62
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.78
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.70
	Criminal Justice	60
8.1	Effective investigations	0.64
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.78
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.82
8.4	No discrimination	0.69
8.5	No corruption	0.87
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.86
8.7	Due process of law	0.84

3.4

The Bahamas

Constraints on Government Powers

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Rar	Rank		ncome R	ank	Global Rank	
	0.59	10/32	2		42/4	6	50/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	е					
-	0.01 •	-2 •						
			Fac Sco		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints of Government		0.5	59	0.00	9/32	38/46	47/142
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.6	54	-0.01	8/32	38/46	43/142
ŀ	Open Government		0.4	18	-0.01	21/32	42/46	76/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.6	54	-0.02	11/32	39/46	47/142
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.7	73	-0.01	6/32	41/46	66/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.5	51	-0.01	13/32	43/46	64/142
₽ŢĮ	Civil Justice		0.5	57	-0.01	10/32	41/46	53/142
ðð	Criminal Just	ice	0.5	58	-0.02	3/32	31/46	33/142

Criminal Justice Absence of Corruption Civil Open Justice Government Regulatory Fundamental Rights Enforcement Order and Security 2023 Score _____ 2022 Score

ţŧ

* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High 10 percent level

The Bahamas
 Latin America and Caribbean
 High



ŀ

0.30

0.44

0.64

0.54

Open Government

Right to information

Civic participation

Complaint mechanisms

3.2

3.3

3.4

3.1

Publicized laws and gov't data

4.1 No discrimination	0.57
4.2 Right to life and security	0.78
4.3 Due process of law	0.52
4.4	0.64
Freedom of expression 4.5	0.66
Freedom of religion 4.6	0.57
Right to privacy 4.7	0.71
Freedom of association	0.65
Labor rights	0.05
Order and Security	î
5.1 Absence of crime	0.77
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	0.42
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.40
6.2 No improper influence	0.70
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.41
6.4	0.51
Respect for due process 6.5	0.53
No expropriation w/out adequate comper	nsation

Fundamental Rights

Civil Justice	ΔŢΔ
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.60
7.2 No discrimination	0.50
7.3 No corruption	0.70
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.64
7.5 No unreasonable delav	0.40
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.43
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.71
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.49
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.51
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.45
8.4 No discrimination	0.55
8.5 No corruption	0.78
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.80
8.7 Due process of law	0.52

Bangladesh

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Rank			Income	Rank	Global Rank		
	0.38	4/6			28/3	37	127	/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge			1			
-	0.01 -	2▲							
			Fact Scor		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.3	7	0.00	5/6	26/37	120/142	
\$	Absence of Corruption		0.3	3	-0.01	4/6	23/37	117/142	
	Open Government		0.4	0	0.00	5/6	21/37	106/142	
ţ‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.2	9	-0.01	5/6	34/37	137/142	
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.6	3	0.00	4/6	25/37	108/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.3	8	-0.01	4/6	30/37	126/142	
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.3	6	-0.01	5/6	32/37	133/142	
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.3	0	-0.01	5/6	28/37	123/142	
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High									

10 percent level

🗕 Bangladesh 👥 South Asia 💶 Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1	0.54
Limits by legislature	
1.2	0.47
Limits by judiciary	
1.3	0.34
Independent auditing	
1.4	0.34
Sanctions for official misconduct	
1.5	0.25
Non-governmental checks	
1.6	0.31
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1	0.38
In the executive branch	0.00
2.2	0.31
In the judiciary	0.01
2.3	0.27
In the police/military	0.27
2.4	0.35
In the legislature	0.00
-	
Open Government	
3.1	0.34
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.52
Right to information	
3.3	0.29
Civic participation	
3.4	0.45
Complaint mechanisms	

Fundamental Rights	ţŧ
4.1	0.41
No discrimination	
4.2 Right to life and security	0.13
4.3 Due process of law	0.27
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.25
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.48
4.6	0.06
Right to privacy	
4.7 Freedom of association	0.31
4.8 Labor rights	0.44
Order and Security	G
5.1	0.77
Absence of crime	
5.2	0.92
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	
5.2	0.92
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement	0.21
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.21
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	0.21
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 Absence of violent redress	0.21
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	0.21
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4	0.21
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4 Respect for due process	0.21 0.44 0.39 0.25 0.28
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4	0.21 0.44 0.39 0.25 0.28 0.57



Civil Justice	₹ T ₹
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.43
7.2 No discrimination	0.29
7.3 No corruption	0.34
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.40
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.19
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.31
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.57
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.40
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.41
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.31
8.4 No discrimination	0.20
8.5 No corruption	0.32

No corruption		
8.6		0.22
No improper g	ov't influence	
8.7		0.27
Due process o	flaw	

Barbados

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rar	nk	Income R	lank	Global	Rank
0.66	4/32		34/4	6	35/:	142
Score Change	Rank Change	e				
0.00	-1 •					
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Po	owers	0.64	0.00	4/32	33/46	37/142
Absence of Cor	ruption	0.70	0.00	2/32	27/46	27/142
Open Governme	ent	0.55	0.00	12/32	39/46	57/142
Fundamental Ri	ights	0.73	0.00	3/32	27/46	28/142
Order and Secu	rity	0.80	0.01	1/32	35/46	42/142
Regulatory Enfo	orcement	0.62	0.00	4/32	34/46	36/142
Civil Justice		0.63	-0.01	6/32	32/46	37/142
Criminal Justice	9	0.58	0.00	4/32	32/46	34/142
* Indicates statistically sig	gnificant change	at the	Low	M	edium	High
10 percent level			LOW			

💳 Barbados 💳 Latin America and Caribbean 💳 High



Fundamental Rights	ŤŤ
4.1	0.66
No discrimination	
4.2 Right to life and security	0.82
4.3	0.54
Due process of law	
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.73
4.5	0.79
Freedom of religion	
4.6 Right to privacy	0.77
4.7	0.81
Freedom of association	
4.8 Labor rights	0.74
Order and Security	•
5.1	0.90
5.1 Absence of crime	
5.1	0.90
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	0.51
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement	1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	1.00 0.51
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	1.00 0.51
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence	1.00 0.51 0.60 0.84
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	1.00 0.51
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4 Absence of civil conflict 6.4 Absence of civil conflict 6.5 Absence of civil conflict 6.6 Absence of civil conflict 6.7 Absence of civil conflict 6.8 Absence of civil conflict 6.9 Absence of civil conflict 6.9 Absence of civil conflict 6.1 Absence of civil conflict 6.2 Absence of civil conflict 6.3 Absence of civil conflict 6.4 Absence of civil conflict 6.4 Absence of civil conflict 6.4 Absence of civil conflict 6.5 Absence of civil conflict 6.6 Absence of civil conflict 6.7 Absence of civil conflict 6.8 Absence of civil conflict 6.9 Absence of civil c	1.00 0.51 0.60 0.84
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay	1.00 0.51 0.60 0.84 0.39

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation



Belarus

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

(Regional Ra	IIK	Income			al Rank			Constraints on	
	0.45	13/15		35/4	11	104	/142			Government Powers	
or	e Change	Rank Chang	ge						minal stice	8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4	
-(0.01 •	-3 🔻							8.3 8.2	1.6	Absence o
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.1		0,5	2.2 Corruption
	Constraints o Government		0.26	-0.01	15/15	40/41	139/142	7.7		MM	2.3
	Absence of C	orruption	0.49	-0.01	2/15	14/41	60/142	7.5 Civil 7.4	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	515	3.1 3.2
	Open Govern	ment	0.31	0.00	15/15	40/41	135/142	Justice 7.3	4	- m	3.3 Gov
	Fundamental	Rights	0.36	-0.02	14/15	38/41	128/142	7.2		\mathcal{L}	3.4 4.1
	Order and Se	curity	0.81	0.00	5/15	5/41	41/142	6.4	6.4	4.3	4.2
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.44	0.00	11/15	35/41	105/142	Regulat		6.1 4.6 E	ndamental
	Civil Justice		0.56	0.00	2/15	13/41	60/142	Enforcen	nent	Order and	Rights
	Criminal Just	ice	0.39	-0.03	9/15	27/41	89/142			Security	
са	tes statistically	significant change	e at the						-	2023 Score 2022 Score	
	ent level	Significant change	utthe	Lov	v N	Aedium	High				
E	Belarus 🛑	Eastern Europe a	and Centr	al Asia 💻	Uppe	r-Middle					
210	straints on	Government F	Dowers	-		undame	ental Rights			Civil Justice	Δ
	ISCIAINES ON	Government	owers	0.26	4.1	unuanno			0.62	7.1	
ni	ts by legislat	ure				No discrir	mination			Accessibility and affordability	
ni	ts by judiciar	v		0.25	4.2	Right to li	ife and securit		0.33	7.2 No discrimination	0.0
		/			г	uBur to u	ile and securi	ty		NO UISCI IIIIIIIALIOIT	
de	ependent aud			0.27	4.3	-		έγ.	0.34	7.3	0.
	ependent aud	iting	L	0.27	4.3 [4.4	Due proc	ess of law		0.34	7.3 No corruption	
			t		4.3 [4.4	Due proc				7.3 No corruption	0.2
n		iting cial misconduct	t	0.40	4.3 [4.4 [4.5 [F	Due proce	ess of law		0.19	 7.3 No corruption 7.4 No improper gov't influence 7.5 No unreasonable delay 	0
n on	ctions for offi	iting cial misconduct	t	0.40	4.3 [4.4 [4.5 [4.6	Due proce	ess of law of expression of religion		0.19	 7.3 No corruption 7.4 No improper gov't influence 7.5 No improper data in the second se	0
n on w	ctions for offi -government ful transition	cial misconduct	t	0.40	4.3 4.4 4.5 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.7	Due proce Freedom Freedom	ess of law of expression of religion		0.19	7.3 No corruption 7.4 No improper gov't influence 7.5 No unreasonable delay 7.6	0.: 0.
no on w	ctions for offi I-government	cial misconduct	t	0.40	4.3 4.4 4.5 7 4.6 7 4.6 7 8 4.7 8 4.8	Due proce Freedom Right to p	ess of law of expression of religion rivacy of association		0.19	 7.3 No corruption 7.4 No improper gov't influence 7.5 No unreasonable delay 7.6 Effective enforcement 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs 	0.: 0. 0.
n n v	ctions for offi -government ful transition	iting cial misconduct al checks of power	t	0.40 0.19 0.19 0.19 0.39	4.3 4.4 4.5 7 4.6 7 4.6 7 8 4.7 8 4.8	Due proce Freedom Freedom	ess of law of expression of religion rivacy of association		0.19 0.48 0.12 0.33	 7.3 No corruption 7.4 No improper gov't influence 7.5 No unreasonable delay 7.6 Effective enforcement 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs 	0.: 0.: 0.: 0.:
on w	- ctions for offi -government ful transition eence of Cor	iting cial misconduct al checks of power	t	0.40 0.19 0.19	4.3 4.4 4.5 4.5 4.6 7 4.7 8 4.8	Due proce Freedom Right to p Freedom	ess of law of expression of religion rivacy of association		0.19 0.48 0.12 0.33	 7.3 No corruption 7.4 No improper gov't influence 7.5 No unreasonable delay 7.6 Effective enforcement 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs 	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
no on w	ful transition	cial misconduct cial misconduct cal checks of power ruption	t	0.40 0.19 0.19 0.19 0.39	4.3 4.4 4.5 4.5 4.6 4.7 4.7 5.1	Due proce Freedom Right to p Freedom	ess of law of expression of religion of association hts hts		0.19 0.48 0.12 0.33 0.44	 7.3 No corruption 7.4 No improper gov't influence 7.5 No unreasonable delay 7.6 Effective enforcement 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs Criminal Justice 8.1 No improper gov't influence 	0.2 0.6 0.5 0.6 0.4 0.4
on ww os	re police/mili	cial misconduct cial misconduct cal checks of power ruption ruption cranch	t	0.40 0.19 0.19 0.19 0.39 0.39	4.3 4.4 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L 0 - 5.1 4 5.2	Due proco Freedom Right to p Freedom abor righ Drder an	ess of law of expression of religion rrivacy of association hts hts		0.19 0.48 0.12 0.33 0.44	 7.3 No corruption 7.4 No improper gov't influence 7.5 No unreasonable delay 7.6 Effective enforcement 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 No to the second sec	0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4
no on ww	tions for offi government ful transition ence of Cor e executive b e judiciary	cial misconduct cial misconduct cal checks of power ruption ruption cranch	t	0.40 0.19 0.19 0.19 0.39 0.39 0.70 0.61	4.3 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L 5.1 <i>f</i> 5.2 <i>f</i> 5.3	Due proco	ess of law of expression of religion orivacy of association hts of crime of crime	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	0.19 0.48 0.12 0.33 0.44	 7.3 No corruption 7.4 No improper gov't influence 7.5 No unreasonable delay 7.6 Effective enforcement 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 4 	0.2 0.4 0.5 0.4 0.4 0.4 n 0.4
no on wv ss th th th	re police/mili	al checks of power ruption		0.40 0.19 0.19 0.19 0.39 0.39 0.70 0.61	4.3 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L 5.1 <i>f</i> 5.2 <i>f</i> 5.3	Due proco	ess of law of expression of religion rrivacy of association hts hts	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	0.19 0.48 0.12 0.33 0.44 0.44 0.89	 7.3 No corruption 7.4 No improper gov't influence 7.5 No unreasonable delay 7.6 Effective enforcement 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 	0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4
no on ww th th th	e executive b re police/milition re legislature	al checks of power ruption		0.40 0.19 0.19 0.19 0.39 0.39 0.70 0.61 0.27	4.3 4.4 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.6 F 4.8 L 5.1 4.8 L 5.2 4.5 5.2 4.5 5.2 4.5 5.2 4.5 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5	Due proco	ess of law of expression of religion orivacy of association hts of crime of crime	t ress	0.19 0.48 0.12 0.33 0.44 0.44 0.89	 7.3 No corruption 7.4 No improper gov't influence 7.5 No unreasonable delay 7.6 Effective enforcement 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 	0.3 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4
no on ww os th th th th th	e executive b re police/milition re legislature re Government	ent	t	0.40 0.19 0.19 0.39 0.39 0.70 0.61 0.27	4.3 4.4 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L 5.1 <i>f</i> 5.2 <i>f</i> 5.3 <i>f</i> 6.1	Due proco	ess of law of expression of religion orivacy of association hts of association hts of crime of civil conflic of violent redi	t t ress nent	0.19 0.48 0.12 0.33 0.44 0.44 0.89 1.00 0.53	 7.3 No corruption 7.4 No improper gov't influence 7.5 No unreasonable delay 7.6 Effective enforcement 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 1 	0.2 0.6 0.5 0.6 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4
no on ww th th th th th th th	tions for offi government ful transition ence of Cor e executive b e judiciary e police/milit e legislature en Governm licized laws a	ent	t	0.40 0.19 0.19 0.39 0.39 0.70 0.61 0.27	4.3 4.4 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L 5.1 5.2 4 5.3 6.1 E 6.2	Due proco	ess of law of expression of religion orivacy of association hts of association hts of crime of civil conflic of civil conflic ory Enforcen	t ress nent	0.19 0.48 0.12 0.33 0.44 0.89 1.00 0.53	 7.3 No corruption 7.4 No improper gov't influence 7.5 No unreasonable delay 7.6 Effective enforcement 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 	۰.۵ ۹.۵ ۹.۵ ۹.۵ ۹.۵ ۹.۵ ۹.۵ ۹.۵ ۹.۵ ۹.۵ ۹
no on ww th th th th th th th	e executive b re police/milition re legislature re Government	ent		0.40 0.19 0.19 0.39 0.39 0.70 0.61 0.27 0.21 0.21	4.3 4.4 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L 5.1 5.2 4 5.3 6.1 E 6.2	Due proco	ess of law of expression of religion orivacy of association hts of association hts of crime of civil conflic of violent redi	t ress nent	0.19 0.48 0.12 0.33 0.44 0.44 0.89 1.00 0.53 (0.59	 7.3 No corruption 7.4 No improper gov't influence 7.5 No unreasonable delay 7.6 Effective enforcement 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No improper gov't influence 8.7 Mo discrimination 	0.2 0.6 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.5 0.0
no on ww os th th th th th th th th th th th th th	tions for offi government ful transition ence of Cor e executive b e judiciary e police/milit e legislature en Governm licized laws a	ent		0.40 0.19 0.19 0.39 0.70 0.61 0.27 0.21 0.21 0.31 0.28	4.3 4.4 4.4 F 4.5 F 4.6 F 4.6 F 4.8 L 5.1 4.8 L 5.1 4.8 L 5.1 4.8 L 5.1 4.8 L 5.2 4.6 5.2 4.6 5.2 4.6 5.2 4.6 5.2 4.6 5.2 4.6 5.2 4.6 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2	Due proco	ess of law of expression of religion orivacy of association hts of association hts of crime of civil conflic of civil conflic ory Enforcen	t forcement	0.19 0.48 0.12 0.33 0.44 0.44 0.89 1.00 0.53 1.00 0.53	 7.3 No corruption 7.4 No improper gov't influence 7.5 No unreasonable delay 7.6 Effective enforcement 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No improper gov't influence 8.7 Mo discrimination 	0.5 0.2 0.6 0.5 0.6 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.5 0.0 0.3

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

6.5

50

Belgium

Constraints on Government

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ran	k I	ncome R	lank	Global	Rank
	0.78 13/31			16/4	6	16/142	
Sco	ore Change	Rank Change	2				
-	0.01 -	-2 •					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Í	Constraints o Government		0.82	-0.01	11/31	12/46	12/142
\$	Absence of Co	orruption	0.79	-0.01	13/31	18/46	18/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.76	-0.02	12/31	14/46	14/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.84	0.00	7/31	7/46	7/142
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.82	0.00	25/31	33/46	37/142
4	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.79	-0.01	12/31	16/46	16/142
₽ŢĮ	Civil Justice		0.74	-0.01	10/31	15/46	15/142
80	Criminal Just	ice	0.71	0.00	12/31	17/46	17/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							

Powers Criminal Justice Absence of Corruption 0.5 7.4 Civil Open Justice Government Regulatory Enforcement Fundamental Rights Order and Security 2023 Score _____ 2022 Score

Civil Justice

10 percent level	



Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.84
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.78
1.3 Independent auditing	0.85
1.4	0.75
Sanctions for official misconduct	0.81
Non-governmental checks	0.89
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	<u>\$</u>
2.1 In the executive branch	0.78
2.2 In the judiciary	0.93
2.3 In the police/military	0.88
2.4 In the legislature	0.58
Open Government	
3.1	0.70
Publicized laws and gov't data	0.68
Right to information 3.3	0.79
Civic participation	0.87
Complete the set of th	0.07

Complaint mechanisms

Fundamental Rights	_ †‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.77
4.2 Right to life and security	0.95
4.3 Due process of law	0.80
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.81
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.85
4.6 Right to privacy	0.89
4.7 Freedom of association	0.86
4.8 Labor rights	0.81
Order and Security	f
5.1 Absence of crime	0.86
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.92
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.68
Regulatory Enforcement	4
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.75
6.2 No improper influence	0.89
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.68
6.4 Respect for due process	0.76
6.5	0.89

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.73
7.2 No discrimination	0.78
7.3 No corruption	0.83
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.86
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.43
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.75
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.79
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.58
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.67
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.54
8.4 No discrimination	0.67
8.5 No corruption	0.82
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.85

ΔĮ

Belize

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Rank		Income	Rank	Global Rank		
	0.49 17/32			23/41		80/142		
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chan	ige					
	0.00	7 •						
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
Ĺ	Constraints o Government		0.48	0.01	25/32	27/41	91/142	
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.45	0.00	15/32	22/41	73/142	
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.44	0.00	27/32	33/41	97/142	
ţţ	Fundamental	Rights	0.52	0.01	21/32	26/41	77/142	
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.71	0.00	9/32	24/41	77/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.41	0.00	26/32	39/41	118/142	
₹ T ₹	Civil Justice		0.52	0.00	15/32	19/41	70/142	
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.39	0.00	15/32	25/41	85/142	
	cates statistically rcent level	significant chang	e at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High	



🗕 Belize 🗕 Latin America and Caribbean 💶 Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.53
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.53
1.3 Independent auditing	0.33
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.28
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.56
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.64
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.39
2.2 In the judiciary	0.63
2.3 In the police/military	0.56
2.4 In the legislature	0.22
Open Government	ŀ
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.35
3.2 Right to information	0.40
3.3 Civic participation	0.52
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.50

Fundamental Rights	ţ‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.52
4.2 Right to life and security	0.66
4.3 Due process of law	0.40
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.56
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.52
4.6 Right to privacy	0.47
4.7 Freedom of association	0.55
4.8 Labor rights	0.50
Order and Security	6
5.1 Absence of crime	0.70
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.44
Regulatory Enforcement	4
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.40
6.2 No improper influence	0.51
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.39
6.4 Respect for due process	0.25
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.50 ation

Civil Justice	ΔĪΔ
7.1	0.49
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2	0.51
No discrimination	
7.3	0.61
No corruption	
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.53
	0.45
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.45
7.6	0.41
Effective enforcement	0.41
7.7	0.65
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice	0.33
	0.33
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	0.33 0.34
8.1 Effective investigations	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective adjudication	
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 	0.34
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 	0.34
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 	0.34 0.20 0.44
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.34
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 	0.34 0.20 0.44 0.56
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 	0.34 0.20 0.44
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No improper gov't influence 	0.34 0.20 0.44 0.56 0.50
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 	0.34 0.20 0.44 0.56
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No improper gov't influence 8.7 No to the system 	0.34 0.20 0.44 0.56 0.50

Benin

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional R	lank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank	
	0.48	10/34	1	13/3	37	90/	/142	Constraints on Government Powers
Sco	re Change	Rank Char	nge					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3
	0.00	0						8.4 1.5 1.6
			Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 7.7 8.1 0,5 2.3 2.1 Absence of 2.2 Corruption 2.3
	Constraints of Government		0.50	-0.01	12/34	11/37	82/142	7.6
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.40	0.01	14/34	16/37	97/142	7.5 Civil 7.4 0 3.1 3.2 Op
ŀ	Open Govern	iment	0.38	0.00	21/34	24/37	117/142	Justice 7.3 Gover
††	Fundamental	Rights	0.52	0.00	10/34	5/37	78/142	7.2 7.1 3.4 4.1
f	Order and Se	curity	0.74	-0.03*	4/34	5/37	64/142	6.5 6.4 4.3
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.48	0.00	10/34	11/37	78/142	6.3 Regulatory Enforcement 5.3 co to 4.7 Fundamental
₽Ţ₹	Civil Justice		0.43	0.00	21/34	25/37	112/142	Order and Rights
්ට	Criminal Just	ice	0.43	0.01	10/34	7/37	75/142	Security
	ates statistically cent level	significant chan	ge at the	Lov	v N	∕ledium	High	2023 Score 2022 Score

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.49
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.43
1.3	0.41
Independent auditing	0.49
Sanctions for official misconduct	0.49
Non-governmental checks	0.68
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	Ś
2.1 In the executive branch	0.46
2.2 In the judiciary	0.46
2.3 In the police/military	0.46
2.4 1 2.4 1	0.23
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.31
3.2 Right to information	0.32
3.3 Civic participation	0.53
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.37

Fundamental Rights	† ‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.64
4.2 Right to life and security	0.37
4.3 Due process of law	0.48
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.49
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.72
4.6 Right to privacy	0.24
4.7 Freedom of association	0.61
4.8 Labor rights	0.61
Order and Security	ĥ
5.1 Absence of crime	0.77
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.94
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.51
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.52
6.2 No improper influence	0.61
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.41
6.4 Respect for due process	0.38
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.48 ation

Civil Justice	٥٢
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.49
7.2 No discrimination	0.59
7.3 No corruption	0.40
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.25
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.38
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.35
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.54
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.38
8.1	0.38 0.41
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective adjudication 	0.41
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 Control of the system 	0.41
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 Effective adjudication 	0.41

Bolivia

0.37		ank	Income	Kank	Globa	al Rank			Carterint	
	29/32		30/3	37	131	/142			Constraints on Government Powers	
core Change	Rank Chan	ge							8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3 8.5 1.4	
0.00	1 •							8.4 8.3 8.2	1.5	
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank		8.1	0.5	2.2 Corruption
Constraints Governmen		0.36	0.00	30/32	30/37	126/142	7	7.7	m	2.3 2.4
Absence of		0.25	0.00	31/32	34/37	137/142	7.5		AN L	3.1
Open Gover	nment	0.44	0.01	28/32	17/37	100/142	Civil ^{7.4} Justice _{7.3}		6.2	3.2 Ope 3.3 Govern
Fundamenta	al Rights	0.44	-0.02	29/32	19/37	111/142	7	7.1		3.4
Order and S	ecurity	0.59	0.00	26/32	30/37	122/142		6.5		4.2
	Enforcement	0.39	-0.01	29/32	29/37	125/142	_	6.4 6.3	4.5	4.3
			0.00	31/32	36/37	140/142			6.1 5.3 5.2 5.1 4.8 4.7 4.6	Fundamental Rights
		0.31							Order and Security	
) Criminal Jus	tice	0.21	0.00	31/32	37/37	141/142		_	 2023 Score 2022 Score 	
	y significant chang	e at the	Lov	v N	Medium	High				
ercent level										
Bolivia	Latin America an	d Caribbea	an 🗖	Lower-Mi	ddle					
onstraints or	Government I	Powers	Ŵ	F	undam	ental Rights	;	ŤŤ	Civil Justice	
			0.38	4.1				0.37	7.1	0.46
imits by legisla	ture		0.27	4.2	No discrii	mination		0.37	Accessibility and affordability	У 0.29
imits by judicia	ry		0.27	-	Right to li	ife and secur	ity	0.07	No discrimination	0.27
ndependent au	diting		0.30	4.3	Due proc	ess of law		0.29	7.3 No corruption	0.18
anctions for of	ficial misconduc		0.27	4.4						0.20
	inclar misconduc			F	reedom	of expressio	n	0.48	7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.20
			0.48	F 4.5	reedom	of expressio	n	0.48	7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.20
lon-governmer	ntal checks	.1		4.5 F		of expressio of religion		0.64	No improper gov't influence 7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.21
-			0.48	4.5 F 4.6		of religion			No improper gov't influence	
awful transitio	n of power		0.45	4.5 F 4.6 F 4.7	Freedom Right to p	of religion		0.64	No improper gov't influence 7.5 No unreasonable delay 7.6	0.21 0.29 0.55
awful transitio	n of power			4.5 4.6 4.7 4.7	Freedom Right to p Freedom	of religion privacy of associatic		0.64	No improper gov't influence 7.5 No unreasonable delay 7.6 Effective enforcement 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.21 0.29 0.55
awful transitio	n of power		0.45	4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8	Freedom Right to p	of religion privacy of associatic		0.64	No improper gov't influence 7.5 No unreasonable delay 7.6 Effective enforcement 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs Criminal Justice	0.21 0.29 0.55
awful transitio	n of power		0.45	4.5 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8	Freedom Right to p Freedom Labor right	of religion privacy of associatic		0.64	No improper gov't influence 7.5 No unreasonable delay 7.6 Effective enforcement 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.21 0.29 0.55
awful transitio	n of power rruption branch		0.45	4.5 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L	Freedom Right to p Freedom Labor rigi	of religion privacy of association hts nd Security		0.64 0.34 0.57 0.50	No improper gov't influence 7.5 No unreasonable delay 7.6 Effective enforcement 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	0.21 0.29 0.55 0.55 0.21 0.21
awful transitio bsence of Co the executive the judiciary the police/mil	n of power rruption branch litary		0.45	4.5 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L 5.1 F 5.1	Freedom Right to p Freedom Labor rigi Drder ar	of religion privacy of association hts nd Security of crime	n 1	0.64 0.34 0.57 0.50	No improper gov't influence 7.5 No unreasonable delay 7.6 Effective enforcement 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudica 8.3	0.21 0.29 0.55 0.55 0.21 0.17 ation 0.20
lon-governmer awful transitio bsence of Co in the executive in the judiciary in the police/mil	n of power rruption branch litary		0.45 \$ 0.32 0.18 0.28	4.5 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L 5.1 J 5.2	Freedom Right to p Freedom Labor rigi Drder ar	of religion privacy of association hts nd Security	n 1	0.64 0.34 0.57 0.50 0.58 0.58	No improper gov't influence 7.5 No unreasonable delay 7.6 Effective enforcement 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudica 8.3 Effective correctional system	0.21 0.29 0.55 0.55 0.21 0.21 0.17 ation 0.20
awful transitio	n of power rruption branch litary e		0.45 \$ 0.32 0.18 0.28	4.5 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L 5.1 F 5.2 F 5.3	Freedom Right to p Freedom abor rigi Drder ar Absence	of religion privacy of association hts nd Security of crime	n n ct	0.64 0.34 0.57 0.50	No improper gov't influence 7.5 No unreasonable delay 7.6 Effective enforcement 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudica 8.3	0.21 0.29 0.55 0.55 0.21 0.17 attion 0.20
awful transitio	n of power rruption branch litary e nent		0.45 0.32 0.18 0.28 0.21	4.5 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L 5.1 F 5.2 F 5.2 F 5.3	Freedom Right to p Freedom Labor right Drder ar Absence of Absence of	of religion privacy of association hts of Security of crime	n ct dress	0.64 0.34 0.57 0.50 1 .00 0.19	No improper gov't influence 7.5 No unreasonable delay 7.6 Effective enforcement 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudica 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4	0.21 0.29 0.55 0.55 0.21 0.21 0.17 ation 0.20
awful transitio	n of power rruption branch litary e nent		0.45 0.32 0.18 0.28 0.21	4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.8 1 5.1 5.2 5.2 5.3	Freedom Right to p Freedom Labor right Drder ar Absence of Absence of	of religion orivacy of association hts of security of crime of civil confli	n ct dress	0.64 0.34 0.57 0.50 0.58 0.58	No improper gov't influence 7.5 No unreasonable delay 7.6 Effective enforcement 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Effective investigations 8.2 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6	0.21 0.29 0.55 0.55 0.21 0.21 0.17 0.20 0.28
awful transitio	n of power rruption branch litary e nent and gov't data		0.45 0.32 0.18 0.28 0.21 0.21 0.30 0.30	4.5 4.6 4.7 4.7 4.8 4.8 1 5.1 4.8 5.2 5.3 4 5.2 5.3 4 5.2 5.3 4 5.2 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3	Freedom Right to p Freedom Labor rig Drder ar Absence Absence Regulato	of religion orivacy of association hts of crime of civil confli of violent record ory Enforce regulatory e	n i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	0.64 0.34 0.57 0.50 0.58 1.00 0.19	No improper gov't influence 7.5 No unreasonable delay 7.6 Effective enforcement 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudica 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption	0.21 0.29 0.55 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.17 0.20 0.20
awful transitio	n of power rruption branch branch litary e nent and gov't data		0.45 0.32 0.18 0.28 0.21	4.5 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L 5.1 F 5.2 F 5.2 F 5.3 F 5.2 F 6.1 F 6.2	Freedom Right to p Freedom Labor right Order ar Absence of Absence of Regulato	of religion orivacy of associatic hts of security of crime of civil confli of violent record ory Enforce	n n dress ment nforcement	0.64 0.34 0.57 0.50 0.58 1.00 0.19	No improper gov't influence 7.5 No unreasonable delay 7.6 Effective enforcement 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudica 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.21 0.29 0.55 0.21 0.21 0.17 0.10 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.11
awful transitio	n of power rruption branch branch itary e nent and gov't data ation on		0.45 0.32 0.18 0.28 0.21 0.21 0.30 0.30	4.5 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L 5.1 F 5.2 F 5.2 F 5.3 F 5.3 F 6.1 F 6.2 F 6.3	Freedom Right to p Freedom Labor right Drder ar Absence Absence Regulato	of religion orivacy of association hts of association hts of security of crime of civil confli- of violent re- ory Enforce regulatory e per influenc	n n t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t	0.64 0.34 0.57 0.50 0.58 1.00 0.19	No improper gov't influence 7.5 No unreasonable delay 7.6 Effective enforcement 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Imply and effective adjudica 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No improper gov't influence 8.7	0.21 0.29 0.55 0.21 0.21 0.17 0.10 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.11
awful transitio	n of power rruption branch branch itary e nent and gov't data ation on		0.45 0.32 0.18 0.28 0.21 0.21 0.30 0.45 0.49	4.5 F 4.6 F 4.7 F 4.8 L 5.1 F 5.2 F 5.2 F 5.3 F 5.3 F 6.1 F 6.2 F 6.3	Freedom Right to p Freedom Labor right Drder ar Absence Absence Regulato	of religion orivacy of association hts of security of civil confli of civil confli of violent record ory Enforce regulatory e	n n t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t	0.64 0.34 0.57 0.50 0.58 1.00 0.19 0.19 0.46 0.45	No improper gov't influence 7.5 No unreasonable delay 7.6 Effective enforcement 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Imply and effective adjudica 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No improper gov't influence 8.7	0.21 0.29 0.55 0.21 0.21 0.17 0.10 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.11

0.43 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

6.5

Bosnia and Herzegovina

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	Overall Score Regional Ra		ank	Income Rank		Global Rank		
	0.51		21/4	11	75/142			
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge					
-	0.01 -	-3 🕶						
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
Ó	Constraints of Government		0.45	-0.01	7/15	31/41	101/142	
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.42	0.00	9/15	28/41	87/142	
	Open Govern	ment	0.47	0.00	9/15	27/41	83/142	
ţţ	Fundamental	Rights	0.58	-0.02	7/15	19/41	64/142	
G	Order and Se	curity	0.77	0.00	10/15	13/41	55/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.49	0.00	3/15	18/41	71/142	
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.46	-0.01	13/15	32/41	97/142	
රීව	Criminal Just	ice	0.47	-0.01	4/15	17/41	63/142	
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High								



10 percent level

🗕 Bosnia and Herzegovina 📒 Eastern Europe and Central Asia 💻 Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.54
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.38
1.3 Independent auditing	0.50
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.35
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.44
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.50
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.36
2.2 In the judiciary	0.52
2.3 In the police/military	0.57
2.4 In the legislature	0.23
Open Government	ŀ
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.42
3.2 Right to information	0.50
3.3 Civic participation	0.44
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.51

Fundamental Rights	ţţ.
4.1 No discrimination	0.56
4.2 Right to life and security	0.68
4.3 Due process of law	0.62
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.44
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.63
4.6 Right to privacy	0.54
4.7 Freedom of association	0.57
4.8 Labor rights	0.61
Order and Security	ĥ
5.1 Absence of crime	0.86
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.47
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.41
6.2 No improper influence	0.52
6.3 A second sec	0.42
6.4 Respect for due process	0.46
6.5 A second sec	0.63 ation

	Civil Justice	ΔΤΔ
		1
7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.57
	, ,	
7.2	No discrimination	0.55
7.3		0.45
	No corruption	
7.4		0.41
	No improper gov't influence	
7.5		0.27
	No unreasonable delay	
7.6		0.31
	Effective enforcement	
7.7		0.67
	Impartial and effective ADRs	
	Criminal Justice	80
		<u> </u>
8.1	Effective investigations	0.42
8.2		0.55
	Timely and effective adjudication	
8.3		0.42
	Effective correctional system	
8.4		0.47
	No discrimination	
8.5		0.46
	No corruption	
8.6		0.33
	No improper gov't influence	
8.7		0.62

Botswana

Constraints on Government Powers

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ove	erall Score	Regional Ran	k	Income R	lank	Global	Rank
	0.59	4/34		8/41	L	51/1	142
Sco	re Change	Rank Change	9				
	0.00	0					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.61	0.00	4/34	5/41	44/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.57	0.00	3/34	9/41	49/142
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.47	0.00	8/34	26/41	81/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.59	0.00	5/34	17/41	62/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.72	0.00	5/34	22/41	72/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.58	-0.01	3/34	4/41	45/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.61	0.01	4/34	9/41	46/142
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.59	0.00	1/34	2/41	32/142
	ates statistically cent level	significant change a	it the	Low	M	edium 🗌	High

10 percent level

🗕 Botswana 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 🛑 Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	İ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.62
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.63
1.3 Independent auditing	0.55
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.53
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.59
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.72
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.54
2.2 In the judiciary	0.76
2.3 In the police/military	0.71
2.4 In the legislature	0.30
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.22
3.2 Right to information	0.51
3.3 Civic participation	0.61
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.54

Fundamental Rights	ŧż
4.1	0.61
No discrimination	0.01
4.2	0.68
Right to life and security	
4.3	0.58
Due process of law	
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.59
	0.40
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.62
4.6	0.40
Right to privacy	
4.7	0.66
Freedom of association	
4.8	0.59
Labor rights	
	~
Order and Security	1
5.1	0.68
Absence of crime	
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	0.48
Absence of violent redress	0.48
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.52
6.2	0.71
No improper influence	
6.3	0.43
No unreasonable delay	
6.4	0.57
Respect for due process	
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.69
. to expropriation w/our adequate compens	

Civil Justice	∆ T ∆
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.54
7.2 No discrimination	0.55
7.3 No corruption	0.67
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.64
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.52
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.65
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.69
Criminal Justice	áD
8.1	0.42
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	0.42 0.57
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.57
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No discrimination 	0.57
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No discrimination 	0.57
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 	0.57 0.55 0.63 0.69

Brazil

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	nk	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank
	0.49	19/32		26/4	11	83/	142
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge				
	0.00	0					
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.51	0.00	19/32	21/41	78/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.43	0.00	18/32	27/41	81/142
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.59	0.00	6/32	5/41	41/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.49	0.01	25/32	31/41	91/142
f	Order and Se	curity	0.60	-0.04*	25/32	36/41	120/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.48	0.00	17/32	20/41	76/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.49	0.00	18/32	26/41	84/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.32	0.00	21/32	34/41	114/142
	ates statistically cent level	significant chang	e at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High



Constraints on Government Powers	İ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.64
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.57
1.3 Independent auditing	0.40
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.27
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.54
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.63
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.39
2.2 In the judiciary	0.68
2.3 In the police/military	0.59
2.4 In the legislature	0.07
Open Government	ŀ
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.72
3.2 Right to information	0.58
3.3 Civic participation	0.53
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.53

Fundamental Rights	ţŧ.
4.1 No discrimination	0.46
4.2 Right to life and security	0.44
4.3 United and the second seco	0.35
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.54
4.5	0.54
Freedom of religion 4.6	0.51
Right to privacy 4.7	0.60
Freedom of association 4.8	0.47
Labor rights	
Order and Security	Ô
5.1 Absence of crime	0.56
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.88
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.36
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.51
Effective regulatory enforcement	0.62
No improper influence	0.25
No unreasonable delay	0.43
Respect for due process	
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.60 sation

Civil Justice	4 <u>_</u> 4
7.1	0.64
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2 No discrimination	0.47
7.3 No corruption	0.64
7.4	0.50
No improper gov't influence	
7.5	0.25
No unreasonable delay	
7.6	0.33
Effective enforcement	
7.7	0.63
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	St.
Criminal Justice	්ර්
8.1	0.31
8.1 Effective investigations	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	0.31 0.27
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.27
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective adjudication	0.27
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 	0.27
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.27
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 	0.27 0.18 0.11 0.53
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 	0.27
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No improper gov't influence 	0.27 0.18 0.11 0.53 0.51
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 	0.27 0.18 0.11 0.53

Bulgaria

Constraints on Government

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ran	k	Ind	come R	ank	Global	Rank
	0.56	30/31			15/4	1	59/2	142
Sco	ore Change	Rank Change	9					
	0.01 •	3•						
			Facto Scor		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.52	1	0.02	30/31	19/41	75/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.45	5	0.01	31/31	20/41	71/142
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.57	7	0.02	30/31	10/41	48/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.62	1	0.02	29/31	13/41	53/142
6	Order and Se	curity	0.78	8	0.00	29/31	11/41	52/142
4	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.53	3	0.00	30/31	13/41	59/142
₽ŢĮ	Civil Justice		0.54	4	0.00	30/31	16/41	65/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.44	4	0.01	31/31	19/41	70/142
	ates statistically	significant change a	at the		Low	M	ledium	High

Powers Criminal Justice Absence of Corruption Civil Open Justice Government Regulatory Fundamental Rights Enforcement Order and Security 2023 Score _____ 2022 Score

10 percent level

 Bulgaria
 EU, EFTA, and North America Upper-Middle





Fundamental Rights	_ †‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.59
4.2	0.64
Right to life and security 4.3	0.54
Due process of law	0.63
Freedom of expression	
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.76
4.6 Right to privacy	0.44
4.7 Freedom of association	0.68
4.8	0.63
Labor rights	
Order and Security	f
5.1 Absence of crime	0.85
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	0.49
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.65
6.2 No improper influence	0.63
6.3	0.54
No unreasonable delay	0.36
Respect for due process 6.5	0.45
No expropriation w/out adequate compens	

Civil Justice	∆ <u>I</u> ∆
7.1	0.64
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2	0.58
No discrimination	
7.3 No corruption	0.52
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.47
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.47
7.5	0.38
No unreasonable delay	
7.6	0.54
Effective enforcement	
7.7	0.68
Impartial and effective ADRs	
	84
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1	0.32
	0
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	0
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.32
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective adjudication	0.32
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 	0.32
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective adjudication	0.32
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 	0.32
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 	0.32 0.53 0.30 0.48
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No discrimination 	0.32 0.53 0.30 0.48
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 	0.32 0.53 0.30 0.48 0.49
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No improper gov't influence 8.7 No lingto the system 	0.32 0.53 0.30 0.48 0.49
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No improper gov't influence 	0.32 0.53 0.30 0.48 0.49 0.45

Burkina Faso

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score Regional R		nk	Income	Rank	Global Rank		
	0.47	11/34		4/1	8	95/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge				
-	0.02 -	-11 -					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.53	-0.03*	10/34	4/18	70/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.43	0.00	11/34	5/18	84/142
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.48	-0.01	7/34	2/18	78/142
†‡	Fundamental Rights		0.53	-0.02	8/34	2/18	73/142
6	Order and Se	curity	0.43	-0.09*	31/34	15/18	137/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.46	-0.01	13/34	5/18	89/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.45	0.00	18/34	7/18	101/142
්ර	Criminal Just	ice	0.48	0.00	6/34	2/18	59/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							



💻 Burkina Faso 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 💻 Low

Constraints on Government Powers	۲
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.62
1.2	0.52
Limits by judiciary	
1.3	0.49
Independent auditing	
1.4	0.43
Sanctions for official misconduct	
1.5	0.58
Non-governmental checks	
1.6	0.53
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	_ 💰
2.1	0.44
In the executive branch	0.111
2.2	0.50
In the judiciary	0.00
2.3	0.56
In the police/military	0.00
2.4	0.23
In the legislature	0.20
Open Government	
Open Government	
3.1	0.32
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.49
Right to information	
3.3	0.57
Civic participation	
3.4	0.51
Complaint mechanisms	

Fundamental Rights	ţ‡
4.1	0.64
No discrimination 4.2	0.46
Right to life and security	
4.3 Due process of law	0.47
4.4	0.58
Freedom of expression 4.5	0.63
Freedom of religion	0.00
4.6 Right to privacy	0.32
4.7	0.61
Freedom of association	0.52
4.8 Labor rights	0.53
	~
Order and Security	Î
5.1 Absence of crime	0.69
5.2	0.17
Absence of civil conflict	0.43
Absence of violent redress	0.10
Regulatory Enforcement	r=
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.45
6.2 No improper influence	0.55
6.3	0.37
No unreasonable delay	
6.4 Respect for due process	0.37
6.5	0.58
No expropriation w/out adequate compens	ation



Civil Justice	ΔŢΔ
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.36
7.2 No discrimination	0.47
7.3 No corruption	0.40
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.43
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.48
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.38
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.63
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.41
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.48
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.41
8.4 No discrimination	0.56
8.5 No corruption	0.48
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.56

Due process of law

Cambodia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Ra	ank	I	Income	Rank Globa		al Rank	
	0.31	15/15			37/3	37	141/142		
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge						
	0.00	0							
			Facto Scor		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.2	6	-0.01	15/15	35/37	138/142	
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.23	3	0.00	15/15	37/37	141/142	
	Open Govern	iment	0.24	4	0.01	15/15	36/37	141/142	
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.3	3	0.00	13/15	32/37	131/142	
f	Order and Se	curity	0.6	6	-0.01	14/15	20/37	99/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.2	6	0.00	15/15	37/37	141/142	
₽ŢĮ	Civil Justice		0.2	5	-0.01	15/15	37/37	142/142	
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.2	6	0.00	14/15	31/37	134/142	
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High									



Cambodia — East Asia and Pacific — Lower-Middle



Absence of	Absence of Corruption				
2.1			0.26		
In the execut	ive branch				
2.2			0.13		
In the judicia	ry				
2.3			0.21		
In the police/	/military				

2.4		0.30
In the	legislature	
Open	Government	
3.1		0.20



Fundamental Rights	†‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.43
4.2 Right to life and security	0.28
4.3 Due process of law	0.29
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.28
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.42
4.6 Right to privacy	0.15
4.7 Freedom of association	0.37
4.8 Labor rights	0.45
Order and Security	f
5.1 Absence of crime	0.82
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00

5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.17
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.24
6.2 No improper influence	0.22
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.41
4.4	0.14

6.4 0.14 Respect for due process 6.5 0.27

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Civil Justice		ΔΪΔ
7.1 Accessibility and	d affordability	0.33
7.2 No discriminatic	on	0.22
7.3 No corruption		0.11
7.4 No improper gov	v't influence	0.16
7.5 No unreasonable	e delay	0.24
7.6 Effective enforce	ement	0.23
7.7 Impartial and eff	fective ADRs	0.45



ameroon

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score Regio		Regional R	ank	Income Rank		Global Rank	
	0.35 33/34			32/3	37	134/142	
Sco	ore Change	Rank Char	ige				
	0.00	2 •					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.37	0.00	28/34	27/37	121/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.24	0.00	32/34	35/37	138/142
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.33	0.00	31/34	31/37	132/142
ţŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.36	0.00	30/34	30/37	127/142
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.48	-0.01	29/34	34/37	134/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.40	0.00	24/34	27/37	121/142
₹ T ₹	Civil Justice		0.40	0.00	28/34	26/37	122/142
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.24	-0.02	34/34	36/37	140/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							



10 percent level

🗕 Cameroon 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 💻 Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ó
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.40
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.30
1.3 Independent auditing	0.43
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.37
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.35
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.37
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.27
2.2 In the judiciary	0.29
2.3 In the police/military	0.24
2.4 In the legislature	0.19
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.21
3.2 Right to information	0.34
3.3 Civic participation	0.38
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.38

Fundamental Rights	_ †‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.45
4.2 Right to life and security	0.20
4.3 Due process of law	0.30
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.35
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.56
4.6 Right to privacy	0.08
4.7 Freedom of association	0.44
4.8 Labor rights	0.49
Order and Security	6
5.1	0.59
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.54
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.31
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.44
6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2	0.45
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.26
6.4 Respect for due process	0.39
6.5 A second sec	0.47 ation

Civil Justice	ΔŢΔ
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.49
7.2 No discrimination	0.45
7.3 No corruption	0.31
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.24
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.40
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.39
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.55
Criminal Justice	ර්ව

er miniar subtree	_00
8.1 Effective investigations	0.29
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.26
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.15
8.4 No discrimination	0.36
8.5 No corruption	0.22
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.11
8.7 Due process of law	0.30

Canada

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Rar	ık I	ncome R	Rank	Global	Rank	
	0.80	11/31		12/4	6	12/:	L42	Constraints on Government Powers
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chang	e					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3 Justice 8.5 8.7 1.4 1.4
	0.00	0						8.4 1.5 1.6
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 0,5
Í	Constraints o Government		0.82	0.00	10/31	11/46	11/142	7.7 7.6 2.3
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.83	0.00	7/31	10/46	10/142	7.5 Civil 7.4 0 3.1 3.2 Op
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.80	0.01	8/31	10/46	10/142	Justice 7.3 Gover
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.81	0.00	12/31	13/46	13/142	7.2 7.1 4.1
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.90	0.00	11/31	15/46	15/142	6.5 6.4 4.2 4.3
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.81	0.00	9/31	12/46	12/142	Regulatory 6.3 Enforcement 6.1 Enforcement 6.1 Enforcement 6.1 Enforcement 6.2 Enforcement 6.3 Enforcement 6.3
₽Ţ₫	Civil Justice		0.69	-0.01	17/31	24/46	24/142	Order and Rights
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.73	0.00	9/31	12/46	12/142	Security
* India	ates statistically	significant change	at the					2023 Score 2022 Score
	rcent level			Low	M	edium	High	
_	Canada	EU, EFTA, and Nor	th Ameri	ca	High			
Co	onstraints on	Government P	owers	á	Fu	undame	ntal Rights	Civil Justice
l.1	nits by legislat	ure		0.79	4.1 N	o discrim	ination	0.62 7.1 0.57 Accessibility and affordability
1.2				0.84	4.2			0.95 7.2 0.53
Lin	nits by judiciar	.À		0.76	Ri 4.3	ght to lif	e and securi	y No discrimination 0.76 7.3 0.90
	lependent aud	liting				ue proce	ss of law	No corruption
1.4 Sar	nctions for offi	icial misconduct		0.76	4.4 Fr	eedom o	fexpressior	0.85 7.4 0.88 No improper gov't influence
L.5				0.85	4.5			0.83 7.5 0.46

Non-governmental checks 1.6 0.95 Lawful transition of power Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 2.2 0.95 In the judiciary 2

Š

0.80

6.5

2.3		0.88
	In the police/military	
2.4		0.68
	In the legislature	



4.1 No discrimination	0.62
4.2 Right to life and security	0.95
4.3 Let process of law	0.76
4.4	0.85
Freedom of expression 4.5	0.83
Freedom of religion	0.01
4.6 Right to privacy	0.91
4.7 Freedom of association	0.88
4.8 Labor rights	0.72
Order and Security	
5.1 Absence of crime	0.91
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.80
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.78
6.2 No improper influence	0.95
6.3	0.66
No unreasonable delay	0.91
Respect for due process	0.71

0.77

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Accessibility and affordability	0.57
7.2 No discrimination	0.53
7.3	0.90
No corruption	
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.88
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.46
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.71
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.76
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	<mark>ුර්</mark> ර 0.68
8.1	0-
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	0.68
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 	0.68
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 Effective adjudication 	0.68
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.68 0.68 0.68 0.54

Chile

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	nk	Income F	Rank	Global	Rank	
	0.66	3/32		32/4	6	33/:	142	Constraints on Government Powers
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	ge					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3
	0.00	0						8.4 8.3 8.2 1.5 1.6 2.1 Absence of
			Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.1 0,5 22 Corruption
	Constraints o Government		0.71	0.00	3/32	24/46	25/142	7.6 2.4
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.69	0.00	3/32	28/46	28/142	7.5 Civil 7.4 0 3.1 3.2 Oper
ŀ	Open Govern	iment	0.70	0.00	2/32	23/46	23/142	Justice 7.3 Governm
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.72	0.00	4/32	30/46	31/142	7.1 4.1
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.67	0.00	15/32	45/46	96/142	6.5 6.4 4.3
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.64	0.01	3/32	31/46	32/142	Regulatory 6.1 5.3 5.0 4.0 4.7 4.6 Fundamental
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.62	0.00	7/32	35/46	41/142	Order and Security
රීට	Criminal Just	ice	0.54	-0.01	9/32	38/46	48/142	Security
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	e at the	Low	М	edium	High	- 2023 Score 2022 Score

- Chile - Latin America and Caribbean - High



Fundamental Rights	ţŧ.
4.1	0.57
No discrimination	
4.2	0.80
Right to life and security	
4.3	0.62
Due process of law	
4.4	0.72
Freedom of expression	
4.5	0.79
Freedom of religion	
4.6	0.82
Right to privacy	
4.7	0.74
Freedom of association	
4.8	0.73
Labor rights	
Order and Security	6
5.1	0.74
Absence of crime	
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3	0.26
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	Ê
	•=
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.60
- · ·	
6.2 No improper influence	0.77
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.53
	0.54
6.4 Respect for due process	0.54
	0.76
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	
. to s.p. opriation w/our adequate compense	

Civil Justice	ΔŢΔ
7.1	0.67
Accessibility and affordability	0.07
7.2	0.65
No discrimination	
7.3	0.64
No corruption	
7.4	0.69
No improper gov't influence	
7.5	0.39
No unreasonable delay	
7.6	0.58
Effective enforcement	
7.7	0.71
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice	0.34
	<mark>ුර්</mark> ර 0.34
8.1 Effective investigations	0.34 0.55
8.1 Effective investigations	
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Investigation 	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.55
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 Effective adjudication 	0.55
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 	0.55
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.55
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 	0.55 0.35 0.51 0.67
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 	0.55
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No improper gov't influence 	0.55 0.35 0.51 0.67 0.74
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 	0.55 0.35 0.51 0.67

China

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional R	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank
	0.47	12/15	5	33/4	41	97/	/142
Sco	ore Change	Rank Char	nge				
	0.00	0					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.31	-0.01	13/15	38/41	134/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.53	-0.01	8/15	12/41	57/142
	Open Govern	iment	0.40	0.00	13/15	36/41	108/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.25	-0.01	14/15	41/41	139/142
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.81	0.00	7/15	3/41	39/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.49	0.00	9/15	19/41	74/142
₫ 1	Civil Justice		0.52	0.00	9/15	21/41	73/142
ð	Criminal Just	ice	0.43	-0.02	10/15	21/41	74/142
	ates statistically	significant chang	ge at the	Lov	v N	Medium	High



**

10 percent level



Fundamental Rights	_†‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.46
4.2 Right to life and security	0.29
4.3 Due process of law	0.44
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.10
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.20
4.6 Right to privacy	0.12
4.7 Freedom of association	0.10
4.8 Labor rights	0.32
Order and Security	f
Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime	0.81
5.1	0.81
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2	
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.62
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	1.00 0.62
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	1.00 0.62
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	1.00 0.62 0.53 0.60

demonstration in the second

.5					0.36
	No expropriatio	n w/out	t a	dequate compensa	tion

Civil Justice	ΔŢΔ
7.1	0.66
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2	0.40
No discrimination	
7.3	0.43
No corruption	
7.4	0.19
No improper gov't influence	
7.5	0.72
No unreasonable delay	
7.6	0.55
Effective enforcement	
7.7	0.66
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	්ර
0.1	0.51
	0.51
Effective investigations	



Colombia

Constraints on Government

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Ra	ank	I	ncome	Rank	Global Rank		
0.48		22/32	32		31/41		94/142		
Score Change		Rank Chan	Rank Change						
0.00		-1 •	. •						
			Facto Scor		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.50	0	-0.01	21/32	23/41	84/142	
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.38	8	0.00	21/32	32/41	103/142	
ŀ	Open Govern	iment	0.63	1	-0.01	4/32	3/41	36/142	
ţ‡	Fundamental Rights		0.49	9	0.00	24/32	29/41	89/142	
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.50	6	0.02*	29/32	39/41	129/142	
4	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.52	2	-0.01	12/32	16/41	63/142	
₽ŢĮ	Civil Justice		0.47	7	0.00	20/32	29/41	91/142	
60	0.32	2	0.00	22/32	35/41	115/142			
* Indicates statistically significant change at the									



* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High 10 percent level

— Colombia — Latin America and Caribbean — Upper-Middle



Fundamental Rights	† ‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.46
4.2 Right to life and security	0.50
4.3 Due process of law	0.38
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.51
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.60
4.6 Right to privacy	0.49
4.7 Freedom of association	0.55
4.8 Labor rights	0.46
Order and Security	ĥ
5.1 Absence of crime	0.47
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.94
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.28
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.51
6.2 No improper influence	0.64
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.35
6.4 Respect for due process	0.46
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compen	0.62 sation

Civil Justice	₽Ţ₽
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.56
7.2 No discrimination	0.45
7.3 No corruption	0.49
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.50
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.21
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.40
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.70
Criminal Justice	්ට
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	<mark>්රි</mark> 0.20
8.1	0.20 0.31
8.1 Effective investigations	
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective adjudication 	0.31
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	0.31
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.31

Congo, Dem. Rep.

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Ra	Regional Rank		Rank	Globa	al Rank	
0.34	34/34		17/2	18	138	/142	
Score Change	Rank Chan	ge		1			Crimir Justic
0.00	1 •						
		Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.1
_	Constraints on Government Powers		0.01	22/34	11/18	112/142	7.7
Absence o	f Corruption	0.17	0.00	34/34	18/18	142/142	7.5 Civil 7.4
Open Gove	ernment	0.34	0.01	28/34	16/18	128/142	Justice 7.3
Fundamen	Fundamental Rights			24/34	12/18	118/142	7.1
Order and	Security	0.45	-0.01*	30/34	14/18	136/142	6.5 6.
Regulatory	/ Enforcement	0.34	-0.01	32/34	17/18	137/142	Regulatory Enforceme
☐☐ Civil Justic	Civil Justice		0.00	33/34	16/18	132/142	Linoicemen
්ර් Criminal Ju	ustice	0.28	0.01	31/34	16/18	128/142	
* Indicates statistica 10 percent level	ally significant chang	Lov	v 1	Medium	High		



10 percent level

🗕 Congo, Dem. Rep. 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 💻 Low

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.51
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.38
1.3 Independent auditing	0.35
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.37
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.39
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.40
Absence of Corruption	*
Absence of Corruption 2.1	0.23
2.1 In the executive branch	
2.1	0.23 0.17
2.1 In the executive branch	
2.1 In the executive branch 2.2 In the judiciary 2.3 In the judiciary	0.17
2.1 In the executive branch 2.2 In the judiciary 2.3 In the police/military 2.4 In the police / military	0.17
2.1 In the executive branch 2.2 In the judiciary 2.3 In the police/military 2.4 In the legislature	0.17

0.16
0.35
0.41
0.45

Fundamental Rights	.
4.1 No discrimination	0.53
4.2	0.20
Right to life and security	
4.3 Due process of law	0.32
4.4	0.39
Freedom of expression	
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.63
4.6	0.21
Right to privacy	
4.7	0.49
Freedom of association	
4.8	0.51
Labor rights	
Order and Security	G
5.1	0.52
Absence of crime	
5.2	0.50
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3	0.33
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	Ê
6.1	0.33
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2	0.35
No improper influence	
6.3	0.35
No unreasonable delay	
6.4	0.38
Respect for due process	
6.5	0.31
No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	ation

Civil Justice	<u>1</u> 7
7.1	0.39
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2	0.45
No discrimination	
7.3	0.17
No corruption	
7.4	0.26
No improper gov't influence	
7.5	0.42
No unreasonable delay	
7.6	0.34
Effective enforcement	
7.7	0.50
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1	0.23
Effective investigations	
8.2	0.39
Timely and effective adjudication	
8.3	0.17
Effective correctional system	
8.4	0.45

0.19

0.24

0.32

No discrimination

Due process of law

No improper gov't influence

No corruption

8.5

8.6

8.7

proce	SS	
		0.31

Congo, Rep.

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional R	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank			
0.40		25/34		25/37		122/142		Constraints on Government Powers		
Sco	Score Change Rank Change						Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3			
-	0.01 -	0						8.4 8.3 1.6		
			Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 0,5		
	Constraints o Government		0.36	0.00	29/34	28/37	124/142	7.7 7.6 2.3 2.4		
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.30	0.00	26/34	29/37	126/142	7.5 Civil 7.4 0 0 3.2 Open		
	Open Govern	iment	0.33	-0.01	30/34	30/37	131/142	Justice 7.3 Government 3.4		
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.40	0.00	26/34	26/37	121/142	7.1 4.1		
G	Order and Se	curity	0.61	0.00	21/34	28/37	117/142	6.5 6.4 4.3		
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.43	-0.03	19/34	23/37	110/142	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 5.3 5.2 5.1 4.8 4.7 Fundamental		
₽Ţ₹	Civil Justice		0.44	0.00	19/34	20/37	106/142	Order and Security		
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.34	0.00	24/34	22/37	108/142			
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High						2023 Score 2022 Score				
—	Congo, Rep. Sub-Saharan Africa Lower-Middle									

Constraints on Government Powers	Á
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.38
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.33
1.3 Independent auditing	0.34
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.35
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.28
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.48
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.31
2.2 In the judiciary	0.43
2.3 In the police/military	0.30
2.4 In the legislature	0.16
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.16
3.2 Right to information	0.38
3.3 Civic participation	0.35
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.42

	_ †‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.49
4.2 Right to life and security	0.29
4.3 Due process of law	0.39
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.28
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.66
4.6 Right to privacy	0.17
4.7 Freedom of association	0.43
4.8 Labor rights	0.46
Order and Security	f
5.1 Absence of crime	0.51
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
	1.00 0.33
Absence of civil conflict	
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.33
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.33
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	0.33
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	0.33 0.39 0.47

Civil Justice	۵Ţ۵
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.43
7.2 No discrimination	0.46
7.3 No corruption	0.30
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.42
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.53
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.43
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.52
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.22
8.1	0.22 0.38
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective adjudication 	0.38
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 Effective adjudication 	0.38
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.38 0.29 0.43

Costa Rica

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Upper-Middle

4.1

4.2

4.3

4.4

45

4.6

6.5

Fundamental Rights

Right to life and security

No discrimination

Due process of law

Freedom of expression

Freedom of religion

Right to privacy

Ove	erall Score	Regional Ran	k I	Income R	lank	Global	Rank
	0.68	2/32		1/41	L	29/1	L42
Sco	re Change	Rank Change	è				
	0.00	0					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.77	-0.01	1/32	1/41	16/142
\$	Absence of Co	orruption	0.64	0.01	7/32	4/41	42/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.70	0.00	3/32	1/41	24/142
ţ‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.79	0.00	2/32	1/41	16/142
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.70	0.00	13/32	26/41	85/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.68	0.00	2/32	1/41	28/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.61	0.00	9/32	10/41	47/142
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.58	0.00	5/32	3/41	36/142
	ates statistically	significant change a	it the	Low	M	edium	High



†‡

0.70

0.92

0.73

0.83

0.83

0.83

0.84

0.63

6

0.72

1.00

0.37

0.70

0.75

0.47

0.70

0.78

10 percent level

Costa Rica	Latin America and Caribbean	

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1	0.77
Limits by legislature	0.72
Limits by judiciary	
1.3 Independent auditing	0.80
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.60
1.5	0.83
Non-governmental checks	0.92
Lawful transition of power	

Absence	of Corruption	\$
2.1		0.62
In the exe	cutive branch	
2.2		0.79
In the judi	ciary	
2.3		0.74
In the poli	ce/military	
2.4		0.40
In the logi	lature	

In the legislatur	e	
Open Governn	nent	
3.1 Publicized laws	and gov't data	0.48
3.2 Right to informa	ation	0.72
3.3 Civic participati	on	0.78
3.4		0.80

Complaint mechanisms



No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Civil Justice	ΔΤΔ
7.1	0.66
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.66
7.2	0.71
No discrimination	0.7 1
7.3	0.72
No corruption	
7.4	0.72
No improper gov't influence	
7.5	0.22
No unreasonable delay	
7.6	0.46
Effective enforcement	
7.7	0.76
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice	0.43
	0.43
8.1	0.43 0.44
8.1 Effective investigations	
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Timely and effective adjudication 	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.44
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 	0.44
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 	0.44
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.44
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 	0.44
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 	0.44
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No improper gov't influence 	0.44 0.41 0.71 0.66 0.67
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 	0.44 0.41 0.71 0.66

Côte d'Ivoire

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

				• ·		,		
Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	nk	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank	
	0.45	16/34		19/3	37	106	/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	ge					Criminal Justice ⁸
	0.00	4.						8.4 8.3
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 7.7
	Constraints o Government		0.38	0.00	26/34	24/37	117/142	7.6
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.35	0.01	19/34	21/37	111/142	7.5 Civil 7.4
	Open Govern	ment	0.36	0.00	26/34	27/37	124/142	Justice 7.3
ţţ	Fundamental	Rights	0.45	0.00	20/34	15/37	105/142	7.1
f	Order and Se	curity	0.67	0.00	16/34	19/37	97/142	6.5 6.4
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.52	0.01	8/34	4/37	62/142	6.3 Regulatory Enforcement
₽Ţ₹	Civil Justice		0.51	0.01	9/34	9/37	77/142	Linorcement
80	Criminal Just	ice	0.36	0.00	18/34	20/37	100/142	
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High	_



Côte d'Ivoire Sub-Saharan Africa Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	ń
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.40
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.36
1.3 Independent auditing	0.44
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.36
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.32
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.39
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.35
2.2 In the judiciary	0.38
2.3 In the police/military	0.43
2.4 In the legislature	0.26
Open Government	ŀ
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.20
3.2 Right to information	0.45
3.3 Civic participation	0.42
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.36

Fundamental Rights	ţŧ.
4.1 No discrimination	0.60
4.2 Right to life and security	0.33
4.3 Due process of law	0.39
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.32
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.71
4.6 Right to privacy	0.13
4.7 Freedom of association	0.52
4.8 Labor rights	0.61
Order and Security	f
5.1 Absence of crime	0.66
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.34
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.51
6.2 No improper influence	0.53
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.44
6.4 Respect for due process	0.55
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.55 ation

Civil Justice	ΔĮδ
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.52
7.2 No discrimination	0.58
7.3 No corruption	0.37
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.28
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.55
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.61
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.66
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	්ට 0.35
8.1	0.35 0.49
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.49
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 	0.49
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No discrimination 	0.49

Croatia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	ank	Income F	lank	Global	Rank
	0.61	28/31		40/4	6	45/:	142
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chan	ge				
	0.00	2 •					
			Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
İ	Constraints of Government		0.58	0.02	28/31	39/46	53/142
\$	Absence of C	Corruption	0.57	0.00	26/31	40/46	50/142
	Open Govern	nment	0.61	0.00	26/31	34/46	37/142
ţţ	Fundamenta	l Rights	0.68	0.01	25/31	34/46	37/142
6	Order and Se	ecurity	0.84	-0.01	21/31	29/46	31/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.56	-0.01	28/31	41/46	50/142
₹ <u></u>	Civil Justice		0.56	0.00	28/31	42/46	58/142
50	Criminal Just	tice	0.51	0.00	28/31	41/46	54/142
	cates statistically rcent level	/ significant chang	e at the	Low	M	ledium	High
-	Croatia	EU, EFTA, and N	orth Ame	rica	High		
Co	nstraints on	Government I	Powers	Á	F	undame	ntal Righ

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1	0.57
Limits by legislature	
1.2	0.47
Limits by judiciary	
1.3	0.59
Independent auditing	
1.4	0.49
Sanctions for official misconduct	
1.5	0.65
Non-governmental checks	
	0.71
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	_ 🔊
2.1	0.49
In the executive branch	
2.2	0.71
In the judiciary	
2.3	0.76
In the police/military	
2.4	0.33
In the legislature	
	_
Open Government	·
3.1	0.55
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.59
Right to information	
3.3	0.64
Civic participation	
3.4	0.66
Complaint mechanisms	

Fundamental Rights	†‡
4.1	0.61
No discrimination	
4.2	0.74
Right to life and security	
4.3 Due process of law	0.66
4.4	0.65
Freedom of expression	0.65
4.5	0.68
Freedom of religion	
4.6	0.60
Right to privacy	
4.7	0.75
Freedom of association	
4.8 Labor rights	0.75
Labor rights	
Order and Security	2
	1
5.1 Absence of crime	0.95
	1 00
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	0.56
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.60
Effective regulatory enforcement	0.00
6.2	0.67
No improper influence	
6.3	0.44
No unreasonable delay	
6.4 Demost for the second seco	0.50
Respect for due process	0.55
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.59 ation
The supropriation wood adequate compens	

Civil Justice	∆ T ∆
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.69
7.2 No discrimination	0.70
7.3 No corruption	0.57
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.54
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.27
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.46
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.71
Criminal Justice	60
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	0.49
8.1	0.49 0.45
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.45
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 Effective adjudication 	0.45
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No discrimination 	0.45

prus

Constraints on

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Rar	ık I	Income R	lank	Global	Rank
	0.68	23/31		30/4	6	31/1	142
Sco	re Change	Rank Change	е				
-	0.01 -	-3 🕶					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.66	0.00	24/31	31/46	32/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.65	-0.03	24/31	36/46	40/142
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.60	0.00	28/31	36/46	40/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.72	0.00	24/31	31/46	32/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.81	0.00	26/31	34/46	38/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.66	0.00	20/31	28/46	29/142
₽ŢĮ	Civil Justice		0.62	-0.01	23/31	34/46	40/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.68	-0.02	17/31	23/46	23/142
	ates statistically	significant change	at the	Low	M	edium 🗌	High



Civil Justice

Accessibility and affordability

7.1

ţŧ

0.58

0.65

10 percent level

 Cyprus
 EU, EFTA, and North America High



3.4

Complaint mechanisms

4.1 No discrimination		0.61
4.2		0.81
Right to life and security 4.3		0.68
Due process of law 4.4		0.63
Freedom of expression 4.5		0.81
Freedom of religion 4.6		0.82
Right to privacy		0.75
Freedom of association		0.63
Labor rights		
Order and Security		•
5.1 Absence of crime		0.83
5.2 Absence of civil conflict		1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress		0.61
Regulatory Enforcement		
6.1 Effective regulatory enforce	ement	0.65
6.2 No improper influence		0.69

Fundamental Rights

0.63

Ш

6.3

6.4

No unreasonable delay

Respect for due process

7.2		0.64
	No discrimination	
7.3		0.71
	No corruption	
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.76
7.5		0.29
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.27
7.6		0.57
	Effective enforcement	
7.7		0.71
	Impartial and effective ADRs	
	Criminal Justice	St.
		00
8.1	Effective investigations	0.71
8.2		0.64
0.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.01
8.3		0.71
	Effective correctional system	
8.4		0.60
0.5	No discrimination	0.40
8.5	No corruption	0.68
8.6		0.76
	No improper gov't influence	
8.7		0.68
	Due process of law	

11

0.67

0.75 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Czechia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall	ll Score	Regional Ra	nk	Income R	lank	Global	Rank	
0.7	73	15/31		20/4	6	20/3	142	Constraints on Government
Score C	Change	Rank Chang	е					Powers Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3 Justice 8.5 1.4
0.0	00	0						8.4 8.3 1.6
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 0.5
	onstraints or overnment F		0.74	0.00	16/31	19/46	20/142	7.7 7.6 2.3 2.4
s Ab:	osence of Co	orruption	0.66	0.00	23/31	34/46	37/142	7.5 Civil 7.4 0 3.1 3.1 3.2 Open
🚺 Ор	pen Governi	ment	0.69	0.00	20/31	27/46	28/142	Justice 7.3 Governme
Fur	ndamental	Rights	0.78	0.00	16/31	19/46	20/142	7.2 7.1 4.1
Ore	rder and Sec	urity	0.89	0.00	14/31	18/46	19/142	6.5 6.4 4.3
📋 Reg	gulatory En	forcement	0.71	0.00	17/31	23/46	23/142	Regulatory Enforcement
∐ً∄ Civ	vil Justice		0.69	0.00	14/31	21/46	21/142	Order and Rights
්ර Cri	iminal Justi	се	0.70	0.00	14/31	19/46	19/142	Security
* Indicates 10 percent	,	significant change	at the	Low	М	edium	High	2023 Score 2022 Score





	Fundamental Rights	†‡
4.1		0.72
	No discrimination	
4.2		0.94
	Right to life and security	
4.3		0.80
	Due process of law	
4.4		0.74
	Freedom of expression	
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.78
		0.74
4.6	Right to privacy	0.74
4.7		0.79
4.7	Freedom of association	0.77
4.8		0.73
	Labor rights	
	Order and Security	6
5.1	Absence of crime	0.89
5.2		1.00
J.Z	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3		0.78
0.0	Absence of violent redress	0.70
	Regulatory Enforcement	Ê
6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.73
6.2		0.87
0.2	No improper influence	0.87
6.3		0.62
0.0	No unreasonable delay	0.02
6.4		0.60
	Respect for due process	
6.5		0.74
	No expropriation w/out adequate compensa	tion

Civil Justice	ΔŢΔ
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.65
7.2 No discrimination	0.71
7.3 No corruption	0.80
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.74
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.52
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.63
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.81
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	0.61
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	00
8.1 Effective investigations	0.61
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective adjudication 	0.61
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.61
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 	0.61 0.66 0.60 0.66

Complaint mechanisms
Denmark

Constraints on Government Powers

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Rank	In	Income Rank			Global Rank		
	0.90 1/31			1/46		1/142			
Sco	re Change	Rank Change							
	0.00	0							
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank		
	Constraints o Government		0.95	0.00	1/31	1/46	1/142		
\$	Absence of Corruption		0.96	0.00	1/31	1/46	1/142		
ŀ	Open Government		0.86	0.00	2/31	2/46	2/142		
ţţ	Fundamental Rights		0.92	0.00	1/31	1/46	1/142		
6	Order and Se	curity	0.93	0.00	3/31	4/46	4/142		
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.88	-0.01	1/31	1/46	1/142		
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.86	-0.02	2/31	2/46	2/142		
80	Criminal Just	ice	0.83	0.00	2/31	2/46	2/142		
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High									

8.6 8.7 1.1

Criminal

10 percent level

Denmark EU, EFTA, and North America High



Fundamental Rights	ţŧ
4.1	0.80
No discrimination	
4.2 Right to life and security	0.99
4.3	0.91
Due process of law	0.71
4.4	0.97
Freedom of expression	
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.82
4.6	0.97
Right to privacy	0.77
4.7	0.98
Freedom of association	
4.8 Labor rights	0.95
Labor rights	
Order and Security	6
5.1	0.95
Absence of crime	0.75
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.84
Absence of Molent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	Ê
	0.86
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.86
6.2	0.96
No improper influence	
6.3	0.86
No unreasonable delay	0.00
6.4 Respect for due process	0.88
6.5	0.85
No expropriation w/out adequate compensa	ation

Civil Justice	₹ Ţ ₹
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.78
7.2 No discrimination	0.87
7.3 No corruption	0.99
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.91
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.70
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.90
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.85
Criminal Justice	84
	O
8.1 Effective investigations	0.68
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.75
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.77
8.4 No discrimination	0.79
8.5 No corruption	0.99
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.94
8.7 Due process of law	0.91

Dominica

Constraints on

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score Regional Ra		Regional Ran	k I	ncome R	lank	Global Rank	
	0.58 11/32			9/41	L	53/142	
Sco	ore Change	Rank Change	è				
	0.00	1-					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.52	0.00	17/32	17/41	73/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.60	0.01	11/32	6/41	46/142
ŀ	Open Government		0.49	-0.01	20/32	23/41	73/142
ţŧ	Fundamental Rights		0.62	0.00	14/32	12/41	52/142
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.73	0.01	8/32	21/41	69/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.53	0.00	11/32	12/41	58/142
ΔŢΔ	Civil Justice		0.57	0.00	11/32	12/41	55/142
්ට	Criminal Just	ice	0.56	0.00	7/32	6/41	44/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							



ŧŧ

10 percent level

Dominica Latin America and Caribbean Upper-Middle



0.29

ŀ

0.38

0.51

0.57

0.52

4.1	
No discrimination	0.55
4.2 Right to life and security	0.79
4.3 Due process of law	0.61
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.51
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.74
4.6 Right to privacy	0.57
4.7 Freedom of association	0.61
4.8 Labor rights	0.59
Order and Security	ô
5.1 Absence of crime	0.84
Absence of crime	
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.2	1.00 0.34
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.34
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	0.34
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	0.34

Fundamental Rights

Civil Justice	∆ ⊺ ∆
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.76
7.2 No discrimination	0.58
7.3	0.74
No corruption	0.70
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.73
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.26
7.6	0.21
7.7	0.67
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.50
8.1	<mark>්රි</mark> 0.50 0.48
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Timely and effective adjudication 	0.48
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.48
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 	0.48 0.34 0.64
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 	0.48 0.34 0.64 0.71

2.4

3.1

3.2

3.3

3.4

In the legislature

Open Government

Right to information

Civic participation

Complaint mechanisms

Publicized laws and gov't data

Dominican Republic

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score R		Regional Ra	Regional Rank		Income Rank		Global Rank	
	0.49 20/32		27/4	41	86/	/142		
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge					
	0.00	8 •						
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.51	0.01	18/32	20/41	77/142	
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.39	0.00	20/32	31/41	99/142	
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.55	0.00	11/32	14/41	56/142	
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.58	0.01	17/32	20/41	67/142	
î	Order and Se	curity	0.64	0.00	19/32	29/41	106/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.42	0.00	25/32	37/41	114/142	
₽ŢŢ	Civil Justice		0.43	0.00	23/32	34/41	110/142	
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.38	0.02	17/32	30/41	92/142	
	ates statistically	significant chang	e at the	Lov	v N	1edium	High	



10 percent level

🗕 Dominican Republic 🛑 Latin America and Caribbean 💻 Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.58
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.46
1.3 Independent auditing	0.36
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.36
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.66
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.63
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.41
2.2 In the judiciary	0.49
2.3 In the police/military	0.47
2.4 In the legislature	0.20
Open Government	ŀ
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.36
3.2 Right to information	0.59
3.3 Civic participation	0.61
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.65

Fundamental Rights	ţ‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.50
4.2 Right to life and security	0.65
4.3 Due process of law	0.40
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.66
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.64
4.6 Right to privacy	0.47
4.7 Freedom of association	0.70
4.8 Labor rights	0.59
Order and Security	f
5.1 Absence of crime	0.63
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.28
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.43
6.2 No improper influence	0.62
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.38
6.4 Respect for due process	0.24
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.45 ation

7.1 0.49 Accessibility and affordability 0.56 No discrimination 0.56 7.3 0.46 No corruption 0.46 7.4 0.38 No improper gov't influence 0.38 7.5 0.24 No unreasonable delay 0.36 Effective enforcement 0.36 7.7 0.56 Impartial and effective ADRs 0.56 8.1 0.35 Effective investigations 0.35 8.2 0.41 Timely and effective adjudication 0.25 Effective correctional system 0.25	Civil Justice	Λ
Accessibility and affordability 7.2 No discrimination 7.3 No corruption 7.4 No improper gov't influence 7.5 No unreasonable delay 7.6 Effective enforcement 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Effective adjudication		۹Ī۹
7.2 0.56 No discrimination 0.46 7.3 0.46 7.4 0.38 7.5 0.38 7.5 0.36 7.6 0.36 Effective enforcement 0.36 7.7 0.56 Criminal Justice 0.56 Effective investigations 0.35 8.1 0.35 Effective investigations 0.35 8.2 0.35 8.3 0.25		0.49
No discrimination 0.46 7.3 0.46 No corruption 0.38 7.4 0.38 No improper gov't influence 0.34 7.5 0.24 No unreasonable delay 0.36 Effective enforcement 0.36 7.7 0.56 Criminal Justice 0.35 Effective investigations 0.35 8.1 0.35 Effective investigations 0.41 Timely and effective adjudication 0.41	Accessibility and affordability	
7.3 0.46 No corruption 0.38 7.4 0.38 No improper gov't influence 0.38 7.5 0.24 No unreasonable delay 0.36 Effective enforcement 0.36 7.7 0.56 Impartial and effective ADRs 0.35 Effective investigations 0.35 8.1 0.35 Effective investigations 0.41 7.3 0.24	7.2	0.56
No corruption 0.38 7.4 0.38 No improper gov't influence 0.24 7.5 0.24 No unreasonable delay 0.36 7.6 0.36 Effective enforcement 0.36 7.7 0.56 Impartial and effective ADRs 0.35 Effective investigations 0.35 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 0.31 6 0.35 8.3 0.25	No discrimination	
7.4 0.38 No improper gov't influence 0.24 7.5 0.24 No unreasonable delay 0.36 7.6 0.36 Effective enforcement 0.36 7.7 0.56 Impartial and effective ADRs 0.56 8.1 0.35 Effective investigations 0.35 8.2 0.35 8.3 0.25	7.3	0.46
No improper gov't influence 7.5 0.24 No unreasonable delay 7.6 0.36 Effective enforcement 7.7 0.56 Impartial and effective ADRs Criminal Justice 0.35 Effective investigations 8.2 0.35 8.2 0.41 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 0.25	No corruption	
7.5 0.24 No unreasonable delay 0.36 7.6 0.36 Effective enforcement 0.36 7.7 0.56 Impartial and effective ADRs 0.36 Criminal Justice 0.35 Effective investigations 0.35 8.1 0.35 8.2 0.41 Timely and effective adjudication 0.25	7.4	0.38
No unreasonable delay 0.36 Effective enforcement 0.36 7.7 0.56 Impartial and effective ADRs 0.56 Criminal Justice 0.50 8.1 0.35 Effective investigations 0.35 8.2 0.41 Timely and effective adjudication 0.25	No improper gov't influence	
7.6 0.36 Effective enforcement 0.56 Impartial and effective ADRs 0.56 Criminal Justice 0.56 8.1 0.35 Effective investigations 0.35 8.2 0.41 Timely and effective adjudication 0.41	7.5	0.24
Effective enforcement 7.7 Timpartial and effective ADRs 0.56 Criminal Justice 0.35 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 0.25	No unreasonable delay	
7.7 0.56 Impartial and effective ADRs 0.56 Criminal Justice 0.56 8.1 0.35 Effective investigations 0.41 Timely and effective adjudication 0.41 8.3 0.25	7.6	0.36
Impartial and effective ADRs Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 0.25	Effective enforcement	
Criminal Justice O 8.1 0.35 Effective investigations 0.35 8.2 0.41 Timely and effective adjudication 0.41 8.3 0.25	7.7	0.56
8.1 0.35 Effective investigations 0.35 8.2 0.41 Timely and effective adjudication 0.25	Impartial and effective ADRs	
8.1 0.35 Effective investigations 0.35 8.2 0.41 Timely and effective adjudication 0.25		
8.1 0.35 Effective investigations 0.35 8.2 0.41 Timely and effective adjudication 0.25	Criminal Justice	St.
Effective investigations 8.2 0.41 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 0.25	Criminal Justice	_80
8.2 0.41 Timely and effective adjudication 0.25	8.1	0.35
Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 0.25	Effective investigations	
8.3	8.2	0.41
	Timely and effective adjudication	
Effective correctional system	8.3	0.25
	Effective correctional system	

8.4		0.42
	No discrimination	
8.5		0.46
	No corruption	
8.6		0.37
	No improper gov't influence	
8.7		0.40
	Due process of law	

Ecuador

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Ra	nk	Income	Rank	Global Rank		
0.47		23/32		32/4	32/41		96/142	
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chang	ge					
-	0.01 -	-1 -						
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
Ó	Constraints o Government		0.49	0.00	23/32	25/41	88/142	
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.38	-0.03*	22/32	33/41	105/142	
ŀ	Open Government		0.52	0.00	16/32	18/41	63/142	
ŧŧ	Fundamental Rights		0.53	0.00	20/32	25/41	75/142	
ĥ	Order and Security		0.59	-0.01	27/32	37/41	123/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.47	-0.02	21/32	25/41	88/142	
₽ŢĮ	Civil Justice		0.46	-0.02	22/32	31/41	96/142	
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.33	-0.02	19/32	32/41	109/142	
	ates statistically rcent level	significant change	Lov	v N	/ledium	High		



🗕 Ecuador 🛑 Latin America and Caribbean 💻 Upper-Middle



Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.37
3.2 Right to information	0.53
3.3 Civic participation	0.53
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.64

Fundamental Rights	†‡
4.1 A Sector	0.40
4.2 Right to life and security	0.61
4.3 Due process of law	0.42
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.53
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.65
4.6 Right to privacy	0.44
4.7 Freedom of association	0.62
4.8 Labor rights	0.55
Order and Security	f
5.1 Absence of crime	0.51
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.98
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.29
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.53
6.2 No improper influence	0.52
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.42
6.4 Respect for due process	0.33
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.53 ation

Civil Justice	۵Ţ۵
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.56
7.2 No discrimination	0.39
7.3 No corruption	0.38
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.40
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.40
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.44
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.66
Criminal Justice	60
8.1 Effective investigations	0.22
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.39
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.21

0.33 8.4 No discrimination 0.38 8.5 No corruption 0.39 8.6



Egypt, Arab Rep.

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Low Medium High

Ove	Overall Score Regional Ra		ank	Income Rank		Global Rank		
	0.35 9/9				34/37		136/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge					
	0.00	1-						
			Fact Scor		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.2	4	-0.01	9/9	36/37	140/142
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.3	8	0.00	7/9	18/37	102/142
	Open Govern	iment	0.2	3	0.00	9/9	37/37	142/142
ţţ	Fundamental	Rights	0.2	4	-0.01	8/9	35/37	140/142
î	Order and Se	curity	0.6	2	0.00	9/9	27/37	112/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.3	6	0.00	9/9	32/37	133/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.3	8	0.00	9/9	31/37	130/142
80	Criminal Just	ice	0.3	3	-0.01	8/9	24/37	111/142



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Egypt, Arab Rep.
 Middle East and North Africa
 Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers Á

	шш
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.07
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.32
1.3 Independent auditing	0.27
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.38
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.08
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.33
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.38
2.2 In the judiciary	0.46
2.3 In the police/military	0.43
2.4 In the legislature	0.26

2.4	0.26
In the legislature	
Open Government	
3.1	0.31
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.12
Right to information	
3.3	0.17
Civic participation	
3.4	0.32

Complaint mechanisms

Fundamental Rights	_ †‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.44
4.2 Right to life and security	0.16
4.3 Due process of law	0.28
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.08
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.22
4.6 Right to privacy	0.14
4.7 Freedom of association	0.22
4.8 Labor rights	0.37
Order and Security	î
5.1 Absence of crime	0.77
5.1	0.77
5.1 Absence of crime	
5.1 Absence of civil conflict 5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.83
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.83
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.83

0.28 6.4 Respect for due process 0.41 6.5

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Civil Justice	2 1 2
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.46
7.2 No discrimination	0.30
7.3 No corruption	0.47
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.35
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.27
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.28
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.52
Criminal Justice	්ර



El Salvador

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional R	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank				
0.45	25/32	2	21/3	37	108	/142			Constraints on Government Powers	
Score Change	Rank Char	nge					Crimina Justice	8.5	8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3	
-0.01 -	-4 •							8.3	1.5	
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 7.7			sence of rruption
Constrain Governme	ts on ent Powers	0.41	-0.01	27/32	21/37	110/142	7.6		M2 24	
Absence o	f Corruption	0.35	-0.01	23/32	22/37	114/142	7.5 Civil 7.4			Oper
Open Gov	ernment	0.47	-0.01	22/32	12/37	82/142	Justice 7.3	C	3.3	Governr
Fundamer	tal Rights	0.46	-0.01	27/32	14/37	102/142	7.1		4.1 ×	
Order and	Security	0.66	-0.02*	16/32	21/37	100/142	6.5		4.2	
Regulator	y Enforcement	0.48	-0.01	18/32	10/37	77/142	Regulatory Enforcement	6.3 6.2 6.1	5.3 5.0 4.8 4.7 4.6 Fundament	al
Civil Justi	ce	0.49	0.00	17/32	11/37	82/142	2		Order and Security	
Criminal J	ustice	0.25	-0.02	30/32	35/37	139/142			,	
 El Salvador 	ally significant chang Latin Ameri		Lov	/	ledium	High				
	on Government				r-Middle Fundame	ental Rights		**	Civil Justice	ΔŤΔ
	on Government		Ŵ	F		ental Rights		†† 0.52 7 1		<u> </u>
Limits by legis				4.1	Fundame	ental Rights nination		†† 0.52 7.1		0.57
	lature		Ŵ	4.1 4.2	Fundame No discrii				Accessibility and affordability	_
Limits by legis Limits by judic Independent a	lature iary		0.47	4.1 4.2 R 4.3	Fundame No discrir Right to li	mination		0.52 7.1	Accessibility and affordability No discrimination	0.57
Limits by judic	lature iary	Powers	0.47	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.3	Fundame No discrin Right to li Due proce	nination fe and security	1	0.52 7.1 0.49 7.2	Accessibility and affordability No discrimination No corruption	0.57
Limits by judic ndependent a Sanctions for o	lature iary uuditing official misconduc	Powers	0.47 0.39 0.27	4.1 4.2 7 4.3 7 4.4 7 4.4 7 4.5	Fundame No discrir Right to li Due proce	nination fe and security ess of law		0.52 7.1 0.49 7.2 0.27 7.3	Accessibility and affordability No discrimination No corruption No improper gov't influence	0.57
Limits by judic	lature iary uditing official misconduc ental checks	Powers	0.47 0.39 0.27 0.30	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.5 F 4.5	Fundame No discrir Right to li Due proce	nination fe and security ess of law of expression of religion		0.52 7.1 0.49 7.2 0.27 7.3 0.47 7.4	Accessibility and affordability Accessibility and affordability No discrimination No corruption No improper gov't influence No unreasonable delay	0.57 0.62 0.47 0.34

Absence of	f Corruption	Š
2.1 In the execu	tive branch	0.32
2.2		0.48
In the judici	ary	
2.3 In the police	(military)	0.52

In the police	e/military	
2.4		80.0
In the legisla	ature	

Open Government	
3.1	0.41
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.50
Right to information	
3.3	0.44
Civic participation	
3.4	0.53
Complaint mechanisms	

Fundamental Rights	_ †‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.52
4.2 Right to life and security	0.49
4.3 Due process of law	0.27
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.47
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.57
4.6 Right to privacy	0.36
4.7 Freedom of association	0.47
4.8 Labor rights	0.52
Order and Security	î
5.1 Absence of crime	0.64
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.90
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.45
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.40
6.2 No improper influence	0.63
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.48
6.4 Respect for due process	0.36
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.53 ation

7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.57
7.2 No discrimination	0.62
7.3 No corruption	0.47
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.34
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.36
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.49
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.62
Criminal Justice	ãO
	0-
8.1 Effective investigations	0.13
	0.13
Effective investigations	
Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.28
Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4	0.28
Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5	0.28

Estonia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	Overall Score Regional Rank Incom		ncome R	lank	Global Rank		
	0.82	8/31		9/46		9/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Change	e				
	0.00	0					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.83	0.00	9/31	10/46	10/142
\$	Absence of Co	orruption	0.81	0.00	11/31	16/46	16/142
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.81	0.00	7/31	9/46	9/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.83	0.00	10/31	10/46	10/142
6	Order and Se	curity	0.90	0.01	12/31	16/46	16/142
	Regulatory Er	nforcement	0.81	0.00	10/31	13/46	13/142
₽ŢĮ	Civil Justice		0.81	0.00	7/31	7/46	7/142
00	Criminal Just	ice	0.75	0.01	7/31	9/46	9/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							



10 percent level

EU, EFTA, and North America	_	High
Constraints on Government Powers		
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.81	4
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.83	4
1.3	0.88	4
Independent auditing 1.4	0.79	4
Sanctions for official misconduct	0.79	4
Non-governmental checks	0.89	4
Lawful transition of power	0.07	
Absence of Corruption	Š	4
2.1 In the executive branch	0.75	4
2.2 In the judiciary	0.96	
2.3	0.92	5
In the police/military	0.60	5
In the legislature		5
Open Government		
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.88	
3.2 Right to information	0.78	6
3.3 Civic participation	0.78	6
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.79	6

Fundamental Rights	
4.1 A No discrimination	0.83
4.2 Right to life and security	0.93
4.3 A Due process of law	0.81
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.79
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.82
4.6	0.88
Right to privacy 4.7	0.83
Freedom of association 4.8	0.72
Labor rights	
Order and Security	<u> </u>
5.1 Absence of crime	0.91
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.78
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.85
6.2 No improper influence	0.90
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.81
6.4 Respect for due process	0.69
6.5	0.78

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Civil Justice	۵ <u>آ</u> ۵
7.1	0.70
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2	0.86
No discrimination	
7.3	0.90
No corruption	0.07
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.87
7.5	0.76
No unreasonable delay	0.70
7.6	0.72
Effective enforcement	
7.7	0.85
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice	dO
	<mark>්රි</mark> 0.62
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	0.62 0.56
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.56
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective adjudication 	
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 	0.56
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective adjudication 	0.56
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 	0.56
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 	0.56
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.56
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 	0.56
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No improper gov't influence 8.7 Volume	0.56
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No improper gov't influence 	0.56 0.73 0.78 0.88 0.86

Ethiopia

Constraints on

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Rank		Income Rank		Global Rank	
	0.38	30/34		15/18		129/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge				
-	0.01 -	-4 •					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.35	-0.03*	30/34	17/18	128/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.44	-0.01	8/34	3/18	78/142
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.31	-0.02	33/34	18/18	136/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.30	-0.02*	34/34	17/18	135/142
î	Order and Se	curity	0.53	0.00	28/34	13/18	131/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.36	-0.01	30/34	15/18	132/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.42	-0.01	26/34	12/18	118/142
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.35	0.00	22/34	9/18	104/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							



İİ

10 percent level

🗕 Ethiopia 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 💻 Low

Constraints on Government Powers	Ó
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.43
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.32
1.3 Independent auditing	0.39
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.37
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.26
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.32
Absence of Corruption	Š

	Ψ
2.1	0.39
In the executive branch	
2.2	0.41
In the judiciary	
2.3	0.46
In the police/military	
2.4	0.51



0	_ 14
4.1 No discrimination	0.42
4.2 Right to life and security	0.17
4.3 Due process of law	0.32
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.26
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.42
4.6 Right to privacy	0.11
4.7 Freedom of association	0.30
4.8 Labor rights	0.37
Order and Security	6
5.1 Absence of crime	0.62
	0.62
Absence of crime	
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	0.50
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.50
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.50
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	0.50
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	0.50 0.47 0.33 0.49
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4	0.50 0.47 0.33 0.49 0.37

Fundamental Rights

Civil Justice	₽Ţ₽
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.42
7.2 No discrimination	0.36
7.3	0.34
7.4	0.27
No improper gov't influence	0.41
No unreasonable delay 7.6	0.49
Effective enforcement	0.61
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	8th



Finland

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Rank	In	come Ra	nk	Global F	Rank
	0.87	3/31		3/46		3/14	2
Sco	ore Change	Rank Change					
	0.00	0					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Ó	Constraints o Government		0.92	0.01	3/31	3/46	3/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.89	0.00	4/31	5/46	5/142
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.86	0.00	3/31	3/46	3/142
ţţ	Fundamental	Rights	0.90	0.01	3/31	3/46	3/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.92	0.00	6/31	9/46	9/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.87	0.01	3/31	3/46	3/142
₽Ţ₹	Civil Justice		0.81	0.00	6/31	6/46	6/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.84	0.00	1/31	1/46	1/142
	cates statistically	significant change at	the	Low	Me	dium 📃	High

Constraints on Government Powers Criminal Justice Absence of Corruption 0.5 Civil Open istice Government Regulatory Enforcement Fundamental Rights Order and Security 2023 Score _____ 2022 Score

10 percent level



Fundamental Rights	ţŧ
4.1 No discrimination	0.84
4.2 Right to life and security	0.97
4.3 Automatical and a second s	0.92
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.89
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.87
4.6	0.96
Right to privacy 4.7	0.92
Freedom of association	0.85
Labor rights	
Order and Security	6
5.1 Absence of crime	0.94
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.81
Regulatory Enforcement	Ê
6.1	0.82
Effective regulatory enforcement	0.97
No improper influence	0.81
No unreasonable delay	0.94
Respect for due process	0.80
No expropriation w/out adequate compense	

Civil Justice	₹Ţ₹
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.71
7.2 No discrimination	0.86
7.3 No corruption	0.94
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.90
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.59
7.6 Fifective enforcement	0.89
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.78
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.60
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.78
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.84
8.4 No discrimination	0.80
8.5 No corruption	0.93
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.99
8.7 Due process of law	0.92

France

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ove	rall Score	Regional Ra	nk	Income R	lank	Global	Rank	
	0.73	16/31		21/4	6	21/	142	Constraints on Government Powers
Scor	e Change	Rank Chang	ge					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4
	0.00	0						8.4 8.3
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 7.7 8.1 0,5 8.2 0,5 8.1 2.2 8.1 2.3
	Constraints of Government F		0.72	-0.01	17/31	21/46	22/142	7.5 2.3 2.4
\$	Absence of Co	orruption	0.75	0.00	14/31	20/46	20/142	7.5 Civil 7.4 0 3.1 3.2
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.75	-0.01	14/31	16/46	16/142	Justice 7.3 3.3 Gove
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.74	0.00	21/31	26/46	27/142	7.1 4.1
G	Order and Sec	curity	0.79	0.00	27/31	36/46	46/142	6.5 6.4 4.2
	Regulatory Er	oforcement	0.75	-0.01	15/31	20/46	20/142	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 5.3 5.0 4.0 4.7 Fundamental
₽Ţ₹	Civil Justice		0.69	-0.01	15/31	22/46	22/142	Order and Security
්ට	Criminal Justi	се	0.63	0.00	21/31	28/46	28/142	Security
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	e at the	Low	M	edium	High	- 2023 Score 2022 Score

France EU, EFTA, and North America High

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.71
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.67
1.3	0.72
Independent auditing 1.4	0.64
Sanctions for official misconduct	0.72
Non-governmental checks	0.89
Lawful transition of power	0.07
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.74
2.2 In the judiciary	0.90
2.3 In the police/military	0.85
2.4 In the legislature	0.50
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.84
3.2 Right to information	0.65
3.3	0.73

0.78

Fundamental Rights	_ † ‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.66
4.2 Right to life and security	0.84
4.3 Due process of law	0.68
4.4	0.72
Freedom of expression 4.5	0.74
Freedom of religion 4.6	0.70
Right to privacy 4.7	0.82
Freedom of association 4.8	0.78
Labor rights	
Order and Security	î
5.1 Absence of crime	0.82
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.56
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.70
6.2 No improper influence	0.85
6.3 6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.67
6.4 Respect for due process	0.74
6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5	0.78 ation

Civil Justice	ΔŢδ
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.63
7.2 No discrimination	0.67
7.3 No corruption	0.76
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.76
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.53
7.6	0.69
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.78
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.60
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.60
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.54
8.4 No discrimination	0.54
8.5 No corruption	0.77
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.67
8.7 Due process of law	0.68

3.4

Civic participation

Complaint mechanisms

Gabon

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional R	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank	
	0.39	27/34		40/4	41	124	/142	Constraints on Government Powers
Sco	ore Change	Rank Char	ige					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3
	0.00	4 •						8.4 1.5 1.6
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 0,5
	Constraints o Government		0.32	0.01	33/34	37/41	133/142	7.7 7.6 2.3 2.4
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.24	0.00	33/34	41/41	139/142	7.5 Civil 7.4 0 0 0 3.1 3.2 Open
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.37	0.01	22/34	39/41	118/142	Justice 7.3 Governmen
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.43	-0.01	22/34	36/41	115/142	7.1 4.1
6	Order and Se	curity	0.63	0.00	19/34	30/41	110/142	6.5 6.4 4.3
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.46	-0.01	15/34	28/41	93/142	6.3 Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 5.3 5.2 5.4 6.4 5.4
₽Ţ₹	Civil Justice		0.40	0.03	31/34	38/41	127/142	Order and Security
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.29	-0.01	30/34	37/41	126/142	
	cates statistically rcent level	significant chang	ge at the	Lov	v N	∕ledium	High	2023 Score 2022 Score
_	Gabon	Sub-Saharan Afr	ica	Upper-N	1iddle			

Constraints on Government Powers	m
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.38
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.29
1.3 Independent auditing	0.37
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.25
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.30
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.30
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.25
2.2 In the judiciary	0.36
2.3 In the police/military	0.26
2.4 In the legislature	0.09
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.37
3.2 Right to information	0.25
3.3 Civic participation	0.38
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.50

Fundamental Rights	
4.1 No discrimination	0.48
4.2 Right to life and security	0.45
4.3 Due process of law	0.39
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.30
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.60
4.6 Right to privacy	0.13
4.7 Freedom of association	0.47
4.8 Labor rights	0.59
Order and Security	f
5.1 Absence of crime	0.53
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.36
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.50
6.2 No improper influence	0.50
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.35
6.4 Respect for due process	0.48
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compen	0.45 sation

Civil Justice	ΔŢΔ
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.46
7.2 No discrimination	0.52
7.3 No corruption	0.27
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.25
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.39
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.38
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.53
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.28
8.1	<mark>්රි</mark> 0.28 0.22
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective adjudication	0.22
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 	0.22
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No 	0.22 0.27 0.49

The Gambia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Ra	ank	Income	Rank	Global Rank		
	0.49 9/34			3/1	8	85/142		
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge					
	0.00	3▲						
			Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.57	0.00	8/34	3/18	57/142	
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.47	0.00	6/34	2/18	67/142	
ŀ	Open Govern	0.38	0.01	20/34	10/18	116/142		
†‡	Fundamental	0.52	0.01	11/34	4/18	79/142		
f	Order and Se	curity	0.70	-0.01	12/34	5/18	87/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.37	0.01	27/34	12/18	129/142	
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.49	0.00	10/34	3/18	83/142	
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.40	0.00	11/34	4/18	82/142	
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High								



🗕 The Gambia 💶 Sub-Saharan Africa 💶 Low

Constraints on Government Powers	Ó
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.71
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.66
1.3 Independent auditing	0.31
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.40
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.63
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.72
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.40
2.2 In the judiciary	0.57
2.3 In the police/military	0.49
2.4 In the legislature	0.42
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.14
3.2 Right to information	0.40
3.3 Civic participation	0.59
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.40

Fundamental Rights	ţţ.
4.1 No discrimination	0.49
4.2 Right to life and security	0.40
4.3 Due process of law	0.39
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.63
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.71
4.6 Right to privacy	0.41
4.7 Freedom of association	0.66
4.8 Labor rights	0.46
Order and Security	6
5.1 Absence of crime	0.56
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.53
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.36
6.2 No improper influence	0.50
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.30
6.4 Respect for due process	0.27
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.46 ation



	Civil Justice	₽Ţ₽
7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.44
7.2	No discrimination	0.67
7.3	No corruption	0.59
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.55
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.26
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.35
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.60
	Criminal Justice	්ට
8.1		<mark>ර්</mark> ර 0.41
8.1 8.2	Effective investigations	0.41 0.40
	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication	
8.2	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system	0.40
8.2 8.3	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system	0.40 0.12
8.2 8.3 8.4	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption	0.40 0.12 0.35

Georgia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Rai	nk	Ir	ncome R	ank	Global	Rank
	0.60	1/15		6/41		48/142		
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	ge					
	0.00	1 •						
			Fact Sco		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.5	53	0.00	2/15	15/41	69/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.6	68	0.00	1/15	2/41	33/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.5	59	0.00	1/15	6/41	43/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.6	52	0.01	2/15	11/41	51/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.7	79	0.00	8/15	9/41	47/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.5	58	0.01	1/15	6/41	47/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.5	53	0.00	4/15	18/41	68/142
ර්ව	Criminal Just	ice	0.5	52	0.00	1/15	12/41	53/142
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	at the	Ì	Low	м	edium	High



10 percent level

🗕 Georgia 📒 Eastern Europe and Central Asia 💶 Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.57
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.44
1.3 Independent auditing	0.58
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.39
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.66
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.55
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.59
2.2 In the judiciary	0.73
2.3 In the police/military	0.88
2.4 In the legislature	0.50
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.52
3.2 Right to information	0.66
3.3 Civic participation	0.61
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.56

Fun	damental Rights	†‡
4.1 No d	liscrimination	0.57
4.2	t to life and security	0.76
4.3 Due	process of law	0.59
4.4 Free	dom of expression	0.66
4.5 Free	dom of religion	0.66
4.6 Righ	t to privacy	0.47
4.7 Free	dom of association	0.69
4.8 Labo	or rights	0.58
Ord	er and Security	î
5.1 Abse	ence of crime	0.92
5.2 Abse	ence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Abse	ence of violent redress	0.45
Reg	ulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effe	ctive regulatory enforcement	0.57
6.2 No ii	mproper influence	0.80
6.3 No u	Inreasonable delay	0.51
6.4 Resp	bect for due process	0.41
6.5		0.60

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Civil Justice	ΔĪΔ
7.1	0.62
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2	0.54
No discrimination	
7.3	0.60
No corruption	
7.4	0.39
No improper gov't influence	
7.5	0.34
No unreasonable delay	
7.6	0.50
Effective enforcement	
7.7	0.75
Impartial and effective ADRs	
	e.,
Criminal Justice	්ට
Criminal Justice	0.36
	0.36
8.1	0.36
8.1 Effective investigations	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.56
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 Effective adjudication 	0.56
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 	0.56
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.56
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 	0.56
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 	0.56
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 	0.56 0.63 0.49 0.72
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No improper gov't influence 8.7 No to the system 	0.56 0.63 0.49 0.72
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No improper gov't influence 	0.56 0.63 0.49 0.72 0.26

Germany

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ran	ık I	ncome R	lank	Global	Rank
	0.83	5/31		5/46	5	5/1	42
Sco	ore Change	Rank Change	e				
	0.00	1-					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Ĺ	Constraints o Government		0.86	0.01	4/31	4/46	4/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.82	0.00	9/31	12/46	12/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.79	0.00	11/31	13/46	13/142
ţŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.86	0.01	5/31	5/46	5/142
î	Order and Se	curity	0.89	0.00	16/31	20/46	21/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.84	-0.01	6/31	8/46	8/142
₫ 1	Civil Justice		0.83	0.00	4/31	4/46	4/142
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.78	0.00	6/31	6/46	6/142
	ates statistically	significant change a	at the	Low	M	edium	High



10 percent level

Germany 📕 EU, EFTA, and North America 📕 High

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.84
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.82
1.3 Independent auditing	0.89
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.82
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.84
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.96
Absence of Corruption	<u></u>
2.1 In the executive branch	0.81
2.2 In the judiciary	0.95
2.3 In the police/military	0.91
2.4 In the legislature	0.62
Open Government	

3.1	0.7	4
Publicized laws and gov't data	a	
3.2	0.7	4
Right to information		
3.3	0.8	6
Civic participation		
3.4	0.8	4
Complaint mechanisms		

	Fundamental Rights	†‡
4.1		0.77
1.1	No discrimination	0.77
		0.07
4.2		0.96
	Right to life and security	
4.3		0.84
	Due process of law	
4.4		0.84
	Freedom of expression	
4.5		0.86
4.J	Freedom of religion	0.00
4.6		0.84
	Right to privacy	
4.7		0.90
	Freedom of association	
4.8		0.85
	Labor rights	
		~
	Order and Security	
5.1		0.90
0.1	Absence of crime	0.70
5.2		1.00
	Absence of civil conflict	
5.3		0.77
	Absence of violent redress	
	Regulatory Enforcement	Ê
6.1		0.77
	Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2		0.86
	No improper influence	
10		0.00
6.3		0.80
	No unreasonable delay	
6.4		0.88
	Respect for due process	
6.5		0.90

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Civil Justice	1 1
	1
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.76
7.2	0.83
No discrimination	
7.3	0.89
No corruption	
7.4	0.90
No improper gov't influence	
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.74
	0.05
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.85
7.7	0.83
Impartial and effective ADRs	0.83
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice	<mark>رگ</mark> ې 0.59
	<mark>්රි</mark> 0.59
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	0.59
8.1 Effective investigations	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.77
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 	0.77
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 	0.77
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.77
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 	0.77 0.79 0.72 0.85
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 	0.77
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No improper gov't influence 	0.77 0.79 0.72 0.85 0.90
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 	0.77 0.79 0.72 0.85

Ghana

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

٦v	erall Score	Regional R	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Ranl
	0.55	7/34		2/3	7	61/	′142
Sco	ore Change	Rank Char	nge				
	0.00	-1 •					
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Ó	Constraints o Government I		0.66	0.00	2/34	2/37	35/142
\$	Absence of Co	orruption	0.39	0.00	16/34	17/37	101/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.51	0.00	5/34	6/37	68/142
ţŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.58	0.00	7/34	3/37	66/142
G	Order and Sec	curity	0.71	-0.01	7/34	9/37	76/142
4	Regulatory Er	nforcement	0.53	0.00	6/34	3/37	57/142
₽Ţ₹	Civil Justice		0.57	-0.01	6/34	1/37	54/142
60	Criminal Justi	ice	0.45	0.00	8/34	5/37	69/142
	cates statistically rcent level	significant chanរ្	ge at the	Lov	v N	√edium	High

💳 Ghana — Sub-Saharan Africa — Lower-Middle

1.1 0.76 1.2 0.64 1.3 0.63 1.4 0.60 5 0.70 1.5 0.70 Non-governmental checks 0.70 1.6 0.72 Absence of Corruption 3 1.1 0.37 1.1 0.37 1.1 0.37 1.1 0.37 1.1 0.37 1.1 0.27 1.1 0.27 1.1 0.27 1.1 0.27 1.1 0.27 1.1 0.27 1.1 0.27 1.1 0.27 1.1 0.27 1.1 0.27 1.1 0.27 1.1 0.27 1.1 0.27 1.1 0.27 1.1 0.27 1.1 0.25 3.1 0.25 3.2 0.43 3.3 0.43 3.4 0.43 3.5 0.43 3.6 0.43 3.7 0.43 3.8 0.43 3.9 0.43 3.1 0.43 3.1 0.43 3.2 0.43 3.3 0.44 3.4 0.44 3.4 0.44 3.5 0.44 3.6 0.44 3.7 0.44 3.8 0.44 3.9 0.44 3.4 0.44 3.4 0.44 3.5 0.44 <th>Constraints on Government Powers</th> <th></th>	Constraints on Government Powers	
Limits by legislature 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.8 1.9 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.2 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.8 1.9 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.2 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.8 1.9 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.2 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.2 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.2 1.1 1	1.1	0.76
Limits by judiciary 0.63 1.3 Independent auditing 1.4 0.50 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.70 1.5 0.70 Non-governmental checks 0.72 1.6 0.72 Absence of Corruption 3 2.1 0.83 In the executive branch 0.37 2.2 0.54 1.1 the pudiciary 0.37 2.3 0.70 1.4 0.72 2.3 0.72 1.4 0.72 2.3 0.73 1.5 0.74 1.6 0.72 2.7 0.74 1.8 0.75 2.9 0.74 1.1 0.75 0.27 0.74 1.1 0.27 1.1 0.25 2.2 0.24 1.1 0.25 2.3 0.25 2.4 0.25 2.5 0.43 3.1 0.25 2.4 0.25 3.1 0.25 2.5 0.43 3.6 0.43 3.7 0.43 3.8 0.68	Limits by legislature	
Limits by judiciary 0.63 1.3 Independent auditing 1.4 0.50 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.70 1.5 0.70 Non-governmental checks 0.72 1.6 0.72 Absence of Corruption 3 2.1 0.83 In the executive branch 0.37 2.2 0.54 1.1 the pudiciary 0.37 2.3 0.70 1.4 0.72 2.3 0.72 1.4 0.72 2.3 0.73 1.5 0.74 1.6 0.72 2.7 0.74 1.8 0.75 2.9 0.74 1.1 0.75 0.27 0.74 1.1 0.27 1.1 0.25 2.2 0.24 1.1 0.25 2.3 0.25 2.4 0.25 2.5 0.43 3.1 0.25 2.4 0.25 3.1 0.25 2.5 0.43 3.6 0.43 3.7 0.43 3.8 0.68		0.44
1.3 0.63 1.4 0.50 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.50 1.5 0.70 Non-governmental checks 0.72 Lawful transition of power 0.72 Absence of Corruption 3 2.1 0.38 In the executive branch 0.37 2.2 0.54 In the police/military 0.37 In the legislature 0.27 In the legislature 0.25 9ublicized laws and gov't data 0.43 3.1 0.43 Right to information 0.43 3.4 0.66		0.04
Independent auditing 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 1.5 Non-governmental checks 1.6 Absence of Corruption Sanctions for official misconduct 1.1 Absence of Corruption Sanctions 1.1 In the executive branch 2.2 In the police/military 2.3 In the legislature Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data 3.2 Right to information 3.4		
1.4 0.50 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.70 1.5 0.70 Non-governmental checks 0.72 Lawful transition of power 0.72 Absence of Corruption 5 2.1 0.38 In the executive branch 0.34 2.2 0.54 In the publiciary 0.37 In the police/military 0.37 In the legislature 0.27 In the legislature 0.25 Publicized laws and gov't data 0.43 3.2 0.43 Civic participation 0.43 3.4 0.46		0.63
Sanctions for official misconduct 1.5 Non-governmental checks 1.6 Absence of Corruption Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 2.2 In the publiciary 2.3 Open Government 3.1 Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data 3.2 Civic participation 3.4	Independent auditing	
1.5 0.70 Non-governmental checks 0.72 Lawful transition of power 0.72 Absence of Corruption S 2.1 0.38 In the executive branch 0.38 2.2 0.54 In the police/military 0.37 2.4 0.27 In the legislature 0.27 Open Government 0.25 3.1 0.25 Publicized laws and gov't data 0.43 3.2 0.43 Civic participation 0.46		0.50
Non-governmental checks 1.6 Lawful transition of power Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 2.2 In the judiciary 0.37 In the police/military 2.4 Open Government 3.1 Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data 3.2 Right to information 3.4 0.68	Sanctions for official misconduct	
1.6 0.72 Lawful transition of power 0.38 2.1 0.38 1n the executive branch 0.37 2.2 0.37 1n the police/military 0.37 2.4 0.27 In the legislature 0.27 3.1 0.25 Publicized laws and gov't data 0.25 3.2 0.43 Right to information 0.43 3.4 0.66	1.5	0.70
Lawful transition of power Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 2.2 In the judiciary 2.3 In the police/military 2.4 Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data 3.2 Right to information 3.3 Civic participation	Non-governmental checks	
Lawful transition of power Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch 2.2 In the judiciary 2.3 In the police/military 2.4 Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data 3.2 Right to information 3.3 Civic participation	1.6	0.72
Absence of Corruption 2.1 1 1 1 2.2 1 1 2.3 1		
2.1 In the executive branch 2.2 In the judiciary 2.3 In the police/military 2.4 In the legislature Open Government 3.1 Open Government 3.1 Open Government 3.2 Right to information 3.3 Civic participation 3.4 Open Government 0.25 Ope		
2.1 In the executive branch 2.2 In the judiciary 2.3 In the police/military 2.4 In the legislature Open Government 3.1 Open Government 3.1 Open Government 3.2 Right to information 3.3 Civic participation 3.4 Open Government 0.25 Ope	Abaanaa of Communition	
In the executive branch 2.2 In the judiciary 2.3 In the police/military 2.4 Open Government 3.1 Open Government 3.2 Right to information 3.3 Civic participation 3.4 Open Government 0.54 Open Government 0.54 Open Government 0.54 Open Government 0.54 Open Government 0.55 Open	Absence of Corruption	_
2.2 0.54 In the judiciary 0.37 2.3 0.37 In the police/military 0.37 2.4 0.27 In the legislature 0.27 Open Government 0.25 3.1 0.25 Publicized laws and gov't data 0.43 3.2 0.43 Right to information 0.68 3.4 0.66	2.1	0.38
In the judiciary 2.3 In the police/military 2.4 In the legislature Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data 3.2 Right to information 3.3 Civic participation 3.4 Intervention 0.66 Interv	In the executive branch	
In the judiciary 2.3 In the police/military 2.4 In the legislature Open Government 3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data 3.2 Right to information 3.3 Civic participation 3.4 Intervention 0.66 Interv	2.2	0.54
2.3 0.37 In the police/military 0.27 In the legislature 0.27 In the legislature 0.25 Open Government 0.25 Publicized laws and gov't data 0.25 3.1 0.25 Publicized laws and gov't data 0.43 3.2 0.43 Civic participation 0.66		
In the police/military 2.4 2.4 0.27 In the legislature 0.27 0 pen Government 0.25 3.1 0.25 3.2 0.43 3.2 0.43 3.3 0.68 Civic participation 0.66		0.27
2.4 0.27 In the legislature 0.27 Open Government 0.25 Publicized laws and gov't data 0.25 Right to information 0.43 3.3 0.68 Civic participation 0.66		0.57
In the legislature Open Government 3.1 Open Government 3.2 Publicized laws and gov't data 3.2 Right to information 3.3 Civic participation 3.4 0.66		
Open Government 0.25 3.1 0.25 Publicized laws and gov't data 0.43 3.2 0.43 Right to information 0.68 Civic participation 0.66		0.27
3.1 0.25 Publicized laws and gov't data 0.43 3.2 0.43 Right to information 0.68 Civic participation 0.66	In the legislature	
3.1 0.25 Publicized laws and gov't data 0.43 3.2 0.43 Right to information 0.68 Civic participation 0.66		
Publicized laws and gov't data 3.2 3.2 3.3 Civic participation 3.4 0.66	Open Government	•
Publicized laws and gov't data 3.2 3.2 3.3 Civic participation 3.4 0.66		0.05
3.2 0.43 Right to information 0.68 Civic participation 0.66		0.25
Right to information 3.3 Civic participation 3.4 0.66		
3.3 0.68 Civic participation 0.66		0.43
Civic participation 3.4 0.66	Right to information	
3.4 0.66		0.68
	Civic participation	
Complaint mechanisms	3.4	0.66
	Complaint mechanisms	

Fundamental Rights	ŤŤ
4.1	0.60
No discrimination	
4.2	0.52
Right to life and security	
4.3	0.40
Due process of law	
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.70
4.5	0.69
Freedom of religion	0.07
4.6	0.44
Right to privacy	
4.7	0.72
Freedom of association	
4.8	0.55
Labor rights	
	•
Order and Security	!
5.1	0.76
Absence of crime	
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.38
Absence of violent real ess	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.45
6.2	0.53
No improper influence	0.55
6.3	0.44
No unreasonable delay	
6.4	0.60
Respect for due process	
6.5	0.63
No expropriation w/out adequate compe	nsation

Civil Justice	₽Ţ₹
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.58
7.2 No discrimination	0.58
7.3 No corruption	0.55
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.65
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.40
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.51
7.7	0.71
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	්ර්
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	<mark>ුර්</mark> ර 0.39
8.1	0.39 0.43
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 	0.43
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.43
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No based on the system 	0.43 0.37 0.54
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 	0.43 0.37 0.54 0.40

Greece

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Ran	gional Rank Income Rank		Rank	Global	Rank				
0.61	29/31		41/4	6	47/:	142	Constraints on Government Powers			
Score Change	Rank Change	•					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3			
-0.01 -	-3 🔻						8.4 1.5 1.6			
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 7.7 2.3 2.1 Absence of Corruption			
Constraints Governmen		0.67	0.00	23/31	29/46	30/142	7.6			
Absence of G	Corruption	0.56	-0.02	28/31	42/46	53/142	7.5 Civil 7.4 0 3.1 3.2 0			
Open Gover	nment	0.61	0.00	27/31	35/46	39/142	Justice 7.3 Gove			
Fundamenta	l Rights	0.65	-0.01	28/31	38/46	44/142	7.2 7.1 3.4 4.1			
Order and S	ecurity	0.72	0.00	31/31	42/46	73/142	6.5 6.4 4.2 4.3			
Regulatory	Enforcement	0.55	-0.01	29/31	42/46	51/142	Regulatory 6.1 5.3 5.2 4.4 4.5 Fundamental			
Civil Justice		0.58	-0.01	26/31	38/46	50/142	Order and Security			
ර්ර් Criminal Jus	tice	0.50	-0.02	29/31	42/46	56/142	Security			
[•] Indicates statisticall 10 percent level	y significant change a	t the	Low	М	edium	High	2023 Score 2022 Score			



Fundamental Rights	_ †‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.58
4.2 Right to life and security	0.75
4.3 Due process of law	0.55
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.68
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.72
4.6 Right to privacy	0.61
4.7 Freedom of association	0.76
4.8 Labor rights	0.57
Order and Security	ô
5.1 Absence of crime	0.82
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.34
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.61
6.2 No improper influence	0.62
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.42
6.4 Respect for due process	0.45
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.68 sation

Civil Justice	٥Ĭ٥
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.61
7.2 No discrimination	0.61
7.3 No corruption	0.71
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.60
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.25
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.52
7.7 Magnetic ADRs	0.78
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	0.48
8.1	0.48 0.48
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.48
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 	0.48
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.48 0.33 0.43

Grenada

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ran	k I	ncome R	lank	Global Rank				
(0.60	9/32		7/41	L	49/142				
Sco	re Change	Rank Change	;							
(0.01 -	1 •								
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank			
	Constraints o Government		0.58	0.02	12/32	10/41	52/142			
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.66	0.01	5/32	3/41	38/142			
	Open Govern	ment	0.46	0.01	23/32	29/41	87/142			
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.62	0.01	13/32	10/41	50/142			
î	Order and Se	curity	0.80	0.01	2/32	8/41	45/142			
4	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.55	-0.01	9/32	8/41	53/142			
₽ŢŢ	Civil Justice		0.61	0.00	8/32	7/41	43/142			
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.54	0.02	10/32	10/41	49/142			
	* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High									



🗕 Grenada 🛑 Latin America and Caribbean 💶 Upper-Middle



Fundamental Rights	_ †‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.69
4.2 Right to life and security	0.71
4.3 Due process of law	0.48
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.64
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.64
4.6 Right to privacy	0.40
4.7 Freedom of association	0.71
4.8 Labor rights	0.72
Order and Security	6
5.1 Absence of crime	0.91
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.47
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.51
6.2 No improper influence	0.79
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.52
6.4 Respect for due process	0.43
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.51 ation

Civil Justice	ΔĮΔ
7.1	0.57
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2	0.69
No discrimination	
7.3 No corruption	0.84
7.4	0.72
No improper gov't influence	0.72
7.5	0.37
No unreasonable delay	
7.6	0.35
Effective enforcement	
7.7	0.75
Impartial and effective ADRs	
	84
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1	<mark>්රි</mark> 0.50
8.1 Effective investigations	0.50
8.1 Effective investigations	0
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.50
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Control of the second s	0.50
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.50
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 	0.50
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 	0.50
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 	0.50 0.56 0.41 0.49
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 	0.50 0.56 0.41 0.49
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 	0.50 0.56 0.41 0.49 0.70
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 	0.50 0.56 0.41 0.49 0.70

Guatemala

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ove	rall Score	Regional Ra	nk	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank		
(0.44	26/32		36/4	1	111	/142	Constraints on Government Powers	
Scor	e Change	Rank Chan	ge					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3 Justice 8.5 1.4	
(0.00	1 •						8.4 1.5 1.6	
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 0.5	
	Constraints of Government		0.49	-0.01	22/32	24/41	86/142	77 76 23 24	
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.34	0.01	24/32	36/41	115/142	7.5 Civil 7.4	-
	Open Govern	iment	0.52	0.00	17/32	19/41	64/142	Justice 7.3	Ope Govern
	Fundamental	Rights	0.53	0.00	19/32	24/41	74/142	7.2 7.1 4.1	
î	Order and Se	curity	0.59	0.00	28/32	38/41	124/142	6.5 6.4 4.2 4.3	
4	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.40	-0.01	27/32	40/41	122/142	Regulatory 6.1 5.3 4.7 4.6 Fundamental	
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.33	-0.01	29/32	40/41	136/142	Order and Rights	
jO	Criminal Just	ice	0.30	0.01	24/32	36/41	124/142	Security	
_ (Guatemala 💻	Latin America	a and Car	ibbean 💻	Upper	r-Middle			
Con	straints on	Government I	Powers	.	F	Fundame	ental Right	Civil Justice	<u>1</u> 2
Limi	ts by legislat	ure		0.61	4.1	No discriı	mination	0.38 7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.33
Limi	ts by judiciar	V		0.47	4.2	Right to li	ife and secu	0.59 7.2 No discrimination	0.29
8				0.37	4.3			0.40 7.3	0.42
	ependent aud			0.31	4.4		ess of law	0.62 7.4	0.32
Sanc	ctions for offi	cial misconduc	t	0.62	4.5	Freedom	of expression	n No improper gov't influence	0.13
Non	-governmen	tal checks		0.60	F 4.6	Freedom	of religion	No unreasonable delay	0.24
Law	ful transition	of power			F 4.7	Right to p	orivacy	Effective enforcement	0.60
Abs	ence of Cor	ruption		\$	F	reedom	of associati	n Impartial and effective ADRs	0.000
	ne executive l	pranch		0.35	4.8 L	_abor rigl	hts	0.39 Criminal Justice	්ර
2 In th	ne judiciary			0.42	C	Order ar	nd Security	8.1 Effective investigations	0.20
3	ne police/mili	tory		0.52	5.1	Absence	oforimo	0.57 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.25
				0.07	5.2			1.00 8.3	0.12
In th	ne legislature				ہ 5.3	Absence	of civil confl	ct Effective correctional system	0.31
Оре	en Governm	ent			ļ	Absence	of violent re	dress No discrimination	0.45
Publ		nd gov't data		0.31	F	Regulato	ory Enforce		0.34
2 Righ	it to informat	tion		0.55	6.1	Effective	regulatory	0.41 No improper gov't influence	
Civio	c participatio	on		0.57	6.2	No impro	per influend	0.56 Due process of law	0.40
ļ.				0.63	6.3			0.29	
Com	nplaint mecha	anisms			1	vo unrea	sonable del	У	

Respect for due process

0.47 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

6.4

6.5

0.27

Guinea

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Rank			Income	Rank	Global Rank				
	0.41 22/3				11/1	18	118/142				
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge								
	0.00	1									
			Fact Sco		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank			
	Constraints of Government		0.3	8	0.00	25/34	14/18	115/142			
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.2	9	0.01	28/34	15/18	129/142			
ŀ	Open Govern	iment	0.3	7	0.00	24/34	12/18	121/142			
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.5	0	0.00	14/34	7/18	88/142			
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.7	2	0.00	6/34	2/18	74/142			
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.3	7	0.01	29/34	14/18	131/142			
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.3	9	0.00	32/34	15/18	128/142			
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.2	9	0.00	29/34	15/18	125/142			
	* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High										



ŧŧ

🗕 Guinea 📒 Sub-Saharan Africa 📒 Low

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.45
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.31
1.3 Independent auditing	0.36
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.31
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.50
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.37
Absence of Corruption	Ś
2.1 In the executive branch	0.30
2.2 In the judiciary	0.27
2.3 In the police/military	0.34
2.4 In the legislature	0.26
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.19
3.2 Right to information	0.36
3.3 Civic participation	0.49
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.43

	T.
4.1	0.57
No discrimination	
4.2	0.44
Right to life and security	
4.3	0.35
Due process of law	
4.4	0.50
Freedom of expression	
4.5	0.70
Freedom of religion	
4.6	0.25
Right to privacy	
4.7	0.58
Freedom of association	0.50
4.8	0.58
Labor rights	0.50
Labor rights	
	~
Order and Security	
5.1	0.75
Absence of crime	
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	•
5.3	0.40
Absence of violent redress	0.110
Pogulatory Enforcement	r 🚔 1
Regulatory Enforcement	
	0.38
6.1	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	
	0.41
Effective regulatory enforcement	
Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence	
Effective regulatory enforcement	0.41
Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.41 0.36
Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	0.41
Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4 Respect for due process	0.41 0.36 0.34
Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4	0.41 0.36 0.34 0.35

Fundamental Rights

Civil Justice	ΔŢΔ
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.40
7.2 No discrimination	0.49
7.3 No corruption	0.15
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.28
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.46
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.44
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.49
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.24
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.34
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.15
8.4 No discrimination	0.44
8.5 No corruption	0.28
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.25
8.7	0.35

Due process of law

Guyana

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	nk	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank
	0.50	16/32		22/41		76,	/142
Score Change Rank Chang		ge					
	0.00	1 •					
			Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.54	0.00	14/32	14/41	65/142
\$	Absence of Co	orruption	0.45	0.00	16/32	24/41	76/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.45	0.01	25/32	32/41	93/142
ţţ	Fundamental	Rights	0.56	-0.01	18/32	22/41	70/142
f	Order and Se	curity	0.63	0.00	21/32	31/41	111/142
	Regulatory Er	nforcement	0.47	0.01	20/32	24/41	86/142
ŢĮ	Civil Justice		0.52	0.00	16/32	20/41	71/142
ð	Criminal Just	ice	0.40	0.00	14/32	24/41	81/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level Low Medium High Guyana Latin America and Caribbean Upper-Middle Constraints on Government Powers							
1.1				0.61	4.1		
1.2	nits by legislatu nits by judiciar			0.60	4.2	No discrii Right to li	mination ife and sec
1.3 Inc	lependent aud	iting		0.46	4.3	Due proc	ess of law
1.4 Sai	nctions for offi	cial misconduc	t	0.39	4.4	Freedom	of express
1.5 No	n-government	al checks		0.58	4.5	Freedom	of religion
1.6 Lav	wful transition	of power		0.59		Right to p	orivacy
Ab	sence of Cor	ruption		\$	_	Freedom	of associat
2.1				0.41	4.8	Labor rig	hts



Constraints on Government Powers		Fundame
	0.61	4.1
Limits by legislature		No discrim
	0.60	4.2
Limits by judiciary		Right to life
Independent auditing	0.46	4.3 Duo procor
Independent auditing	0.20	Due proces
Sanctions for official misconduct	0.39	4.4 Freedom o
	0.58	4.5
Non-governmental checks		Freedom o
	0.59	4.6
Lawful transition of power		Right to pr
		4.7
Absence of Corruption		Freedom o
	0.41	4.8
In the executive branch		Labor right
2	0.62	Order and
In the judiciary		Order and
	0.41	5.1
In the police/military		Absence of
In the legislature	0.34	5.2 Absence of
		5.3
Open Government		Absence of
Publicized laws and gov't data	0.30	Regulator
	0.42	6.1
Right to information	0.42	Effective re
3	0.54	6.2
Civic participation		No improp
1	0.54	6.3
Complaint mechanisms		No unrease
		6.4
		Respect for

Fundamental Rights	ţţ.
4.1 No discrimination	0.54
4.2 Right to life and security	0.57
4.3 Due process of law	0.41
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.58
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.72
4.6 Right to privacy	0.43
4.7 Freedom of association	0.59
4.8 Labor rights	0.59
Order and Security	î
5.1 Absence of crime	0.64
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.24
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.49
6.2 No improper influence	0.61
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.40
6.4 Respect for due process	0.38
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.48 ation

Civil Justice	٥Ī٩
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.56
7.2 No discrimination	0.39
7.3 No corruption	0.59
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.60
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.41
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.50
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.60
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice	0.35
8.1	0.35 0.43
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.43
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 Effective to the system 	0.43
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.43 0.17 0.38

2.2

2.3

2.4

3.1

3.2

3.3

3.4

Haiti

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional R	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank	
	0.34	31/32	2	36/3	37	139	/142	Constraints on Government Powers
Sco	re Change	Rank Char	ıge					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3
-	0.01 -	-1 •						8.4
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 7.7 8.2 0,5 2.1 Absence of 2.2 Corruption 2.3
	Constraints of Government		0.36	0.00	29/32	29/37	125/142	7.6 2.4
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.24	-0.01	32/32	36/37	140/142	7.5 Civil 74 3.2 Open
ŀ	Open Goverr	ıment	0.35	-0.02	30/32	28/37	126/142	Justice 7.3 Governm
†‡	Fundamenta	Rights	0.42	0.00	30/32	24/37	117/142	7.1 4.1
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.48	-0.01	32/32	35/37	135/142	6.5 6.4 6.3 4.2 4.3 4.4
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.28	-0.03*	31/32	36/37	140/142	Regulatory 62 Enforcement 6.1 5.3 are 4.7 4.6 Fundamental
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.35	-0.03	28/32	33/37	135/142	Order and Security
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.25	0.00	29/32	34/37	138/142	Security
	ates statistically cent level	/ significant chang	ze at the	Lov	v	Medium	High	- 2023 Score 2022 Score
-	Haiti 💻 L	atin America and	Caribbea	in 💻 L	ower-Mide.	dle		
Co	nstraints on	Government	Powers	s 💼	ļ	undame	ental Right	Civil Justice

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.38
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.36
1.3 Independent auditing	0.34
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.23
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.51
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.34
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.23
2.2 In the judiciary	0.35
2.3 In the police/military	0.32
2.4 In the legislature	0.05
Open Government	ŀ
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.25
3.2 Right to information	0.38
3.3 Civic participation	0.45
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.32

Fundamental Rights	
4.1 No discrimination	0.48
4.2 Right to life and security	0.31
4.3 Due process of law	0.29
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.51
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.50
4.6 Right to privacy	0.27
4.7 Freedom of association	0.50
4.8 Labor rights	0.48
Order and Security	ĥ
5.1 Absence of crime	0.42
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.88
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.14
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.31
6.2 No improper influence	0.40
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.26
6.4 Respect for due process	0.09
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compe	0.31 nsation

Civil Justice	ΔŢΔ
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.37
7.2 No discrimination	0.35
7.3 No corruption	0.33
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.20
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.35
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.37
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.50
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	<mark>ුර්</mark> ර 0.30
8.1	<mark>ර්</mark> ර් 0.30 0.22
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective adjudication	0.22
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Interpret to the second seco	0.22
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No 	0.22

Honduras

Score Change Rank Change O.1 A	
0.011 4.1 Factor Surger Provide Surger Provide	
Form Score Registant Income Galdal Registant Base Registant	
Constraints on Government Powers 0.38 0.022 28/32 23/37 16/142 Absence of Corruption 0.32 0.00 26/32 25/37 16/142 Produmental Rights 0.45 0.02 26/32 25/37 16/142 Open Covernment 0.45 0.02 26/32 25/37 16/142 Order and Security 0.44 0.01 20/32 27/37 12/142 Order and Security 0.44 0.01 20/32 27/37 12/142 Order and Security 0.44 0.00 26/32 27/37 12/142 Order and Security 0.44 0.00 26/32 27/37 13/142 Order and Security 0.40 0.00 26/32 27/37 13/142 Indiamental Rights Findamental Rights Civil Justice 20/23 Sore 20/22 Sore Order and Security 0.33 42 No discrimination 0.47 No discrimination Initio by legiblature 0.44 43 No discrimination 0.47 No discrimination Initio by legiblature 0.41 44 <td>sence of rruption</td>	sence of rruption
Absence of Corruption 0.32 0.00 26.02 25.07 139/142 Open Government 0.45 0.01 24.02 139/142 Findamental Rights 0.45 0.02 28.02 28.02 129/142 Findamental Rights 0.46 0.01 20.02 24.02 139/142 Order and Security 0.46 0.01 20.02 28.02 29.07 129.142 Civil Justice 0.46 0.00 26.02 27.02 29.17 129.142 Order and Security 0.46 1 High High Civil Justice 20.02 Score 20.02 Score Claimal Justice 0.44 4.1 High 0.42 7.1 Accessibility and affordability Hordures Lumits by idelicated and Caribbran Lower Midele Civil Justice 7.1 Accessibility and affordability Units by idelicater 0.44 4.5 No discrimination 0.42 7.1 Scorruption 7.4 Scorruption 7.4 Scorruption 7.4 Scorruption <th< td=""><td></td></th<>	
Open Government 0.45 0.01 24/32 13/37 92/142 Analyse 28/32 16/37 106/142 106/142 106/142 Order and Security 0.64 0.01 20/32 28/37 124/152 106/142 Civil Justice 0.40 0.00 28/32 28/37 124/152 106/142 Civil Justice 0.40 0.00 28/32 28/37 124/152 106/142 Civil Justice 0.40 0.00 28/32 28/37 123/142 - 20/32 Sove	
Fundamental Rights 0.45 0.02 28/02 16/07 100/142 Order and Security 0.64 -0.01 20.02 24/07 107/142 Regulatory Enforcement 0.39 0.00 28/02 28/02 128/142 Page and the statistically ignificant change at the percent level	Ope Govern
Order and Security 0.4 -0.01 20/32 24/32 100/142 Regulatory Enforcement 0.39 0.00 24/32 122/142 Regulatory Enforcement	
Civil Justice 0.40 0.00 26/32 27/37 123/142 Description 0 0 20/32 27/37 123/142 Description 0 0 20/32 20/37 133/142 Description 0 0 20/32 20/37 133/142 Description 0 20/32 20/37 133/142 Description 0 20/32 20/37 133/142 Description 0 20/32 20/37 123/142 Description 0 0 0 20/32 20/37 133/142 Description Description 0 0 0 20/32 20/37 123/142 Description Description 0 0 0 0 20/32<	
Civil Justice 0.40 0.00 26/32 27/37 123/142 Deference erence< th=""> Deference Deferen</thdeference<>	
Criminal Justice 0.26 0.00 27.02 30/37 133/142 Description of change at the percent level Hendure the level of change at the percent level Hendure the level of change at the percent level Hendure the level of change at the percent level Constraints on Government Powers And the level of change at the percent level at th	al
andicates statistically significant change at the process of flaw Low Medium High Constraints on Government Powers Image: the power Middle Constraints on Government Powers Image: the power Middle Constraints on Government Powers Image: the power Middle Constraints on Government Powers Image: the power Middle Constraints on Government Powers Image: the power Middle Constraints on Government Powers Image: the power Middle Constraints on Government Powers Image: the power Middle Constraints on Government Powers Image: the power Middle Constraints on Government Powers Image: the power Middle Constraints on Government Powers Image: the power Middle Constraints on Government Powers Image: the power Middle Constraints on Government Powers Image: the power Middle Constraints on Government Powers Image: the power Middle Constraints on Government Powers Image: the power Middle Constraints on Government Power Image: the power Middle Constraints on Government Power Image: the power Middle Constraints on Government Image: the power Middle Constraints on Government Image: the power Middle Constraints on Government Image: the power Middle Constraints on Government Image: the power Middle <td></td>	
Constraints on Government Powers Fundamental Rights Civil Justice Limits by legislature 0.44 4.1 0.47 7.1 Accessibility and affordability Limits by judiciary 0.33 4.2 Right to life and security 0.37 7.2 No discrimination Limits by judiciary 0.33 4.3 Due process of law 0.33 7.3 No corruption Limits by judiciary 0.33 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.50 7.4 No improper gov/t influence Sanctions for official misconduct 0.50 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.50 7.4 No improper gov/t influence Non-governmental checks 0.50 4.5 Freedom of association 0.58 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs Lawful transition of power 0.43 4.4 Freedom of association 0.58 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs In the publicitary 0.47 5.1 Absence of crime 0.54 Effective investigations 8.4 In the publicitary 0.47 5.1 Absence of civil conflict 1.00 8.3 Effective correcitional system 10 t	
Unitis by legislature 0.44 4.1 No discrimination 0.42 7.1 Accessibility and affordability Limits by judiciary 0.33 4.3 No discrimination 0.37 7.2 No discrimination Limits by judiciary 0.33 4.3 Due process of law 0.33 7.3 No discrimination Independent auditing 0.33 4.3 Due process of law 0.33 7.4 No discrimination Sanctions for official misconduct 0.50 4.5 Freedom of expression 0.60 7.5 No unreasonable delay Non-governmental checks 0.50 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.31 7.6 Effective enforcement Lawful transition of power 0.43 4.4 Right to privacy 0.58 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs In the judiciary 0.37 5.1 Absence of crime 0.58 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs In the public/military 0.47 5.1 Absence of crime 0.58 8.2 Effective investigations In the public/military 0.47 5.1 Absence of crime 0.50 8.4 M	<u>1</u> 7
Limits by judiciary Limits by judiciary 0.33 4.2 Right to life and security 0.37 7.2 No discrimination Independent auditing 0.33 4.3 Due process of law 0.33 7.3 No corruption Sanctions for official misconduct 0.44 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.50 7.4 No improper gov't influence Non-governmental checks 0.50 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.60 7.5 No urreasonable delay Absence of Corruption 4.4 Freedom of association 0.58 7.7 Impartial and effective and proceement 1 the executive branch 0.38 0rder and Security 0.58 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs 1 the public emilitary 0.38 0rder and Security 64 8.4 64 <td>0.47</td>	0.47
Limits by judiciary in the process of law in the proces of the proces of the proces of law in the process of l	0.37
Independent auditing Due process of law No corruption No c	
Sanctions for official misconduct Freedom of expression No improper gov't influence Non-governmental checks 0.50 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.60 7.5 No unreasonable delay Lawful transition of power 0.43 4.6 Improver gov't influence 0.58 7.7 Improver gov't influence Absence of Corruption 4.7 Freedom of association 0.58 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs In the executive branch 0.35 4.8 Order and Security 6 8.1 Effective investigations In the judiciary 0.47 5.1 Absence of crime 0.56 8.2 Impartial and effective adjudication In the judiciary 0.47 5.1 Absence of crime 0.56 8.2 Impartial and effective adjudication In the legislature 0.47 5.1 Absence of crime 0.56 8.2 Impartial and effective adjudication Publicized laws and gov't data 0.48 6.1 Impartial addition 8.5 No discrimination 0.50 6.2 No improper influence 0.57 No discrimination 8.6 0.51 0.52 <td< td=""><td>0.37</td></td<>	0.37
Non-governmental checks Freedom of religion No unreasonable delay Lawful transition of power 0.43 4.6 0.31 7.6 Effective enforcement Absence of Corruption 4.7 Freedom of association 0.58 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs In the executive branch 0.35 4.8 Order and Security 64 8.1 Effective investigations In the police/military 0.47 5.1 Absence of crime 0.56 8.2 Impartial and effective adjudication In the police/military 0.47 5.1 Absence of crime 0.56 8.2 Impartial and effective adjudication In the legislature 0.47 5.1 Absence of crime 0.56 8.2 Impartial and effective adjudication Publicized laws and gov't data 0.47 5.1 Absence of violent redress 0.35 8.4 Impartial and effective adjudication No corruption 0.48 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.57 No corruption 8.5 No corruption Right to information 0.50 6.2 Impartial end effective regulatory enforcement 0.51 No improper gov't influenc	0.29
Lawful transition of power 0.43 4.6 0.31 7.6 Effective enforcement Absence of Corruption 4.7 5.7 Impartial and effective ADRs 0.35 4.8 0.35 0.49 1 the executive branch 0.38 0rder and Security 0.49 1 the police/military 0.47 5.1 0.49 1 the police/military 0.47 5.1 0.49 1 the police/military 0.47 5.1 0.56 1 the legislature 0.10 5.2 0.51 0 pen Government 0.37 Absence of civil conflict 0.35 0 publicized laws and gov't data 0.48 0.1 0 publicized laws and gov't data 0.50 6.2 0.51 0 publicized laws and gov't data 0.50 6.2 0.51 0 publicized laws and gov't data 0.50 6.2 0.51 0 publicized laws and gov't data 0.50 6.2 0.51 0 publicized laws and gov't data 0.50 6.2 0.51 0 publicized laws and gov't data 0.50 6.2 0.51 0 publicized laws and gov't data 0.50 6.2 0.51 0 publicized laws and gov't data 0.50 6.2 0.51 0 publicized laws and gov't data 0.50 6.2 0.51 0 publicized laws and gov't data 0.50 6.3 0.51 0 publicized laws and gov't data 0.50 6.3 0.51	0.26
Absence of Corruption Absence of crime Absence of violent redress Absence 0.42	
Absence of comption 0.35 1n the judiciary 0.38 Order and Security 0.39 1n the judiciary 0.47 5.1 Absence of crime 1.00 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 0.39 0.49 Criminal Justice 1.00 1.01 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 0.10 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 0.39 Absence of violent redress 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.40 5.2 Absence of violent redress 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.47 5.1 0.48 0.1 0.48 0.1 0.48 0.1 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.49 0.48	0.65
In the executive branch 0.35 Labor rights Criminal Justice In the judiciary 0.38 Order and Security 6.1 In the police/military 0.47 5.1 0.56 In the police/military 0.47 5.1 0.56 In the police/military 0.47 5.1 0.56 In the legislature 0.10 5.2 0.00 In the legislature 0.10 5.2 0.00 In the legislature 0.10 5.2 0.00 In the legislature 0.10 5.2 0.00 In the legislature 0.10 5.2 0.00 In the legislature 0.10 5.2 0.00 In the legislature 0.10 5.2 0.00 In the legislature 0.10 5.2 0.00 In the legislature 0.10 5.2 0.00 In the legislature 0.10 5.3 0.00 In the legislature 0.10 5.3 0.00 In the legislature 0.10 0.10 0.10 In the legislature 0.10 0.10 0.10 In the legislature 0.10 0.10 0.10 In the legislature 0.10 0.10 0.10 In the legislature 0.10 0.10 0.10 In the legislature 0.10 0.10 0.10 In the legislature 0.20 Regulatory Enforcement 0.36 In the information 0.48 0.1 0.10 In the information 0.50 <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
In the judiciary 0.47 5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.47 Absence of violent redress 0.47 Absence of violent redress 0.48 Absence of violent redress 0.47 Absence of violent redress 0.48 Absence of violent redr	්ර
0.47 5.1 Absence of crime 0.56 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication In the police/military 0.10 5.2 Absence of crime 1.00 8.3 Absence of civil conflict 8.4 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.47 Absence of violent redress 0.48 6.1 Right to information 0.48 0.50 6.2 0.51 0.51 0.52 0.51 0.54 0.53 0.55 0.51	0.21
0.10 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 0.10 0	0.30
Open Government 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.29 Publicized laws and gov't data 0.48 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.36 No corruption No improper gov't influence 0.50 0.51 0.53 0.53 0.53	0.14
Open Government Absence of violent redress No discrimination 0.29 Regulatory Enforcement 8.5 Publicized laws and gov't data 0.48 6.1 0.36 Right to information 0.48 6.1 0.36 Civic participation 0.50 6.2 0.51 0.53 6.3 0.35	0.26
Publicized laws and gov't data Publicized laws and gov't data 0.48 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.50 6.2 No improper influence 0.53 0.53 0.50 0.53 0.53 0.54 0.55 0.57 0.58 0.59 0.50 0.51 0.52 0.53 0.54 0.55 0.57 0.58 0.59 0.51 0.51 0.52 0.53 0.54 0.55 0.57 0.58 0.59 0.51 0.51 0.52 0.53 0.54 0.55 0.55 0.57 0.58 0.59 0.51 0.51 0.52 0.53 0.54 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
0.48 6.1 0.36 0.36 No improper gov't influence Right to information 0.50 6.2 0.51 0.51 0.51 Civic participation 0.53 6.3 0.35 0.35	0.40
0.50 6.2 0.51 0.51 Civic participation 0.53 6.3 0.35	0.18
0.53 6.3	0.33
Complaint mechanisms No unreasonable delay	

0.51 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

6.5

Hong Kong SAR, China

Regional Rank

Constraints on Government Powers

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Global Rank

Fundamental Rights

Income Rank

0.1	0.01.000.0	10001011011001				orosarriaria	
	0.73	6/15		23/46		23/142	
Sco	ore Change	Rank Change	9				
	0.00	-1 -					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.57	-0.01	8/15	41/46	59/142
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.83	0.00	3/15	9/46	9/142
ŀ	Open Govern	iment	0.69	-0.01	5/15	25/46	26/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.60	0.01	6/15	42/46	58/142
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.93	0.00	2/15	6/46	6/142
4	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.79	0.00	5/15	17/46	17/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.71	-0.01	6/15	20/46	20/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.69	0.00	6/15	20/46	20/142
* Indic	ates statistically	significant change a	at the	Low	Me	edium 🗌	High

Civil Justice

7.1

ŤŤ

* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High 10 percent level

Overall Score

— Hong Kong SAR, China — East Asia and Pacific — High







Complaint mechanisms

4. 0.69 No discrimination 4.2 0.63 Right to life and security 4.3 0.69 Due process of law 4.4 0.47 Freedom of expression 0.78 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.42 4.6 Right to privacy 0.48 4.7 Freedom of association 0.64 4.8 Labor rights Order and Security 6 0.94 Absence of crime 1.00 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 0.84 Absence of violent redress **Regulatory Enforcement** 0.73 6.1



No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Accessibility and affordability 0.63 7.2 No discrimination 0.93 7.3 No corruption 0.63 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.63 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.72 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.77 7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs **Criminal Justice** 80 0.67 Effective investigations 0.63 Timely and effective adjudication 0.76 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.63 8.4 No discrimination 0.88 8.5 No corruption

11

0.63



WJP Rule of Law Index 2023 | 95

Hungary

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional R	ank	Income	Rank	Global Rank		
	0.51	31/31		45/4	16	73/	/142	
Score Change		Rank Char	nge					
	0.00	2 •						
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.37	0.00	31/31	46/46	123/142	
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.50	0.01	30/31	44/46	59/142	
	Open Govern	ment	0.45	0.00	31/31	44/46	95/142	
ţţ	Fundamental	Rights	0.55	-0.01	31/31	44/46	71/142	
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.90	0.00	10/31	14/46	14/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.45	0.00	31/31	46/46	98/142	
₫ 1	Civil Justice		0.45	-0.01	31/31	46/46	105/142	
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.45	0.00	30/31	44/46	67/142	
	cates statistically	significant chan	ge at the	Lov	v	/ledium	High	



Chall Investigat

10 percent level

Hungary EU, EFTA, and North America High



	0.54
ov't data	
	0.33
11	0.43
11	0.49
IS	

Fundamental Rights		
4.1 No discrimination		0.40
4.2 Right to life and security		0.74
4.3 Due process of law		0.55
4.4 Freedom of expression		0.48
4.5 Freedom of religion		0.57
4.6 Right to privacy	- 11	0.56
4.7 Freedom of association	11	0.48
4.8 Labor rights		0.67
Order and Security		G
5.1 Absence of crime		0.93
5.2 Absence of civil conflict		1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress		0.78
Regulatory Enforcement		

Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.51
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2	0.62
No improper influence	
6.3	0.49
No unreasonable delay	
6.4	0.25
Respect for due process	

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

0.41

6.5

Civil Justice	ΔŢ
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.57
7.2 No discrimination	0.25
7.3 No corruption	0.66
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.33
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.32
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.36
	0.62
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Impartial and effective ADKs	්ර
	0.51
Criminal Justice	0.51
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.49
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4	0.49

0.55

111

8.7 Due process of law

India

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Ra	ank	Income	Rank	Global Rank	
	0.49 3/6			9/37		79/142	
Score Change		Rank Chan	ge				
	0.00	0					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.57	-0.01	2/6	4/37	58/142
\$	Absence of Co	orruption	0.40	0.00	3/6	15/37	96/142
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.59	0.00	1/6	1/37	42/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.46	-0.01	3/6	13/37	99/142
f	Order and Se	curity	0.64	0.00	3/6	23/37	105/142
	Regulatory Er	nforcement	0.48	0.01	3/6	13/37	83/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.43	0.00	3/6	24/37	111/142
do	Criminal Justi	ice	0.37	-0.01	3/6	14/37	93/142
	cates statistically rcent level	significant chang	e at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High



ŧŧ

10 percent level

🗕 India 🛑 South Asia 🛑 Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.66
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.61
1.3 Independent auditing	0.54
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.37
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.52
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.71
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.41
2.2 In the judiciary	0.47
2.3 In the police/military	0.42
2.4 In the legislature	0.31
Open Government	ŀ
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.55
3.2 Right to information	0.58
3.3 Civic participation	0.53
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.70

4.1 No discrimination	0.45
4.2 Right to life and security	0.36
4.3 Due process of law	0.37
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.52
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.54
4.6 Right to privacy	0.39
4.7 Freedom of association	0.54
4.8 Labor rights	0.50
Order and Security	ĥ
5.1 Absence of crime	0.78
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.83
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.32
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.40
6.2 No improper influence	0.44
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.42
6.4 Respect for due process	0.50
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensa	0.61 ation

Fundamental Rights

Civil Justice	ΔŢΔ
7.1	0.40
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2	0.37
No discrimination	
7.3	0.48
No corruption	
7.4	0.59
No improper gov't influence	
7.5	0.20
No unreasonable delay	
7.6	0.39
Effective enforcement	
7.7	0.61
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	්ර
	්ර
8.1	0.25
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	0.25 0.35
8.1 Effective investigations	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.35
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective adjudication 	0.35
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 	0.35
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 Effective adjudication 	0.35
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 	0.35 0.36 0.35
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0.35 0.36 0.35
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 	0.35 0.36 0.35 0.45

Due process of law

Indonesia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score Regional		Regional Ran	k I	ncome R	lank	Global Rank	
	0.53	9/15		4/37		66/142	
Score Change Rank Change			9				
	0.00	0					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.66	0.00	6/15	1/37	34/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.40	0.00	14/15	14/37	95/142
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.55	0.00	7/15	2/37	54/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.50	0.00	8/15	8/37	85/142
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.71	0.00	12/15	10/37	79/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.57	0.00	7/15	1/37	48/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.47	0.00	11/15	15/37	93/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.40	0.01	12/15	11/37	83/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							



10 percent level

 Indonesia
 East Asia and Pacific Lower-Middle



Fundamental Rights	ţ‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.46
4.2 Right to life and security	0.48
4.3 Due process of law	0.40
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.64
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.42
4.6 Right to privacy	0.36
4.7 Freedom of association	0.63
4.8 Labor rights	0.63
Order and Security	f
5.1 Absence of crime	0.85
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.85
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.41
Regulatory Enforcement	4
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.58
6.2 No improper influence	0.68
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.50
6.4 Respect for due process	0.48
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.60 sation

Civil Justice	ΔĪΔ
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.51
7.2 No discrimination	0.33
7.3 No corruption	0.43
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.50
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.52
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.41
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.56
Criminal Justice	්ට
8.1 Effective investigations	0.35
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.54
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.33
8.4 No discrimination	0.26

No improper gov't influence

8.5

8.6

8.7

No corruption

Due process of law

0.46

0.45

0.40

expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Iran, Islamic Rep.

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score Regional Rank				Income	Rank	Global Rank		
	0.39 8/9			27/3	37	126/142		
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chang	ge					
-	-0.02 -	-5 🔻						
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
Ó	Constraints o Government		0.32	-0.01	8/9	33/37	131/142	
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.37	-0.02	8/9	19/37	107/142	
ŀ	Open Govern	iment	0.27	0.00	8/9	35/37	140/142	
ţŧ	Fundamental Rights		0.20	0.00	9/9	37/37	142/142	
î	Order and Se	curity	0.63	-0.08*	8/9	26/37	109/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.44	-0.01	7/9	19/37	106/142	
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.51	-0.03*	6/9	8/37	76/142	
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.33	-0.02	7/9	23/37	110/142	
	cates statistically	significant change	e at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High	



Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Iran, Islamic Rep. — Middle East and North Africa — Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers Á

	ШШ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.36
1.2	0.34
Limits by judiciary	0.36
Independent auditing	0.34
Sanctions for official misconduct	
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.17
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.37
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.34
2.2 In the judiciary	0.46
2.3 In the police/military	0.47
2.4 In the legislature	0.23
Open Government	ŀ

2.3	0.47
In the police/military	
2.4 In the legislature	0.23
Open Government	
3.1	0.31
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.30
Right to information	
3.3	0.16
Civic participation	
3.4	0.30
Complaint mechanisms	

Fundamental Rights	
4.1 No discrimination	0.38
4.2 Right to life and security	0.21
4.3 Due process of law	0.36
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.17
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.03
4.6 Right to privacy	0.13
4.7 Freedom of association	0.10
4.8 Labor rights	0.23
Order and Security	î
5.1	0.76

5.1	C	J./6
Absence of crime		
5.2	0	0.58
Absence of civil conflict		
5.3	(0.56
Absence of violent redress		
Regulatory Enforcement		
6.1	(0.35
Effective regulatory enforcement		
6.2	(0.42
No improper influence		
6.3	(0.50
No unreasonable delay		
6.4	0	0.41

6.4	0.41	
Respect for due proces	S	
6.5	0.50	

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Civil Justice	∆ <u>T</u> ∆
7.1	0.63
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2	0.35
No discrimination	
7.3	0.41
No corruption	
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.42
	0.57
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.56
7.6	0.50
Effective enforcement	0.50
7.7	0.72
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1	0.26
Effective investigations	
8.2	0.39
Timely and effective adjudication	
8.3	0.46
Effective correctional system	

8.4			0.26
	No discrimination	ı	
8.5			0.36
	No corruption		
8.6			0.23
	No improper gov	t influence	
8.7			0.36
	Due process of la	W	

Ireland

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	nk	Income F	lank	Global	Rank	
	0.81	9/31		10/4	6	10/1	142	Constraints on Government Powers
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	ge					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3
	0.00	0						8.4
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 7.7 0.5
	Constraints o Government		0.83	0.00	8/31	9/46	9/142	7.6
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.82	0.01	10/31	14/46	14/142	7.5 Civil 7.4 0 3.1 3.2 Open
	Open Govern	ment	0.79	0.00	9/31	11/46	11/142	Justice 73 72 72 72 72
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.82	0.00	11/31	11/46	11/142	7.1 4.1
f	Order and Se	curity	0.95	0.01	2/31	2/46	2/142	6.5 6.4 4.2 4.3
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.82	0.00	8/31	10/46	10/142	Regulatory 6.1 5.3 5.0 4.0 4.7 4.6 Fundamental
₽Ţ₹	Civil Justice		0.73	0.00	12/31	17/46	17/142	Order and Security
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.72	0.00	11/31	15/46	15/142	Jecunty
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	e at the	Low	M	edium 🗌	High	- 2023 Score 2022 Score

	igh
--	-----

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.87
1.2	0.83
Limits by judiciary	0.85
Independent auditing	
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.71
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.81
1.6	0.95
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.81
2.2	0.97
In the judiciary	0.84
In the police/military	0.65
In the legislature	0.05
Open Government	
3.1	0.86
Publicized laws and gov't data	0.68
Right to information	
3.3 Civic participation	0.81

0.83

	Fundamental Rights	ţţ
4.1	No discrimination	0.71
4.2	Right to life and security	0.88
4.3	Due process of law	0.81
4.4		0.81
4.5		0.78
4.6		0.90
4.7		0.84
4.8		0.86
	Labor rights	
	Order and Security	6
5.1	Absence of crime	0.92
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.92
	Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1		0.72
6.2		0.92
6.3		0.63
6.4	No unreasonable delay	0.92
6.5	Respect for due process	0.92
	No expropriation w/out adequate compensa	

Civil Justice	۵Ţ۵
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.61
7.2 No discrimination	0.70
7.3 No corruption	0.88
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.93
7.5 No unreasonable delav	0.65
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.52
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.81
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice	0.69
8.1	0.69
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective adjudication 	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.65
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.65
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 	0.65 0.69 0.56

3.4

Complaint mechanisms

Italy

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ran	k	Income R	lank	Global	Rank
	0.67	24/31		31/4	6	32/2	142
Sco	re Change	Rank Change	5				
	0.00	0					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Ĺ	Constraints o Government		0.71	-0.01	19/31	23/46	24/142
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.65	0.00	25/31	37/46	41/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.63	0.00	24/31	31/46	32/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.73	0.00	23/31	29/46	30/142
î	Order and Se	curity	0.75	0.00	30/31	40/46	62/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.64	0.00	22/31	32/46	33/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.58	0.00	27/31	39/46	51/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.64	0.00	19/31	26/46	26/142
	ates statistically	significant change a	it the	Low	M	edium 🗌	High



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Italy EU, EFTA, and North America High



Fundamental Rights	ţŧ
4.1 No discrimination	0.68
4.2 Right to life and security	0.84
4.3 A Due process of law	0.70
4.4 4.4 Freedom of expression	0.68
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.74
4.6 Right to privacy	0.81
4.7 Freedom of association	0.78
4.8 Labor rights	0.59
Order and Security	6
5.1	0.81
Absence of crime	0.01
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.45
Regulatory Enforcement	4
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.64
6.2 No improper influence	0.82
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.45
6.4 Respect for due process	0.62
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.66 tion

Civil Justice	₽Ţ₽
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.61
7.2 No discrimination	0.66
7.3 No corruption	0.69
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.70
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.32
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.35
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.72
Criminal Justice	්ට
8.1 Effective investigations	0.48
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.56
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.53
8.4 No discrimination	0.62
8.5 No corruption	0.75
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.83
8.7 Due process of law	0.70

Jamaica

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	ank		Income	Rank	Globa	ıl Rank
	0.57	12/32			10/4	41	54/	142
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge					
-	0.01 •	-1 -						
			Fact Scor		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.6	4	-0.01	5/32	3/41	39/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.5	3	-0.02	12/32	11/41	55/142
ŀ	Open Govern	iment	0.5	7	0.00	8/32	12/41	50/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.6	4	-0.01	10/32	8/41	46/142
î	Order and Se	curity	0.6	2	-0.01	22/32	32/41	114/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.5	5	0.00	8/32	7/41	52/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.5	6	0.01	13/32	14/41	61/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.4	9	-0.01	13/32	14/41	57/142
	ates statistically cent level	significant chang	e at the	9	Lov	v N	1edium [High



10 percent level

Jamaica — Latin America and Caribbean — Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1	0.62
Limits by legislature	
1.2	0.69
Limits by judiciary	
1.3	0.68
Independent auditing	
1.4	0.44
Sanctions for official misconduct	
1.5	0.64
Non-governmental checks	
1.6	0.74
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	*
	•
2.1	0.46
In the executive branch	
2.2	0.84
In the judiciary	
2.3	0.59
In the police/military	
2.4	0.24
In the legislature	
Open Government	
3.1	0.38
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.62
Right to information	
3.3	0.64
Civic participation	0.01

Complaint mechanisms

0.64

Fundamental Rights	† ‡
4.1	0.63
No discrimination	
4.2	0.61
Right to life and security	
4.3	0.50
Due process of law	
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.64
	0.70
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.73
4.6	0.68
Right to privacy	0.00
4.7	0.70
Freedom of association	
4.8	0.64
Labor rights	
Order and Security	Ô
5.1	0.63
Absence of crime	
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3	0.23
Absence of violent redress	
	-
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.53
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2	0.70
No improper influence	
6.3	0.37
No unreasonable delay	
6.4 Respect for due process	0.53
	0.46
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.63

	Civil Justice	ΔŢ
7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.52
7.2	No discrimination	0.59
7.3	No corruption	0.76
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.74
7.5	No unreasonable delav	0.26
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.36
7.7		0.67
	Criminal Justice	St
	Criminal Justice	đ
8.1		0.37
8.1 8.2	Effective investigations	0.37 0.35
	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication	
8.2	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system	0.35
8.2 8.3	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination	0.35 0.32
8.2 8.3 8.4	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption	0.35 0.32 0.50
8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption No improper gov't influence	0.35 0.32 0.50 0.65

3.4

Japan

Constraints on Government Powers

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ran	ık I	ncome R	lank	Global	Rank
	0.79	3/15		14/4	6	14/1	142
Sco	ore Change	Rank Change	e				
	0.00	2 •					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Ŵ	Constraints o Government		0.73	0.00	3/15	20/46	21/142
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.82	0.00	4/15	13/46	13/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.70	0.00	4/15	21/46	21/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.78	0.00	2/15	18/46	19/142
î	Order and Se	curity	0.92	0.00	3/15	8/46	8/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.80	0.00	4/15	15/46	15/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.76	-0.01	3/15	12/46	12/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.76	0.00	2/15	8/46	8/142
* Indic	ates statistically	significant change a	at the				

Criminal Justice Absence of Corruption 0.5 Civil Open Justice Government Regulatory Fundamental Enforcement Rights Order and Security 2023 Score _____ 2022 Score

Civil Justice

ŧŧ

0.76

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High 10 percent level

Japan — East Asia and Pacific — High



Open Government	•
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.76
3.2	0.63
Right to information 3.3	0.69
Civic participation	0.74
Complaint mechanisms	

4.1	0.81
No discrimination	
4.2	0.91
Right to life and security	
4.3 Due process of law	0.76
4.4	0.72
Freedom of expression	
4.5	0.69
Freedom of religion	
4.6	0.89
Right to privacy	
4.7 Freedom of association	0.73
4.8	0.75
Labor rights	
Order and Security	ĥ
Order and Security	0.93
	0.93
5.1 Absence of crime	0.93
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.84
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement	0.84
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.84
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	1.00 0.84
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.84
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	1.00 0.84
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence	1.00 0.84 0.71 0.95

Respect for due process

6.5

Fundamental Rights

7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.65
7.2 No discrimination	0.76
7.3 No corruption	0.95
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.77
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.70
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.70
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.81
Criminal Justice	80
8.1 Effective investigations	0.67
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.66
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.81
8.4 No discrimination	0.78
8.5 No corruption	0.91
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.77
8.7	0.76

11

Jordan

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional R	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank
	0.55	3/9		16/4	11	62/	142
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ige				
	0.01 -	1-					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.46	0.01	7/9	29/41	99/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.58	0.00	3/9	7/41	47/142
	Open Govern	iment	0.39	0.01	5/9	37/41	109/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.46	0.00	3/9	35/41	103/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.76	0.00	3/9	14/41	56/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.55	0.01	3/9	9/41	54/142
₽ŢĮ	Civil Justice		0.61	0.01	2/9	8/41	44/142
80	Criminal Just	ice	0.57	0.02	2/9	4/41	39/142
	ates statistically cent level	significant chang	e at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High



Jordan — Middle East and North Africa — Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 0.43 Limits by legislature 1.2 0.47 Limits by judiciary 1.3 0.53 Independent auditing 1.4 0.52 Sanctions for official misconduct 1.5 T 0.39 Non-governmental checks 1.6 042 Lawful transition of power **Absence of Corruption** š 2.1 0.54 In the executive branch 0.71 2.2 In the judiciary

2.3	0.76
In the police/military	
2.4	0.31
In the legislature	

	Ũ			
	Open Governm	nent		ŀ
3.1	Publicized laws a	and go	v't data	0.35
3.2	Right to informa	tion		0.54
3.3	Civic participatio	on		0.34

0.35

Complaint mechanisms

Fundamental Rights	† ‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.61
4.2 Right to life and security	0.49
4.3	0.50
Due process of law	0.39
Freedom of expression 4.5	0.41
Freedom of religion 4.6	0.34
Right to privacy	0.37
Freedom of association	
4.8 Labor rights	0.53
Order and Security	6
5.1	0.85
5.1 Absence of crime	
5.1	0.85
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2	
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.44
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement	0.44
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.44
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.44
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	1.00 0.44
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	1.00 0.44 0.45 0.71

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Civil Justice	ΔŢδ
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.58
7.2 No discrimination	0.72
7.3 No corruption	0.74
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.59
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.37
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.58
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.70
Criminal Justice	_්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.51
8.1	0.51 0.64
8.1 Effective investigations	
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Image: Addition adjudication 	0.64
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0.64
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.64

3.4

Kazakhstan

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Upper-Middle

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	ink	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank
	0.53	4/15		18/4	41	65/	142
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chan	ge				
	0.01 -	2 •					
			Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.45	0.02	8/15	32/41	103/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.48	0.00	4/15	16/41	63/142
	Open Govern	iment	0.47	0.01	10/15	28/41	84/142
ţţ	Fundamental	Rights	0.46	0.00	11/15	33/41	100/142
f	Order and Se	curity	0.80	0.00	7/15	7/41	44/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.52	0.00	2/15	15/41	61/142
₽ŢĮ	Civil Justice		0.63	0.02	1/15	4/41	36/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.47	0.00	3/15	16/41	61/142
	cates statistically rcent level	significant change	e at the	Lov	v N	1edium	High



Kazakhstan 📒 Eastern Europe and Central Asia 📒





2.1		0.48
In the executiv	ve branch	
2.2		0.56
In the judiciar	У	
2.3		0.53
In the police/r	nilitary	
2.4		0.24

2.4 In the legislature	0.36
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.53
3.2 Right to information	0.43
3.3 Civic participation	0.38
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.53

Fundamental Rights	_ †‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.59
4.2 Right to life and security	0.51
4.3 Due process of law	0.46
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.38
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.54
4.6 Right to privacy	0.28
4.7 Freedom of association	0.37
4.8 Labor rights	0.54
Order and Security	î
5.1 Absence of crime	0.79
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.60
Regulatory Enforcement	4
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.58

	Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2		0.65
	No improper influence	
6.3		0.60
	No unreasonable delay	
6.4		0.30
	Respect for due process	

0.46 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

1	Ţ
7.1 0.6	1
7.1 0.6 Accessibility and affordability	1
7.2 0.5	6
No discrimination	
7.3 0.5	6
No corruption	
7.4 0.3 No improper gov't influence	19
7.5 0.8	
No unreasonable delay	94
7.6 0.6	9
Effective enforcement	
7.7 0.7	5
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	•
Criminal Justice	5
8.1 0.4	
8.1 0.4 Effective investigations	4
8.1 0.4 Effective investigations 8.2 0.6	4
8.1 0.4 Effective investigations	4
8.2 0.6 Timely and effective adjudication	4
8.1 0.4 Effective investigations 0.4 8.2 0.4 Timely and effective adjudication 0.4 8.3 0.4 Effective correctional system 0.4	4 64
8.1 0.4 Effective investigations 0.4 8.2 0.6 Timely and effective adjudication 0.4 8.3 0.4 Effective correctional system	4 64
8.1 0.4 Effective investigations 0.4 8.2 0.6 Timely and effective adjudication 0.4 8.3 0.4 Effective correctional system 0.4 8.4 0.4 No discrimination 0.5	44 64
8.1 0.4 Effective investigations 0.4 8.2 0.6 Timely and effective adjudication 0.4 8.3 0.4 Effective correctional system 0.4 8.4 0.4 No discrimination 0.5 No corruption 0.5	44 46 45
8.1 0.4 Effective investigations 0.4 8.2 0.6 Timely and effective adjudication 0.4 8.3 0.4 Effective correctional system 0.4 8.4 0.4 No discrimination 0.5 No corruption 0.3	44 46 45
8.1 0.4 Effective investigations 0.4 8.2 0.6 Timely and effective adjudication 0.4 8.3 0.4 Effective correctional system 0.4 8.4 0.4 No discrimination 0.5 No corruption 0.5	14 14 16 15 13 32

Kenya

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ove	erall Score	Regional R	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank	
	0.46	13/34	Ļ	17/3	37	101	/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Char	nge					Criminal 8.6 8.7 Justice 8.5
(0.01 •	5 🔺						8.4 8.3 8.2
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.1
Ó	Constraints o Government		0.50	0.00	13/34	12/37	83/142	7.7 7.6
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.27	-0.01	29/34	33/37	133/142	7.5 Civil 7.4
	Open Govern	ment	0.50	0.01	6/34	8/37	71/142	Justice 7.3
ţ‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.47	0.00	16/34	10/37	94/142	7.2
f	Order and Se	curity	0.58	0.03*	24/34	32/37	126/142	6.5 6.4
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.45	0.00	16/34	16/37	100/142	6.3 Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 5.3
₽Ţ₹	Civil Justice		0.49	0.02	11/34	12/37	85/142	Ord
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.39	0.00	14/34	13/37	88/142	Sec
	ates statistically cent level	significant chang	ge at the	Lov	w N	/ledium	High	2023 Scor

Kenya — Sub-Saharan Africa — Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Power	s 🗂
1.1	0.63
Limits by legislature	
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.52
	0.45
1.3 Independent auditing	0.45
1.4	0.33
Sanctions for official misconduct	
1.5	0.54
Non-governmental checks	
1.6	0.51
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1	0.28
In the executive branch	
2.2	0.45
In the judiciary	
2.3	0.26
In the police/military	
	0.08
In the legislature	
Onen Communit	
Open Government	
3.1	0.31
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.41
Right to information	
3.3	0.58
Civic participation	0.55
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.70

Fundamental Rights	_†ŧ
4.1 No discrimination	0.49
4.2 Right to life and security	0.30
4.3 Due process of law	0.39
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.54
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.66
4.6 Right to privacy	0.23
4.7 Freedom of association	0.62
4.8 Labor rights	0.54
Order and Security	f
5.1 Absence of crime	0.58
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.83
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.33
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.44
6.2 No improper influence	0.46
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.42
6.4 Respect for due process	0.37
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.57 ation



Civil Justice	₽Ţ₽
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.47
7.2 No discrimination	0.54
7.3 No corruption	0.43
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.51
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.33
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.48
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.65
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1	0.35
	<mark>්රි</mark> 0.35 0.39
8.1 Effective investigations	
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 	0.39
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 Effective adjudication 	0.39
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 1 	0.39

Korea, Rep.

Regional Rank

Overall Score

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Low Medium High

High

Global Rank

Income Rank

Overall Score		Regional Rai	TIK ITCOITTE P		dilk	GIODAI KATIK		
	0.74	5/15		19/4		6	19/1	L42
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	e					
	0.00	0						
			Facto Scor		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Ē	Constraints o Government		0.7	1	0.01	4/15	26/46	27/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.6	7	0.00	6/15	31/46	34/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.72	2	0.01	3/15	20/46	20/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.7	5	0.00	4/15	24/46	25/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.84	4	0.00	6/15	27/46	29/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.74	4	0.00	6/15	21/46	21/142
₽Ţ₹	Civil Justice		0.7	5	0.00	4/15	13/46	13/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.7	1	0.01	5/15	16/46	16/142

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Korea, Rep.
 East Asia and Pacific



Absence of Corruption					
2.1			0.70		
In the executive branch					

2.2		0.88
In the judiciary		
2.3		0.78
In the police/military		
24		0.31



Complaint mechanisms





Civil Justice	4 <u>_</u> 4
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.70
7.2 No discrimination	0.74
7.3 No corruption	0.75
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.73
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.74
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.76
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.86
Criminal Institut	
Criminal Justice	්ර
Effective investigations	<mark>ර්</mark> ර් 0.58
8.1 Effective investigations	0.58 0.75
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.75
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4	0.75
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5	0.75

Kosovo

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Ran	ık	Income Rank			Global Rank		
	0.56	3/15		14/41		L 58/1		142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Change	е						
	0.00	1•							
			Fact Sco		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.5	6	0.00	1/15	13/41	61/142	
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.4	8	-0.01	5/15	17/41	64/142	
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.5	8	0.00	2/15	9/41	47/142	
ţ‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.6	1	0.01	3/15	14/41	55/142	
î	Order and Se	curity	0.8	3	0.01	2/15	1/41	32/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.4	8	0.00	4/15	21/41	80/142	
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.5	0	0.01	10/15	25/41	80/142	
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.4	6	0.00	5/15	18/41	65/142	
	ates statistically cent level	significant change a	at the	ì	Low	Me	edium	High	



10 percent level

🗕 Kosovo 🛑 Eastern Europe and Central Asia 💻 Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ó
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.62
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.46
1.3 Independent auditing	0.57
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.46
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.59
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.67
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.48
2.2 In the judiciary	0.48
2.3 In the police/military	0.61
2.4 In the legislature	0.33
Open Government	ŀ
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.49
3.2 Right to information	0.54
3.3 Civic participation	0.58
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.70

Fundamental Rights	ţţ.
4.1 No discrimination	0.61
4.2 Right to life and security	0.70
4.3 Due process of law	0.60
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.59
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.70
4.6 Right to privacy	0.53
4.7 Freedom of association	0.63
4.8 Labor rights	0.50
Order and Security	6
-	
5.1 Absence of crime	0.89
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.61
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.38
6.2 No improper influence	0.58
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.47
6.4 Respect for due process	0.37
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compension	0.58 ation

	Civil Justice	₽Ţ₽
7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.62
7.2	No discrimination	0.53
7.3	No corruption	0.44
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.51
7.5		0.26
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.49
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.64
	Criminal Justice	60
8.1	Effective investigations	0.33
8.2	Timely and effective adjudication	0.33
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.56
8.4		0.56
	No discrimination	
8.5		0.48
8.5 8.6	No corruption	0.48 0.39
Kuwait

Constraints on Government

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	nk	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank	
	0.58	2/9		43/4	16	52/	′142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	ge					Criminal Justice
	_	_						8.4 8.3
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2
	Constraints o Government		0.55	_	2/9	42/46	62/142	7.7
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.66	_	2/9	33/46	36/142	7.5 Civil 7.4
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.43	-	4/9	45/46	102/142	Justice 7.3
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.45	-	4/9	45/46	107/142	7.1
Ô	Order and Se	curity	0.87	-	2/9	23/46	24/142	6.5 6.4
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.66	-	2/9	29/46	30/142	6.3 Regulatory Enforcement
₽Ţ₹	Civil Justice		0.58	-	3/9	40/46	52/142	Enforcement
ďð	Criminal Just	ice	0.47	-	3/9	43/46	62/142	
	ates statistically cent level	signi cant change	at the	Lov	v ľ	Medium	High	



0 percent level

- Kuwait - Middle East and North Africa - High



Fundamental Rights	†‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.36
4.2 Right to life and security	0.48
4.3 Due process of law	0.50
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.54
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.31
4.6 Right to privacy	0.35
4.7 Freedom of association	0.51
4.8 Labor rights	0.55
Order and Security	î
5.1 Absence of crime	0.91
5.2	1.00

Absence of civil conflict
5.3
Absence of violent redress
Regulatory Enforcement
6.1
Effective regulatory enforcement
0.79



6.5 0.69 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Civil Justice	٥Ţ٥
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.61
7.2 7.2 No discrimination	0.49
7.3 No corruption	0.70
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.63
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.30
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.54
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.75
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1	0.51



Kyrgyz Republic

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	ink	Income	Rank	Global Rank	
	0.45 12/15			18/3	18/37		/142
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	ge				
-	0.01 -	-1 •					
			Facto Scor		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.43	-0.01	9/15	20/37	105/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.29	-0.01	15/15	32/37	130/142
ŀ	Open Govern	iment	0.50	0 -0.01	6/15	7/37	69/142
ţ‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.46	-0.01	10/15	11/37	96/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.73	-0.01	12/15	6/37	68/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.41	l -0.01	15/15	25/37	117/142
₽Ţ₹	Civil Justice		0.48	3 0.01	11/15	13/37	89/142
ðð	Criminal Just	ice	0.32	2 0.00	14/15	25/37	116/142
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	e at the	Lov	w N	/ledium	High



10 percent level

 Kyrgyz Republic Eastern Europe and Central Asia Lower-Middle

0.47

Á

Constraints on Government Powers

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1	0.50
Limits by legislature	
1.2	0.28
Limits by judiciary	
1.3	0.40
Independent auditing	
1.4	0.42
Sanctions for official misconduct	
1.5	0.54
Non-governmental checks	
1.6	0.43
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	_ 🕉
2.1	0.34
In the executive branch	
2.2	0.34
In the judiciary	
2.3	0.35
In the police/military	
2.4	0.13
In the legislature	
	_
Open Government	÷
3.1	0.51
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.50
Right to information	
3.3	0.54
Civic participation	

Complaint mechanisms

Fundamental Rights	ţŧ
4.1	0.51
No discrimination	
4.2	0.45
Right to life and security	
4.3	0.34
Due process of law	
4.4	0.54
Freedom of expression	
4.5	0.56
Freedom of religion	
4.6	0.19
Right to privacy	
4.7	0.59
Freedom of association	
4.8	0.52
Labor rights	
Order and Security	6
5.1 Absence of crime	0.80
	0.04
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.94
	0.45
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.45
Absolute of Wolche Fear ess	
Regulatory Enforcement	rên
Regulatory Emorcement	
6.1	0.48
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2	0.39
No improper influence	
6.3	0.49
No unreasonable delay	
6.4	0.29
Respect for due process	
6.5	0.41
No expropriation w/out adequate compens	ation

Civil Insting	***
Civil Justice	٩Ī٩
7.1	0.60
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2	0.52
No discrimination	
7.3	0.34
No corruption	
7.4	0.30
No improper gov't influence	
7.5	0.51
No unreasonable delay	
7.6	0.41
Effective enforcement	
7.7	0.67
Impartial and effective ADRs	
	84
Criminal Justice	_d0
8.1	0.37
Effective investigations	
8.2	0.52
Timely and effective adjudication	



Due process of law

Latvia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Sco	ore Regional Ra	ink	Income F	Rank	Global	Rank	
0.73	17/31		22/4	6	22/	142	Constraints on Government Powers
Score Char	ige Rank Chang	ge					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3
0.00	2.						8.4
		Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.1 0,5 2.2 Corruption
	aints on ment Powers	0.71	0.00	20/31	25/46	26/142	7.7 7.6 2.3 2.4
Absence	e of Corruption	0.68	0.01	20/31	29/46	30/142	7.5 Civil 7.4 0 3.1 3.2 Ope
Open G	overnment	0.72	0.00	16/31	19/46	19/142	Justice 7.3 Govern
Fundan	nental Rights	0.77	0.00	17/31	21/46	22/142	72 7.1 3.4 4.1
Order a	and Security	0.86	0.01	17/31	24/46	25/142	6.5 6.4 4.3
Regula	tory Enforcement	0.71	0.00	18/31	24/46	24/142	Regulatory 6.1 6.3 5.0 10 4.7 4.6 Fundamental
ĴŢĴ Civil Ju	stice	0.69	0.01	16/31	23/46	23/142	Order and Rights
do Crimina	al Justice	0.68	0.01	16/31	22/46	22/142	Security
* Indicates statis 10 percent level	stically significant change	e at the	Low	М	edium 🗌	High	- 2023 Score 2022 Score

Latvia — EU, EFTA, and North America — High



Fundamental Rights	ţ‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.74
4.2	0.91
Right to life and security	
4.3 Due process of law	0.72
4.4	0.70
Freedom of expression 4.5	0.72
Freedom of religion	0.72
4.6 Right to privacy	0.84
4.7	0.75
Freedom of association 4.8	0.78
Labor rights	0.70
Order and Security	0
-	0.84
5.1 Absence of crime	0.04
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	0.75
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.78
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2 No improper influence	0.82
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.68
6.4	0.56
Respect for due process	
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.71 ation

Civil Justice	٥Ţ٥
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.62
7.2 No discrimination	0.73
7.3 No corruption	0.72
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.73
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.48
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.82
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.72
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	<mark>ුර්</mark> ර 0.56
8.1	0.56
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.56
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 4 	0.56
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No discrimination 	0.56

Lebanon

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank
	0.45 7/9			20/3	20/37		/142
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ige				
	0.00	1 •					
			Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.49	0.01	5/9	14/37	89/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.36	0.00	9/9	20/37	109/142
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.44	0.01	2/9	16/37	99/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.46	0.00	2/9	12/37	97/142
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.68	0.00	7/9	16/37	93/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.44	0.00	8/9	20/37	107/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.40	-0.01	8/9	28/37	124/142
්ට	Criminal Just	ice	0.32	-0.01	9/9	26/37	118/142
	ates statistically	significant chang	e at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High



ţţ

10 percent level

Lebanon — Middle East and North Africa — Lower-Middle



Complaint mechanisms

Civic participation

0.52

0.48

4.1 No discrimination	0.37
4.2 Right to life and security	0.47
4.3 Due process of law	0.38
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.55
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.45
4.6 Right to privacy	0.41
4.7 Freedom of association	0.61
4.8 Labor rights	0.45
Order and Security	6
,	
5.1 Absence of crime	0.70
5.1	0.70
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2	
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	1.00 0.33
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	1.00 0.33
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	1.00 0.33
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	1.00 0.33 0.45 0.40

Fundamental Rights

Civil Justice	ΔŢΔ
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.55
7.2 No discrimination	0.43
7.3 No corruption	0.35
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.32
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.29
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.40
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.49



3.3

Liberia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score Regional Rar		ank	Income Rank		Global Rank		
	0.44	19/34		9/18		112/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge				
	0.00	2 •					
			Facto Scor		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.49	0.00	15/34	5/18	87/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.30	0.01	25/34	13/18	124/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.46	6 0.00	9/34	3/18	85/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.51	0.01	12/34	5/18	82/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.60	0.00	23/34	10/18	121/142
4	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.40	0.00	25/34	10/18	123/142
₽Ţ₹	Civil Justice		0.40	0.00	29/34	14/18	125/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.33	3 0.00	25/34	11/18	113/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							

Circle of the second se

10 percent level

🗕 Liberia 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 🛑 Low

	Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1	Limits by legislature	0.51
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.49
1.3	Independent auditing	0.44
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.35
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.57
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.60
	Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1	In the executive branch	0.31
2.2	In the judiciary	0.29
2.3	In the police/military	0.39
2.4	In the legislature	0.23
	Open Government	
3.1	Publicized laws and gov't data	0.17
3.2	Right to information	0.45
3.3	Civic participation	0.57
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.64

Fundamental Rights	ţŧ.
4.1	0.46
No discrimination	0.50
4.2 Right to life and security	0.53
4.3	0.40
Due process of law	0.57
Freedom of expression	0.57
4.5	0.62
Freedom of religion 4.6	0.45
Right to privacy	0.45
4.7 Freedom of association	0.62
4.8	0.46
Labor rights	
Onder and Coursity	<u>^</u>
Order and Security	1
5.1 Absence of crime	0.47
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	
	1.00 0.33
Absence of civil conflict	
Absence of civil conflict	
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.33
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.33
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	0.33
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence	0.33 0.40 0.40
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4 Respect for due process	0.33 0.40 0.40 0.37 0.46
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4	0.33 0.40 0.40 0.37 0.46 0.37

Civil Justice	۵ آ ۵
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.49
7.2 No discrimination	0.43
7.3 No corruption	0.31
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.32
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.36
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.41
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.49
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	0.30
8.1	0.30 0.34
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Control of the second s	0.34
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 	0.34
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 Model 	0.34

Lithuania

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Rar	nal Rank Income R		ank	Global Rank		
	0.77	14/31			18/4	6	18/1	L42
Sco	re Change	Rank Change	е					
	0.00	0						
			Fac Sco		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.7	76	0.00	14/31	16/46	17/142
\$	Absence of Co	orruption	0.7	72	0.00	17/31	24/46	24/142
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.7	75	0.00	15/31	17/46	17/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.7	78	0.01	15/31	17/46	18/142
6	Order and Se	curity	0.8	39	0.00	15/31	19/46	20/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.7	76	0.02	14/31	19/46	19/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.7	79	0.00	8/31	8/46	8/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.0	59	0.00	15/31	21/46	21/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High								



10 percent level

💻 Lithuania 💻 EU, EFTA, and North America 💻 High



	Fundamental Rights	ţŧ
4.1	No discrimination	0.82
4.2	Right to life and security	0.92
4.3		0.71
4.4	Due process of law	0.75
45	Freedom of expression	0.01
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.81
4.6	Right to privacy	0.75
4.7	Freedom of association	0.78
4.8		0.74
	Labor rights	
	Order and Security	Ô
5.1	Absence of crime	0.88
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.79
		_
	Regulatory Enforcement	Ê
6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.81
6.2	No improper influence	0.77
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.76
6.4		0.67
6.5	Respect for due process	0.76
5.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensa	

Civil Justice	٥Ī٩
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.75
7.2 No discrimination	0.85
7.3 No corruption	0.72
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.80
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.77
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.85
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.77
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	<u>ර</u> ්ර 0.67
8.1	<mark>ුර්</mark> රි 0.67 0.58
8.1 Effective investigations	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.58
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 4 	0.58
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No discrimination 	0.58

Luxembourg

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

High

Overall Score F		Regional Ran	ik I	Income Rank		Global Rank	
	0.83	6/31		6/46	5	6/1	42
Sco	re Change	Rank Change	9				
(0.01 -	2 •					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.82	-0.01	12/31	14/46	14/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.85	0.00	6/31	8/46	8/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.82	0.02	6/31	7/46	7/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.85	0.00	6/31	6/46	6/142
î	Order and Se	curity	0.95	0.02*	1/31	1/46	1/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.87	0.01	4/31	4/46	4/142
₽Ţ₹	Civil Justice		0.78	0.01	9/31	10/46	10/142
්ට	Criminal Just	ice	0.73	0.00	10/31	13/46	13/142
	ates statistically cent level	significant change a	at the	Low	M	edium 🗌	High



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level	Low
EU, EFTA, and North Ar	merica 🗖
Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.88
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.80
1.3 Independent auditing	0.83
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.71
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.79
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.89
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.84

2.2

2.3

2.4

3.1

3.2

3.3

3.4

5	0.79	4.5
Non-governmental checks		Freedom of religion
5	0.89	4.6
Lawful transition of power		Right to privacy
	-	4.7
Absence of Corruption	\$	Freedom of associatio
1	0.84	4.8
In the executive branch		Labor rights
2	0.94	Order and Security
In the judiciary		Order and Security
3	0.89	5.1
In the police/military		Absence of crime
4	0.74	5.2
In the legislature		Absence of civil confli
		5.3
Open Government		Absence of violent re
	0.82	
Publicized laws and gov't data		Regulatory Enforce
2	0.77	6.1
Right to information		Effective regulatory e
3	0.83	6.2
Civic participation		No improper influenc
4	0.86	6.3
Complaint mechanisms		No unreasonable dela

Fundamental Rights	ţ‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.76
4.2 Right to life and security	0.95
4.3 Due process of law	0.86
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.79
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.86
4.6 Right to privacy	0.91
4.7	0.88
Freedom of association 4.8	0.81
Labor rights	
Order and Security	f
5.1 Absence of crime	0.95
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.91
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.80
6.2 No improper influence	0.89
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.88
6.4 Respect for due process	0.89
6.5	0.87

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Civil Justice	ΔĪΔ
7.1	0.72
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2 No discrimination	0.79
7.3	0.86
No corruption	
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.85
7.5	0.68
No unreasonable delay	
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.73
7.7	0.83
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	60
Criminal Justice	رم 0.75
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.75
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	0.75
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 Effective adjudication 	0.75
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 	0.75
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 Effective adjudication 	0.75
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 	0.75
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No improper gov't influence 	0.75 0.60 0.75 0.59 0.86 0.72
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 	0.75 0.60 0.75 0.59 0.86

Madagascar

Absence of

Constraints on Government Powers

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	ank		Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank
	0.43	20/34			10/18		114/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge					
-	0.01 -	-1 -						
			Fact Sco		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints of Government		0.4	3	-0.02	18/34	8/18	106/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.2	7	-0.01	30/34	16/18	134/142
ŀ	Open Govern	iment	0.4	5	-0.01	12/34	6/18	91/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.4	6	0.00	18/34	10/18	98/142
f	Order and Se	curity	0.7	1	0.00	8/34	3/18	80/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.3	8	0.00	26/34	11/18	127/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.4	3	0.00	22/34	8/18	113/142
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.3	2	-0.01	26/34	12/18	117/142
	ates statistically cent level	significant chang	e at the	9	Lov	v N	1edium [High

Corruption 0.5 Civil Open Justice Government Regulatory Enforcement Fundamental Rights Order and Security 2023 Score _____ 2022 Score

Criminal Justice

ŧŧ

🗕 Madagascar 📒 Sub-Saharan Africa 💶 Low

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.44
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.39
1.3 Independent auditing	0.44
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.36
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.47
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.46
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.31
2.2 In the judiciary	0.26
2.3 In the police/military	0.34
2.4 In the legislature	0.16
Open Government	·
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.33
3.2 Right to information	0.43
3.3 Civic participation	0.49

Complaint mechanisms

0.56

	. 17
4.1 No discrimination	0.58
4.2 Right to life and security	0.42
4.3 Due process of law	0.34
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.47
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.55
4.6 Right to privacy	0.25
4.7 Freedom of association	0.53
4.8 Labor rights	0.54
Order and Security	G
5.1 Absence of crime	0.66
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.46
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.34
6.2 No improper influence	0.39
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.39
6.4 Respect for due process	0.32
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.47 ation

Fundamental Rights

Civil Justice	ΔŢδ
7.1	0.40
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2	0.50
No discrimination	
7.3	0.31
No corruption	
7.4	0.32
No improper gov't influence	
7.5	0.47
No unreasonable delay	
7.6	0.44
Effective enforcement	
7.7	0.55
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	60
	0.00
8.1 Effective investigations	0.33
8.2	0.42

Effective investigations	
8.2	0.42
Timely and effective adjudication	
8.3	0.19
Effective correctional system	
8.4	0.38
No discrimination	
8.5	0.30
No corruption	
8.6	0.26
No improper gov't influence	
8.7	0.34
Due process of law	

Malawi

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

			0				
Ov	erall Score	Regional Ran	k I	ncome R	lank	Global	Rank
	0.52	8/34		2/18	3	69/2	142
Sco	ore Change	Rank Change	e				
	0.00	-1 •					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.57	0.00	7/34	2/18	55/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.42	0.00	12/34	6/18	90/142
	Open Govern	iment	0.46	0.00	10/34	4/18	86/142
ţţ	Fundamental	Rights	0.58	0.00	6/34	1/18	65/142
î	Order and Se	curity	0.68	0.00	14/34	7/18	92/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.46	0.00	14/34	6/18	90/142
ŢŢ	Civil Justice		0.56	0.01	7/34	2/18	56/142
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.44	-0.01	9/34	3/18	73/142
* India	cates statistically	significant change a	at the	Low	M	edium 🗌	High



ŧŧ

10 percent level

🗕 Malawi 👥 Sub-Saharan Africa 💶 Low

Constraints on Government Powers	Ó
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.60
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.64
1.3 Independent auditing	0.49
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.46
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.59
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.66
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.38
2.2 In the judiciary	0.53
2.3 In the police/military	0.40
2.4 In the legislature	0.35
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.16
3.2 Right to information	0.47
3.3 Civic participation	0.62
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.57

4.1 No discrimination	0.55
4.2 Right to life and security	0.61
4.3 Due process of law	0.39
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.59
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.72
4.6	0.55
Right to privacy 4.7	0.71
Freedom of association 4.8	0.52
Labor rights	
Order and Security	G
5.1 Absence of crime	0.70
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.34
5.3	0.34
5.3 Absence of violent redress	
5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	
5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	0.42
5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4	0.42
5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.42 0.40 0.39 0.51 0.61

Fundamental Rights

Civil Justice	∆ T ∆
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.50
7.2	0.56
No discrimination 7.3	0.50
No corruption	0.69
No improper gov't influence	
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.42
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.63
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.65
Criminal Justice	්ර්
8.1 Effective investigations	0.34
8.1	0.34 0.47
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 	0.47
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.47
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No based on the system 	0.47
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 	0.47 0.32 0.47 0.45

Malaysia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank
	0.57	7/15		11/4	41	55/	′142
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge				
	0.00	1•					
			Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Ŵ	Constraints o Government		0.57	0.02	7/15	11/41	56/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.57	0.01	7/15	10/41	51/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.42	0.00	12/15	34/41	103/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.49	0.00	9/15	30/41	90/142
f	Order and Se	curity	0.78	0.00	8/15	10/41	50/142
4	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.55	0.00	8/15	10/41	55/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.62	0.00	7/15	6/41	42/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.56	0.00	7/15	5/41	43/142
	ates statistically	significant chang	e at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High



Civil Justice

0.50

10 percent level

 Malaysia
 East Asia and Pacific Upper-Middle



Fundamental Rights	†‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.49
4.2 Right to life and security	0.52
4.3 Due process of law	0.54
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.48
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.44
4.6 Right to privacy	0.41
4.7 Freedom of association	0.46
4.8 Labor rights	0.58
Order and Security	ô
5.1	0.77
5.1 Absence of crime	0.77
5.1 Absence of crime	
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	0.58
 5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 	1.00 0.58

Respect for due process

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

6.5

7.1	0.59
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2	0.54
No discrimination	
7.3	0.70
No corruption	0.55
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.55
	0.45
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.65
7.6	0.64
Effective enforcement	0.04
7.7	0.65
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	20
8.1	<mark>ර්</mark> ර 0.62
8.1 Effective investigations	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	0.62 0.58
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.58
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.58
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 	0.58
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 Effective adjudication 	0.58
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 	0.58
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.58
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 	0.58 0.60 0.47 0.65
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No based on the system 	0.58 0.60 0.47 0.65

11

Mali

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	Overall Score Regional Rank		Income Rank		Global Rank		
	0.40	24/34		12/18		121/142	
Score Change Rank Char		Rank Chan	ge				
-	0.02 -	-5 🔻					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Í	Constraints o Government		0.41	-0.02*	19/34	9/18	108/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.31	0.00	24/34	12/18	122/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.45	0.00	11/34	5/18	88/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.50	-0.01	13/34	6/18	87/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.37	-0.13*	34/34	17/18	140/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.47	-0.01	12/34	4/18	87/142
₽Ţ₹	Civil Justice		0.41	0.00	27/34	13/18	120/142
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.28	-0.01	32/34	17/18	129/142
	ates statistically	significant chang	e at the	Lov	v	∕ledium ∣	High



10 percent level

– Mali – Sub-Saharan Africa – Low

Constraints on Government Power	's
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.51
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.36
1.3 Independent auditing	0.33
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.26
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.53
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.50
Absence of Corruption	•
Absence of corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.39
2.2 In the judiciary	0.20
2.3 In the police/military	0.31
2.4 In the legislature	0.36
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.25
3.2 Right to information	0.46
3.3 Civic participation	0.54
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.57

Fundamental Rights	ţţ.
4.1	0.57
No discrimination	
4.2	0.38
Right to life and security	
4.3	0.35
Due process of law	
4.4	0.53
Freedom of expression	
4.5	0.69
Freedom of religion	
4.6	0.28
Right to privacy	
4.7	0.60
Freedom of association	
	0.59
Labor rights	
	~
Order and Security	1
5.1	0.59
Absence of crime	
5.2	0.17
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3	0.34
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.34
Absence of violent redress	0.34
	0.34
Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement	-
Absence of violent redress	•
Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	•
Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.41
Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	0.41
Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence	0.41 0.50
Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	0.41 0.50
Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.41 0.50 0.37
Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4	0.41 0.50 0.37 0.47 0.60

Civil Justice	₹ T ₹
7.1 Accessibility and affordabili	0.43 ty
7.2 No discrimination	0.44
7.3 No corruption	0.12
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.40
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.57
7.6	0.45
7.7 Impartial and effective ADR	0.50 s
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice	<u>්</u> රි 0.23
8.1 Effective investigations	0.29
8.1 Effective investigations	0.29 ation 0.26
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudic 8.3 Effective adjudic	0.29 ation 0.26
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudic 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 4 	0.29 ation 0.26 n
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudic 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.29 ation 0.26 n 0.36 0.24 0.26

Malta

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	Overall Score Regional Rank		k I	Income Rank			Global Rank	
	0.68	22/31		29/46		30/142		
Score Change Rank Change		÷						
	0.00	0						
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.64	0.00	26/31	34/46	38/142	
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.68	-0.01	21/31	30/46	31/142	
	Open Govern	ment	0.64	0.01	23/31	30/46	31/142	
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.74	0.00	20/31	25/46	26/142	
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.91	-0.01	7/31	11/46	11/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.59	0.01	26/31	39/46	43/142	
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.60	0.00	25/31	37/46	48/142	
80	Criminal Just	ice	0.63	0.00	20/31	27/46	27/142	
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High								



ţŧ

10 percent level

— Malta — EU, EFTA, and North America — High



0.69

0.48

0.66

0.70

Н

Open Government

Right to information

Civic participation

Complaint mechanisms

Publicized laws and gov't data

3.1

3.2

3.3

3.4

4.1 No discrimination	0.65
4.2 Right to life and security	0.85
4.3 Due process of law	0.72
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.66
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.70
4.6 Right to privacy	0.82
4.7 Freedom of association	0.73
4.8 Labor rights	0.79
Order and Security	f
5.1 Absence of crime	0.86
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.88
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.65
6.2 No improper influence	0.73
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.46
6.4 Respect for due process	0.59
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.55 sation

Fundamental Rights

Civil Justice	₹ T ₹
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.69
7.2 No discrimination	0.50
7.3 No corruption	0.76
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.70
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.27
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.59
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.71
Criminal Justice	්ට
8.1 Effective investigations	0.62
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.50
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.54
8.4 No discrimination	0.51
8.5 No corruption	0.77
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.77
8.7 Due process of law	0.72

Mauritania

Constraints on Government

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score Regional Rank		ink	Income Rank		Global Rank		
	0.36	32/34		31/3	/37 133/		/142
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chang	ge				
-	0.01 -	0					
			Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.33	0.00	31/34	31/37	129/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.29	-0.01	27/34	31/37	128/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.28	0.00	34/34	34/37	138/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.38	-0.01	28/34	27/37	123/142
Ĝ	Order and Se	curity	0.65	0.01	18/34	22/37	104/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.28	0.00	34/34	35/37	139/142
₽ŢĮ	Civil Justice		0.40	0.00	30/34	29/37	126/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.27	-0.02	33/34	29/37	130/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							



10 percent level

🗕 Mauritania 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 💻 Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.35
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.24
1.3 Independent auditing	0.33
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.28
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.33
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.44
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.29
2.2 In the judiciary	0.41
2.3 In the police/military	0.25
2.4 In the legislature	0.23
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.20
3.2 Right to information	0.32
3.3 Civic participation	0.35
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.26

Fundamental Rights	ţŧ.
4.1 No discrimination	0.39
4.2 Right to life and security	0.45
4.3 Due process of law	0.32
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.33
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.33
4.6 Right to privacy	0.32
4.7 Freedom of association	0.48
4.8 Labor rights	0.45
Order and Security	ĥ
5.1 Absence of crime	0.62
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.32
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.24
6.2 No improper influence	0.32
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.40
6.4 Respect for due process	0.16
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.30 ation

Civil Justice	۵Ţ۵
7.1	0.44
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2	0.33
No discrimination	
7.3	0.28
No corruption	
7.4	0.28
No improper gov't influence	
7.5	0.49
No unreasonable delay	
7.6	0.34
Effective enforcement	
7.7	0.63
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	80



Mauritius

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Ran	nk	Income R	lank	Global Rank		
	0.61	3/34		5/41	L	46/142		
Sco	re Change	Rank Change	e					
	0.00	-1 •						
			Facto Scor		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.58	-0.01	6/34	9/41	51/142	
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.58	0.00	2/34	8/41	48/142	
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.53	0.00	4/34	17/41	61/142	
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.64	0.00	2/34	7/41	45/142	
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.75	5 0.00	2/34	17/41	60/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.62	2 0.00	1/34	2/41	35/142	
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.63	0.00	2/34	3/41	34/142	
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.54	0.00	4/34	9/41	47/142	
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High								



🗕 Mauritius 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 🛑 Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.62
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.67
1.3 Independent auditing	0.40
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.50
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.59
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.70
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.59
2.2 In the judiciary	0.80
2.3 In the police/military	0.61
2.4 In the legislature	0.30
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.56
3.2 Right to information	0.41
3.3 Civic participation	0.59
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.55

Fundamental Rights	_ †‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.59
4.2 Right to life and security	0.73
4.3 Due process of law	0.53
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.59
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.80
4.6 Right to privacy	0.60
4.7 Freedom of association	0.65
4.8 Labor rights	0.65
Order and Security	ĥ
5.1 Absence of crime	0.83
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.44
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.67
6.2 No improper influence	0.82
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.49
6.4 Respect for due process	0.55
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.60 ation

	Civil Justice	₽Ţ₽
7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.63
7.2	No discrimination	0.71
7.3	No corruption	0.77
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.72
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.30
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.60
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.69
	Criminal Justice	60
8.1		<mark>රීරි</mark> 0.40
8.1 8.2	Effective investigations	0.40 0.41
	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication	
8.2	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system	0.41
8.2 8.3	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination	0.41 0.54
8.2 8.3 8.4	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption	0.41 0.54 0.60

Mexico

Absence of Corruption

Fundamental Rights

Open Government

Constraints on Government Powers

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank	
	0.42	27/32		38/4	41	116	/142	
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chan	ge					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 Justice 8.5
	-0.01 -	1 •						8.4
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 0.5
Ó	Constraints of Government		0.44	0.00	26/32	33/41	104/142	7.7 7.6
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.26	0.00	30/32	40/41	136/142	7.5 Civil 7.4
ŀ	Open Govern	iment	0.58	-0.01	7/32	7/41	45/142	Justice 7.3
ţţ	Fundamental	Rights	0.48	0.00	26/32	32/41	93/142	7.2
6	Order and Se	curity	0.50	-0.01*	31/32	41/41	133/142	6.5 6.4
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.44	0.00	24/32	33/41	102/142	6.3 Regulatory Enforcement 5.3 5.3
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.37	0.00	27/32	39/41	131/142	Order an Security
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.26	-0.02	26/32	40/41	132/142	Security
	cates statistically rcent level	significant chang	e at the	Lov	w N	∕ledium	High	

Constraints on Government Powers 1.1 0.48 Limits by legislature 1.2 0.41 Limits by judiciary 1.3 0.40 Independent auditing 1.4 0.27 Sanctions for official misconduct Non-governmental checks 1.5 048 1.6 0.62 Lawful transition of power Absence of Corruption š 2.1 0.31 In the executive branch 0.30 2.2 I In the judiciary 0.31 2.3 In the police/military 2.4 0.13 In the legislature **Open Government** ŀ 0.65 3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data 3.2 0.57 Right to information 0.50 3.3 Civic participation 3.4 0.60 Complaint mechanisms

Fundamental Rights	
4.1 No discrimination	0.35
4.2 Right to life and security	0.41
4.3 Due process of law	0.34
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.48
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.72
4.6 Right to privacy	0.49
4.7 Freedom of association	0.59
4.8 Labor rights	0.48
Order and Security	ĥ
5.1 Absence of crime	0.38
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.90
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.22
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.53
6.2 No improper influence	0.51
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.36
6.4 Respect for due process	0.32
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compen	0.48 sation

Civil Justice	
7.1	0.39
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2 No discrimination	0.25
7.3 No corruption	0.31
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.40
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.25
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.37
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.61
Criminal Justice	්ර්
8.1 Effective investigations	0.19
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.27
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.22

2022 Score

8.4			0.17
	No discrimination		
8.5			0.28
	No corruption		
8.6			0.36
	No improper gov't in	fluence	
8.7			0.34

Due process of law

Moldova

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	ink	Income	Rank	Globa	I Rank
	0.53	6/15		20/4	11	68/142	
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chang	ge				
	0.01 -	2 •					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.51	0.01	4/15	18/41	74/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.38	0.01	12/15	34/41	106/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.57	0.00	3/15	11/41	49/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.59	0.01	6/15	18/41	63/142
Ĝ	Order and Se	curity	0.81	0.00	4/15	4/41	40/142
4	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.46	0.00	8/15	31/41	96/142
₽Ţ₹	Civil Justice		0.50	0.00	9/15	24/41	79/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.41	0.02	8/15	23/41	78/142
	ates statistically	significant change	e at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High



Civil Justice

Accessibility and affordability

ŧŧ

0.56

7.1

10 percent level

 Moldova Eastern Europe and Central Asia Upper-Middle



Right to information

Civic participation

Complaint mechanisms

3.3

3.4

4.1	No discrimination	0.56
4.2	Right to life and security	0.72
4.3		0.49
4.4	Due process of law	0.55
4.5	Freedom of expression	0.66
	Freedom of religion	
4.6	Right to privacy	0.53
4.7	Freedom of association	0.64
4.8	Labor rights	0.54
	Order and Security	Ô
5.1	Absence of crime	0.84
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.59
	Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.56
6.2		0.45
6.3		0.54
6.4	,	0.30

7.2 No discrimination	0.52
7.3 No corruption	0.36
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.42
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.42
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.57
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.66
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.29
Encetive investigations	
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.47
8.2	0.47
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	
 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 Effective correctional system 	0.43
 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.43

11

0.55

Due process of law

4.1

Fundamental Rights

	Respect for due pro	ces	5	
6.5				0.43
	No expropriation w	/out	adequate compensa	tion

0.55

Mongolia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ran	ık I	ncome R	lank	Global	Rank
	0.53	8/15		3/37	7	64/:	142
Sco	ore Change	Rank Change	9				
	0.00	0					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Í	Constraints o Government		0.53	-0.01	9/15	7/37	71/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.42	-0.01	12/15	9/37	86/142
·	Open Govern	ment	0.49	0.00	8/15	9/37	74/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.56	0.00	7/15	4/37	69/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.76	0.00	10/15	3/37	58/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.48	0.00	10/15	12/37	79/142
₽Ţ₹	Civil Justice		0.54	0.00	8/15	4/37	66/142
ð	Criminal Just	ice	0.48	0.00	8/15	1/37	58/142
	cates statistically rcent level	significant change a	at the	Low	Μ	ledium	High



İİ

 Mongolia
 East Asia and Pacific Lower-Middle



0.59

0.46

Civic participation

Complaint mechanisms

3.3

3.4

4.1	0.55
A.2	0.67
Right to life and security	0.07
4.3	0.49
Due process of law	0.40
Freedom of expression	0.60
4.5	0.60
Freedom of religion	
4.6 Right to privacy	0.41
4.7	0.65
Freedom of association	0.55
4.8 Labor rights	0.55
Order and Security	G
5.1	0.84
	0.01
Absence of crime	
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00 0.45
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement	1.00 0.45
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	1.00 0.45
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	1.00 0.45
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence	1.00 0.45
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	1.00 0.45 0.58 0.47
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4	1.00 0.45 0.58 0.47
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay	1.00 0.45 0.58 0.47 0.54

Fundamental Rights

	Civil Justice	ΔΤΔ
		-1-
7.1	A second bility and offered bility (0.54
	Accessibility and affordability	
7.2	No discrimination	0.59
	No discrimination	
7.3		0.52
	No corruption	
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.47
	No Improper gov t initiance	
7.5	No unreasonable delav	0.57
	No unreasonable delay	
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.46
	Effective enforcement	
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.65
	Impartial and effective ADRs	
		84
	Criminal Justice	60
8.1	Criminal Justice	0 .41
8.1	Criminal Justice	U
8.1		U
8.1 8.2		0.41
8.1 8.2	Effective investigations	0.41
8.1 8.2 8.3	Effective investigations	0.41
8.1 8.2 8.3	Effective investigations	0.41
8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4	Effective investigations	0.41 0.55 0.51
8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4	Effective investigations	0.41 0.55 0.51
8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5	Effective investigations	0.41 0.55 0.51 0.52
8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5	Effective investigations	0.41 0.55 0.51 0.52
8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5 8.5	Effective investigations	0.41 0.55 0.51 0.52 0.54
8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5 8.5	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption	0.41 0.55 0.51 0.52 0.54
8.1 8.2 8.3 8.3 8.4 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption	0.41 0.55 0.51 0.52 0.54 0.37

Montenegro

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

0.56						Rank				Constraints on	
	2/15		13/4:	1	57/1	142				Government Powers	
Score Change	Rank Change							riminal ustice _{8.4}	8.5	8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4	
—	—							8.3 8.2		1.6	Absence of
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank		8.1	N	2.2	Corruption
Constraints or Government P		0.52	_	3/15	16/41	72/142	7.7		\geq		3 2.4
Absence of Co		0.48	_	3/15	15/41	62/142	7.5				3.1
Open Governr		0.53	_	5/15			Civil ^{7.4} ustice _{7.3}		2		^{3.2} Op _{3.3} Govern
			_				7.2				3.4
Fundamental I		0.67	_	1/15	4/41	41/142	7.1	6.5	V	4.2	1
Order and Sec	urity	0.82	_	3/15	2/41	36/142		6.4 6.3		4.3	
Regulatory En	forcement	0.48	-	5/15	23/41	82/142	Regula Enforce		6.1 5.3	4.5 5.2 5.1 4.8 4.7 Fundam	
Civil Justice		0.54	-	3/15	17/41	67/142				Drder and Security	its
Criminal Justic	ce	0.47	-	2/15	15/41	60/142					
ndicates statistically s	significant change at	t the								2023 Score	
, percent level	0		Low	M	ledium	High					
Montenegro 🗕	Eastern Europ	e and Ce	entral Asia	— U	lpper-Midd	lle					
Constraints on G	Government Po	wers	Ē	F	undamer	ntal Rights		ŤŤ	c	ivil Justice	∆ † ∆
			0.57	4.1				0.68	7.1		0.65
Limits by legislatu	re		0.39	N 4.2	lo discrim	ination		0.82	A 7.2	ccessibility and affordability	0.61
Limits by judiciary	/				ight to life	e and security			-	lo discrimination	
Independent audi	ting		0.62	4.3 D	ue proces	ss of law		0.55	7.3 N	lo corruption	0.53
	-		0.62	D 4.4				0.55	N 7.4		0.53
	-			D 4.4		ss of law f expression			N 7.4	lo corruption	
Sanctions for offic	cial misconduct		0.40	4.4 Fr 4.5 Fr		f expression		0.61	7.4 7.5 N		0.40
Sanctions for offic	al checks		0.40	D 4.4 4.5 Fr 4.5	reedom o	f expression f religion		0.61	7.4 7.5 7.6	lo improper gov't influence	0.40
Sanctions for offic Non-governmenta Lawful transition o	al checks		0.40	4.4 Fr 4.5 Fr 4.6 Ri 4.7	reedom o reedom o ight to pr	f expression f religion		0.61	7.4 7.5 7.6 E 7.7	lo improper gov't influence lo unreasonable delay ffective enforcement	0.40
Sanctions for offic Non-governmenta Lawful transition of Absence of Corr	al checks		0.40 0.61 0.56	U 4.4 4.5 4.5 4.6 8 4.7 Fr 4.8	reedom o reedom o ight to pr reedom o	f expression f religion ivacy f association		0.61	7.4 7.5 7.6 E 7.7	lo improper gov't influence lo unreasonable delay	0.40
Sanctions for offic Non-governmenta Lawful transition of Absence of Corr	ial misconduct al checks of power uption		0.40 0.61 0.56 \$ 0.48	U 4.4 4.5 4.5 4.6 8 4.7 Fr 4.8	reedom o reedom o ight to pr	f expression f religion ivacy f association		0.61	7.4 7.5 7.6 7.7	lo improper gov't influence lo unreasonable delay ffective enforcement	0.40 0.40 0.46 0.72
Sanctions for offic Non-governmenta Lawful transition of Absence of Corr n the executive bu	ial misconduct al checks of power uption		0.40 0.61 0.56	D 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7 4.7 4.7 5 1 4.8 4.8	reedom o reedom o ight to pr reedom o abor right	f expression f religion ivacy f association		0.61	7.4 7.5 7.6 7.7 11 8.1	lo improper gov't influence lo unreasonable delay ffective enforcement mpartial and effective ADRs	0.40 0.40 0.46 0.72
Sanctions for offic Non-governmenta Lawful transition of Absence of Corr In the executive bu	ial misconduct		0.40 0.61 0.56 \$ 0.48	D 4.4 4.5 4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.8 La 5.1	reedom o reedom o ight to pr reedom o abor right Drder anc	f expression f religion ivacy f association ts d Security		0.61 0.74 0.53 0.67 0.72	7.4 7.5 7.6 7.7 8.1 8.2	lo improper gov't influence lo unreasonable delay ffective enforcement mpartial and effective ADRs criminal Justice	0.40 0.40 0.46 0.72
Sanctions for offic Non-governmenta Lawful transition of Absence of Corr In the executive bu	ial misconduct		0.40 0.61 0.56 \$ 0.48 0.60	D 4.4 4.5 4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.8 La 5.1	reedom o reedom o ight to pr reedom o abor right	f expression f religion ivacy f association ts d Security		0.61 0.74 0.53 0.67 0.72	7.4 7.5 7.6 7.7 8.1 8.2	lo improper gov't influence lo unreasonable delay ffective enforcement mpartial and effective ADRs	0.40 0.40 0.46 0.72 <u>d</u> b 0.47
Sanctions for offic Non-governmenta Lawful transition of Absence of Corr In the executive but In the judiciary	ial misconduct		0.40 0.61 0.56 0.48 0.60 0.54	D 4.4 4.5 Fr 4.6 K 4.7 K 4.8 La 5.1 A 5.2 A	reedom o reedom o reedom o abor right Drder anc bsence of	f expression f religion ivacy f association ts d Security		0.61 0.74 0.53 0.67 0.72 0.72	7.4 7.5 7.6 7.7 8.1 8.2 8.2 8.3 8.3	lo improper gov't influence lo unreasonable delay ffective enforcement mpartial and effective ADRs criminal Justice	0.40 0.40 0.72 0.72 0.47 0.37 0.35
Sanctions for offic Non-governmenta Lawful transition of Absence of Corr In the executive but In the judiciary In the police/milita	ial misconduct		0.40 0.61 0.56 0.48 0.60 0.54 0.31	D 4.4 4.5 Fr 4.6 K 4.7 Fr 4.8 La 5.1 A 5.1 A 5.2 A 5.3	reedom o reedom o ight to pr reedom o abor right Drder anc bsence of bsence of	f expression f religion ivacy f association ts f crime		0.61 0.74 0.53 0.67 0.72	7.4 7.5 7.5 7.7 8.1 8.2 8.2 8.3 8.3 8.4	lo improper gov't influence lo unreasonable delay ffective enforcement mpartial and effective ADRs Criminal Justice	0.40 0.40 0.72 0.72 0.47 0.37
Sanctions for offic Non-governmenta Lawful transition of Absence of Corr In the executive bu In the judiciary In the police/milita	ial misconduct		0.40 0.61 0.56 0.48 0.60 0.54	D 4.4 4.5 Fr 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.7 4.8 5.1 4.8 5.1 A 5.2 A 5.3 A	reedom o reedom o ight to pr reedom o abor right Drder anc bsence of bsence of bsence of	f expression f religion ivacy f association f a		0.61 0.74 0.53 0.67 0.72 0.72 0.94 1.00 0.53	7.4 7.5 7.6 7.7 8.1 8.1 8.2 7.7 8.3 8.4 8.3 8.4 8.4 8.5	lo improper gov't influence lo unreasonable delay ffective enforcement mpartial and effective ADRs criminal Justice ffective investigations imely and effective adjudication ffective correctional system	0.40 0.40 0.72 0.72 0.47 0.37 0.35
Sanctions for offic Non-governmenta Lawful transition of Absence of Corr In the executive but In the judiciary In the police/milita In the legislature Open Governme	ial misconduct		0.40 0.61 0.56 0.48 0.60 0.54 0.31	D 4.4 4.5 Fr 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.7 4.8 4.8 5.1 A 5.1 A 5.2 A 5.3 A R	reedom o reedom o ight to pr reedom o abor right Drder and bsence of bsence of bsence of egulator	f expression f religion ivacy f association ts d Security f crime f civil conflict f violent redress ry Enforcement		0.61 0.74 0.53 0.67 0.72 0.72 0.94 1.00 0.53	7.4 7.5 7.5 7.7 8.1 8.1 8.2 T 8.3 8.4 8.4 8.5 8.4	lo improper gov't influence	0.40 0.40 0.46 0.72 3 ⁶ 0 0.47 0.37 0.35 0.73
In the executive by In the judiciary In the police/milita	ial misconduct		0.40 0.61 0.56 0.48 0.60 0.54 0.31	D 4.4 4.5 Fr 4.6 R 4.7 Fr 4.8 La 5.1 A 5.2 A 5.3 A 5.3 A 5.3 A 6.1	reedom o reedom o ight to pr reedom o abor right bsence of bsence of bsence of egulator	f expression f religion ivacy f association f a		0.61 0.74 0.53 0.67 0.72 0.72 0.94 1.00 0.53	7.4 7.5 7.6 7.7 8.1 8.2 8.2 8.3 8.3 8.4 8.4 8.5 8.6 N 8.6	lo improper gov't influence lo unreasonable delay ffective enforcement mpartial and effective ADRs criminal Justice ffective investigations imely and effective adjudication ffective correctional system	0.40 0.40 0.46 0.72 0.72 0.47 0.37 0.35 0.73 0.51 0.34
Sanctions for offic Non-governmenta Lawful transition of Absence of Corr In the executive but In the judiciary In the police/milita In the police/milita In the legislature Open Governme Publicized laws an Right to informati	ial misconduct al checks of power uption ranch ary ent ad gov't data		0.40 0.61 0.56 0.48 0.60 0.54 0.31	D 4.4 4.5 Fr 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.7 4.8 4.7 4.8 5.1 4.8 5.1 A 5.2 A 5.2 A 5.3 A 6.1 E f 6.2	reedom o reedom o ight to pr reedom o abor right Drder and bsence of bsence of bsence of bsence of bsence of ffective re	f expression		0.61 0.74 0.53 0.67 0.72 0.72 0.94 1.00 0.53	7.4 7.5 7.6 7.7 8.1 8.2 8.2 8.3 8.3 8.4 8.4 8.5 8.5 8.6 8.5	lo improper gov't influence	0.40 0.40 0.46 0.72 0.72 0.47 0.37 0.35 0.73 0.51
Sanctions for offic Non-governmenta Lawful transition of Absence of Corr In the executive built In the judiciary In the police/milita In the legislature Open Governme Publicized laws an Right to informati	ial misconduct al checks of power uption ranch ary ent d gov't data		0.40 0.61 0.56 0.48 0.60 0.54 0.31	D 4.4 4.5 Fr 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.7 4.8 4.7 4.8 5.1 4.8 5.1 4.8 5.1 4.8 5.1 4.8 5.1 4.8 5.1 4.8 5.1 4.8 5.1 4.5 5.2 4.5 5.3 4.5 5.3 4.5 5.3 4.5 5.3 4.5 5.3 5.3 6.1 5.3 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.2 6.1 6.2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	reedom o reedom o ight to pr reedom o abor right order and bsence of bsence of bsence of bsence of fective re ffective re	f expression f religion ivacy f association f a		0.61 0.74 0.53 0.67 0.72 0.72 0.94 1.00 0.53	7.4 7.5 7.6 7.7 8.1 8.2 8.2 8.3 8.3 8.4 8.4 8.5 8.5 8.6 8.5	lo improper gov't influence	0.40 0.40 0.46 0.72 0.72 0.47 0.37 0.35 0.73 0.51 0.34
Sanctions for offic Non-governmenta Lawful transition of Absence of Corr In the executive but In the judiciary In the police/milita In the legislature Open Governme Publicized laws an	ial misconduct al checks of power uption ranch ary ent d gov't data		0.40 0.61 0.56 0.48 0.60 0.54 0.31 0.47 0.47 0.46 0.57	D 4.4 4.5 Fr 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.7 4.8 4.7 4.8 5.1 4.8 5.1 4.8 5.1 4.8 5.1 4.8 5.1 4.8 5.1 4.8 5.1 4.8 5.1 4.5 5.2 4.5 5.3 4.5 5.3 4.5 5.3 4.5 5.3 4.5 5.3 5.3 6.1 5.3 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.2 6.1 6.2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	reedom o reedom o ight to pr reedom o abor right order and bsence of bsence of bsence of bsence of fective re ffective re	f expression		0.61 0.74 0.53 0.67 0.72 0.72 0.94 1.00 0.53	7.4 7.5 7.6 7.7 8.1 8.2 8.2 8.3 8.3 8.4 8.4 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5	lo improper gov't influence	0.40 0.40 0.46 0.72 0.72 0.47 0.37 0.35 0.73 0.51 0.34

0.50 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

6.5

Morocco

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Ra	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	ıl Rank
0.48	0.48 6/9		14/37		92/142	
Score Change	Rank Chan	ge				
0.00	4 •					
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraint Governme		0.51	0.00	4/9	8/37	76/142
Absence or	f Corruption	0.42	0.00	6/9	10/37	88/142
Open Gove	ernment	0.43	0.00	3/9	18/37	101/142
Fundamen	tal Rights	0.43	0.01	7/9	22/37	114/142
Order and	Security	0.69	0.01	6/9	14/37	89/142
Regulatory	y Enforcement	0.50	-0.01	4/9	5/37	66/142
Civil Justic	e	0.52	0.01	5/9	6/37	72/142
්රී Criminal Ju	ustice	0.37	0.01	6/9	16/37	95/142
* Indicates statistica 10 percent level	ally significant chang	e at the	Lov	v N	/ledium [High



10 percent level

Morocco — Middle East and North Africa — Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ó
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.56
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.50
1.3 Independent auditing	0.48
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.51
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.45
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.57
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.47
2.2 In the judiciary	0.44
2.3 In the police/military	0.46
2.4 In the legislature	0.31
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.38
3.2 Right to information	0.44
3.3 Civic participation	0.43
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.48

Fundamental Rights	_ † ‡
4.1	0.62
No discrimination	
4.2	0.34
Right to life and security	
4.3	0.39
Due process of law	
4.4	0.45
Freedom of expression	
4.5	0.40
Freedom of religion	
4.6	0.21
Right to privacy	
4.7	0.46
Freedom of association	
4.8	0.57
Labor rights	
Order and Security	f
5.1	0.66
Absence of crime	
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3	0.41
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.41
	0.41
	0.41
Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement	
Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.41
Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.54
Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	
Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence	0.54 0.55
Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	0.54
Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.54 0.55 0.45
Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4	0.54 0.55
Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.54 0.55 0.45

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Civil Justice	۵ <u>۱</u> ۵
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.51
7.2 No discrimination	0.51
7.3 No corruption	0.42
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.42
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.61
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.48
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.68
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	<mark>්රි</mark> 0.51
8.1	0
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	0.51
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.51
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 	0.51
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.51

Mozambique

Constraints on

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank
	0.38 2			14/18		128/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge				
-	0.01 -	-4 •					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.41	-0.01	20/34	10/18	109/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.35	0.00	21/34	11/18	113/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.36	0.00	25/34	13/18	122/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.39	-0.01	27/34	14/18	122/142
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.43	-0.05*	32/34	16/18	138/142
4	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.41	0.00	23/34	9/18	120/142
₽ŢĮ	Civil Justice		0.42	-0.01	25/34	11/18	116/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.31	-0.02	28/34	14/18	122/142
	ates statistically	significant chang	e at the	Lov	v N	∕ledium ∣	High



İİ

10 percent level

– Mozambique — Sub-Saharan Africa — Low

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.52
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.42
1.3 Independent auditing	0.38
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.37
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.38
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.40
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.34
2.2 In the judiciary	0.39
2.3 In the police/military	0.35
2.4 In the legislature	0.33

ŀ

0.19

0.31

0.41

0.54

Open Government

Publicized laws and gov't data

3.1

3.3

3.4

3.2

Right to information

Civic participation

Complaint mechanisms

	_ 11
4.1 No discrimination	0.55
	0.10
4.2 Right to life and security	0.19
4.3 Due process of law	0.25
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.38
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.59
4.6 Right to privacy	0.20
4.7 Freedom of association	0.44
4.8 Labor rights	0.46
Order and Security	î
5.1 Absence of crime	0.61
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.42
5.3	0.25
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.45
6.2	0.50
No improper influence	
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.43
6.4 Respect for due process	0.32
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.34
no expropriation w/out adequate compens	ลแบก

Fundamental Rights

Civil Justice	₽Ţ₹
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.44
7.2 No discrimination	0.52
7.3 No corruption	0.39
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.30
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.32
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.41
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.58
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1	0.26



Myanmar

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score Regio		Regional Ra	nal Rank		Income	Rank	Global Rank	
	0.35	0.35 14/15		33/37			135/142	
Score Change		Rank Chan	ge					
-	0.01 -	-1 •	-1 •					
			Fact Scor		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints of Government		0.2	9	-0.02	14/15	34/37	135/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.4	2	-0.01	11/15	8/37	85/142
ŀ	Open Government		0.3	0	-0.01	14/15	33/37	137/142
ţ‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.2	0	-0.02	15/15	36/37	141/142
î	Order and Se	curity	0.5	8	-0.03*	15/15	31/37	125/142
4	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.4	2	0.00	14/15	24/37	115/142
₽Ţ₹	Civil Justice		0.3	2	-0.01	14/15	34/37	138/142
00	Criminal Just	ice	0.2	5	0.00	15/15	32/37	136/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High								



10 percent level

— Myanmar — East Asia and Pacific — Lower-Middle



5.1	0.10
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.38
Right to information	
3.3	0.27
Civic participation	
3.4	0.39
Complaint mechanisms	

Fundamental Rights	†‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.38
4.2 Right to life and security	0.05
4.3 Due process of law	0.14
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.24
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.10
4.6 Right to privacy	0.07
4.7 Freedom of association	0.14
4.8 Labor rights	0.51

Order and Security	•
5.1	0.72
Absence of crime	
5.2	0.50
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3	0.52
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.47
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2	0.58
No improper influence	
6.3	0.48
No unreasonable delay	0.05
6.4 Respect for due process	0.25
6.5	0.32
No expropriation w/out adequate compen	

Civil Justice	٥Ţ٥
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.32
7.2 No discrimination	0.17
7.3 No corruption	0.30
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.16
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.41
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.35
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.55



Namibia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

	0 .		0		0				
Ov	erall Score	Regional Ran	k I	ncome R	Rank	Global	Rank		
	0.61 2/34			4/41		44/142			
Sco	re Change	Rank Change	e						Crin Jus
	0.00	2 •							545
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank		8.1
Ŵ	Constraints o Government		0.66	0.01	1/34	2/41	33/142		7.6
\$	Absence of Corruption		0.52	0.01	5/34	13/41	58/142	Ci	7.5
	Open Govern	iment	0.58	0.00	2/34	8/41	46/142	Just	7.3
ţŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.66	0.01	1/34	6/41	43/142		7.2
6	Order and Se	curity	0.75	0.00	3/34	18/41	63/142		6.5
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.58	-0.01	4/34	5/41	46/142		Regulato
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.62	0.00	3/34	5/41	39/142		Enforcem
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.55	0.00	3/34	7/41	45/142		
	ates statistically cent level	significant change a	at the	Low	М	edium 🗌	High		



🗕 Namibia 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 🛑 Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.74
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.73
1.3 Independent auditing	0.49
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.60
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.71
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.71
Absence of Corruption	<u></u>
2.1 In the executive branch	0.43
2.2 In the judiciary	0.81
2.3 In the police/military	0.55
2.4 In the legislature	0.28
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.33
3.2 Right to information	0.53
3.3 Civic participation	0.71
Complaint mechanisms	0.75

Fundamental Rights	ţ‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.54
4.2 Right to life and security	0.76
4.3 Due process of law	0.58
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.71
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.79
4.6 Right to privacy	0.61
4.7 Freedom of association	0.75
4.8 Labor rights	0.55
Order and Security	ĥ
5.1 Absence of crime	0.71
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.54
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.48
6.2 No improper influence	0.67
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.50
6.4 Respect for due process	0.62
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.64 ation

Civil Justice	٥ ١ ۵
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.42
7.2 No discrimination	0.62
7.3 No corruption	0.78
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.72
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.45
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.66
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.70
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	<mark>්රි</mark> 0.40
8.1	0.40 0.41
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.41
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 	0.41
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No discrimination 	0.41

Nepal

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score Region		Regional Ra	nal Rank		ncome	Rank	Global Rank	
	0.52	1/6	1/6		5/37		71/142	
Score Change Ra		Rank Chan	nk Change					
	0.00 0							
			Facto Scor		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.5	9	0.00	1/6	3/37	48/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.40	0	0.00	2/6	13/37	94/142
	Open Govern	iment	0.52	2	0.00	2/6	4/37	65/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.52	2	-0.01	1/6	6/37	80/142
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.73	3	0.00	1/6	7/37	70/142
4	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.4	9	-0.02	1/6	8/37	73/142
₽Ţ₫	Civil Justice		0.4	4	-0.02	1/6	21/37	107/142
ðð	Criminal Just	ice	0.4	5	0.00	1/6	4/37	68/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High								



10 percent level

Nepal
 South Asia
 Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1	0.73
Limits by legislature	
1.2	0.59
Limits by judiciary	
1.3	0.48
Independent auditing	
1.4	0.48
Sanctions for official misconduct	
1.5	0.62
Non-governmental checks	
1.6	0.64
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	*
	_ •
2.1 In the executive branch	0.46
2.2	0.37
In the judiciary	
2.3 In the police/military	0.59
	0.19
In the legislature	
	-
Open Government	·
3.1	0.31
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.54
Right to information	
3.3	0.60
Civic participation	
3.4	0.62
Complaint mechanisms	

Fundamental Rights	_ † ‡
4.1	0.51
No discrimination	
4.2 Right to life and security	0.42
4.3	0.41
Due process of law	0.41
4.4	0.62
Freedom of expression	
4.5	0.62
Freedom of religion	0.39
4.6 Right to privacy	0.39
4.7	0.64
Freedom of association	
4.8	0.54
Labor rights	
Order and Security	6
	1
5.1 Absence of crime	0.78
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3	0.40
Absence of violent redress	
Deculatory Enforcement	r Star
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.47
6.2	0.57
No improper influence	0.57
6.3	0.43
No unreasonable delay	
6.4	0.46
Respect for due process	0.55
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.50 ation
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Civil Justice	₽Ţ₽
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.43
7.2 No discrimination	0.36
7.3 No corruption	0.38
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.49
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.47
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.41
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.53
Criminal Justice	60
8.1 Effective investigations	0.51
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.56
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.45
8.4 No discrimination	0.36
8.5 No corruption	0.51

8.6	0.35
No improper gov't influence	
8.7	0.41
Due process of law	

Netherlands

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

High

0.83

0.93

Ove	erall Score	Regional Ran	k I	ncome R	Global Rank			
	0.83	7/31		7/46	5	7/142		
Sco	Score Change Rank Change							
	0.00	-2 •						
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.85	0.00	6/31	7/46	7/142	
\$	Absence of C	0.87	0.00	5/31	7/46	7/142		
	Open Govern	0.83	0.00	5/31	5/46	5/142		
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.84	-0.01	9/31	9/46	9/142	
î	Order and Se	curity	0.85	0.00	19/31	26/46	28/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.85	0.00	5/31	6/46	6/142	
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.84	0.00	3/31	3/46	3/142	
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.74	-0.01	8/31	10/46	10/142	
	ates statistically cent level	significant change a	it the	Low	Me	edium 🗌	High	

 Constraints on Bourders

 Criminal of the series of

10 percent level

Netherlands EU, EFTA, and North Ar	nerica 🗖
Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.81
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.86
1.3 Independent auditing	0.87
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.81
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.83
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.91
Absence of Corruption	Ś
2.1 In the executive branch	0.83
	0.83
In the executive branch 2.2 In the judiciary 2.3	
In the executive branch 2.2 In the judiciary 2.3 In the police/military 2.4	0.98
In the executive branch 2.2 In the judiciary 2.3 In the police/military	0.98
In the executive branch 2.2 In the judiciary 2.3 In the police/military 2.4 In the legislature Open Government	0.98 0.90 0.79
In the executive branch 2.2 In the judiciary 2.3 In the police/military 2.4 In the legislature	0.98

Right to information

Civic participation

Complaint mechanisms

3.3

Fundamental Rights	ţ‡
4.1	0.80
No discrimination	
4.2	0.93
Right to life and security	
4.3 Due process of law	0.82
4.4	0.83
Freedom of expression	0.00
4.5	0.81
Freedom of religion	
4.6	0.81
Right to privacy	
4.7 Freedom of association	0.86
	0.00
4.8 Labor rights	0.82
Order and Security	6
5.1	0.90
Absence of crime	0.90
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3	0.64
Absence of violent redress	
	-
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.77
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2	0.89
No improper influence	0.85
No unreasonable delay	0.65
6.4	0.80
Respect for due process	
6.5	0.93
No expropriation w/out adequate compen	sation

C	Civil Justice	₽Ţ₫
7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.79
7.2	Accessibility and anordability	0.84
	No discrimination	
7.3 N	No corruption	0.93
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.90
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.70
7.6		0.88
7.7	mpartial and effective ADRs	0.83
	Criminal Justice	්ට
8.1 E	Effective investigations	0.54
8.2	Fimely and effective adjudication	0.68
8.3 E	Effective correctional system	0.76
8.4	No discrimination	0.65
8.5	No corruption	0.89
8.6 N	No improper gov't influence	0.86
8.7 [Due process of law	0.82

New Zealand

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Low Medium High

Ov	Overall Score Regional Rai				ncome R	Global Rank		
	0.83 1/15				8/46	8/142		
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	e					
	0.00	-1 •						
			Fact Sco		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Ó	Constraints o Government	0.8	5	0.00	1/15	6/46	6/142	
Š	Absence of C	0.8	7	0.00 2/15		6/46	6/142	
ŀ	Open Government			2	0.00 1/15		6/46	6/142
†‡	Fundamental	0.8	2	0.00	1/15	12/46	12/142	
f	Order and Se	curity	0.8	8	0.00	4/15	21/46	22/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.8	4	-0.01	2/15	7/46	7/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.7	8	0.00	2/15	11/46	11/142
්ට	Criminal Just	ice	0.7	3	0.00	3/15	11/46	11/142

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

New Zealand East Asia and Pacific



Complaint mechanisms

Fundamental Rights	ţ‡
4.1	0.71
No discrimination	
4.2	0.93
Right to life and security	
4.3	0.78
Due process of law	0.70
4.4	0.83
Freedom of expression	
4.5	0.83
Freedom of religion	
4.6	0.84
Right to privacy	
4.7	0.84
Freedom of association	
4.8	0.76
Labor rights	
Order and Security	6
5.1 Absence of crime	0.87
· · · · ·	1.00
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
	0.70
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.78
Absence of violent redress	
Decidation - Enforcement	r#1
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.76
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2	0.94
No improper influence	
6.3	0.81
No unreasonable delay	
6.4	0.87
Respect for due process	
6.5	0.84
No expropriation w/out adequate compens	sation



Civil Justice	ΔŢδ
7.1	0.73
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2	0.71
No discrimination	
7.3	0.94
No corruption	
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.86
7.5	0.71
No unreasonable delay	0.71
7.6	0.70
Effective enforcement	
7.7	0.80
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	80
8.1	0.61
Effective investigations	
Effective investigations 8.2	0.72
	0.72
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.72
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	
 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 Contemport of the system 	
 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 	0.67
 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.67
 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 	0.67
 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 Control of the system 	0.67
 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No improper gov't influence 	0.67 0.58 0.90 0.87
 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 Control of the system 	0.67

Nicaragua

Overall Score	Regional Ra	nk	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank					
0.35	30/32		35/3	37	137	/142				Constraints on Government Powers	
core Change	Rank Chang	ge						Criminal Justice	8.5	8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4	
-0.02 •	-2 •							8.2	.3	1.5	bsence of
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank		8.1			Corruption
Constraints Government		0.23	0.00	31/32	37/37	141/142		7.6		2.3	
Absence of C	Corruption	0.30	-0.02	27/32	30/37	127/142	Civil	7.5		3	1 .2 Ope
Open Govern	nment	0.32	-0.03*	31/32	32/37	133/142	Justice	1.5		3	· ·
Fundamenta	l Rights	0.30	-0.02	32/32	33/37	136/142		7.2		3.4	
Order and Se	ecurity	0.70	-0.01	14/32	13/37	88/142		6.5 6.4		4.2	
Regulatory E	Inforcement	0.36	-0.02	30/32	33/37	134/142		6 Regulatory Enforcement	.3 6.2 6.1	5.3 co 4.7 4.6 Fundamen	ntal
Civil Justice		0.32	-0.03*	30/32	35/37	139/142		Enforcement		Order and Rights	
Criminal Jus	tice	0.25	0.00	28/32	33/37	137/142				Security	
Nicaragua	Latin America	and Carib	bbean	Lower	-Middle						
Constraints on	Government P	owers	.	F	Fundam	ental Rights		(ŧ.	Civil Justice	ΔŢΔ
_imits by legislat	ture		0.36	-	No discri	mination		0	.34 7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.46
_imits by judicia	ry.		0.30	-	Right to l	ife and secur	ty	0	.16 7.2	No discrimination	0.26
			0.08	4.3			c y	0	.23 7.3		0.30
ndependent au	Ū		0.12	4.4	-	ess of law		0	.32 7.4		0.07
Sanctions for off	ficial misconduct		0.32	_	-reedom	of expression	י 	0	.48 7.5	No improper gov't influence	0.29
Non-governmen	ital checks		0.20			of religion		0	.03 7.6	No unreasonable delay	0.34
_awful transitior	n of power			F	Right to p	orivacy				Effective enforcement	0.49
Absence of Co	rruption		\$		reedom	of associatio	n			Impartial and effective ADRs	0.49
n the executive	branch		0.35	4.8 L	_abor rig	hts		0	.49	Criminal Justice	්ර
			0.23		Order ar				8.1		0.32
n tho judician						nd Security			•		
n the judiciary			0.43	5.1					69 8.2		0.35
n the judiciary n the police/mil	itary			5.1 / 5.2	Absence	of crime		0		Timely and effective adjudication	0.35
	-		0.43	5.1 / 5.2			ct	0	.69 8.2 .00 8.3	Timely and effective adjudication	0.22
n the police/mil	2		0.43	5.1 , 5.2 , 5.3	Absence	of crime		0		Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination	0.22
n the police/mil n the legislature	e nent		0.43	5.1 5.2 5.3	Absence Absence	of crime of civil confli	lress	0	.69 8.2 .00 8.3 .40 8.4 8.5	Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption	0.22
n the police/mil n the legislature Open Governm Publicized laws a	e nent and gov't data		0.43	5.3 5.3 6.1	Absence Absence Regulate	of crime of civil confli of violent rec	lress nent	0 1 0 6	.69 8.2 .00 8.3 .40 8.4 8.5	Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption	0.22
n the police/mil n the legislature Open Governm Publicized laws a Right to informa	e nent and gov't data tion		0.43 0.18	5.2 5.3 5.3 6.1 E 6.2	Absence Absence Regulato Effective	of crime of civil confli of violent rec ory Enforce regulatory e	Iress ment	0 1 0 [[0		Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption No improper gov't influence	0.22
n the police/mil n the legislature Open Governm Publicized laws a Right to informa	e nent and gov't data tion		0.43 0.18 0.32 0.20	5.3 5.3 6.1 6.2 6.3	Absence Absence Regulato Effective No impro	of crime of civil confli- of violent rec ory Enforce regulatory e per influence	Iress ment nforcement	0 1 0 [0 : 0	.69 8.2 .00 8.3 .40 8.4 8.5 .41 8.6 .41 8.7	Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption No improper gov't influence	0.22
n the police/mil n the legislature Open Governm Publicized laws a Right to informa	e nent and gov't data tion		0.43 0.18 0.32 0.20 0.32	5.3 5.3 6.1 6.2 6.3	Absence Absence Regulato Effective No impro	of crime of civil confli- of violent rec ory Enforce regulatory e per influence	Iress ment nforcement	0 1 0 [0 : 0	.69 8.2 .00 8.3 .40 8.4 8.5 .41 8.6 .58 8.7	Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption No improper gov't influence	0.22

0.33 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Niger

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	gional Rank			Rank	Global Rank			
	0.44	17/34		7	7/18			109/142		
Sco	Score Change Rank Chan									
	0.00	2 •								
			Facto Scor			Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank		
	Constraints o Government		0.39	9 0.00	D	23/34	12/18	113/142		
\$	Absence of Corruption		0.39	9 -0.0	1	15/34	7/18	100/142		
ŀ	Open Government		0.37	7 0.00	D	23/34	11/18	120/142		
†‡	Fundamental Rights		0.47	7 -0.0	1	17/34	9/18	95/142		
6	Order and Se	curity	0.62	2 0.0	C	20/34	9/18	113/142		
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.47	7 0.00	D	11/34	3/18	85/142		
₽ŢĮ	Civil Justice		0.46	6 0.00	C	16/34	6/18	99/142		
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.35	5 0.00	C	20/34	7/18	102/142		
	ates statistically rcent level	significant change	e at the		Low	/ N	1edium [High		



ŧŧ

10 percent level

Niger – Sub-Saharan Africa – Low

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.51
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.39
1.3 Independent auditing	0.30
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.31
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.41
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.45
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.38
2.2 In the judiciary	0.46
2.3 In the police/military	0.45
2.4 In the legislature	0.26
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.21
3.2 Right to information	0.37
3.3 Civic participation	0.46
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.43

4.1 No discrimination	0.54
4.2 Right to life and security	0.39
4.3 Due process of law	0.40
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.41
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.65
4.6 Right to privacy	0.21
4.7 Freedom of association	0.55
4.8 Labor rights	0.59
Order and Security	f
5.1 Absence of crime	0.69
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.63
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.55
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.48
6.2 No improper influence	0.56
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.45
6.4 Respect for due process	0.36
6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5	0.51 ation
the station mout adequate compense	

Fundamental Rights

Civil Justice	ΔŢΔ
7.1	0.48
Accessibility and affordability 7.2	0.42
No discrimination	0.42
7.3 No corruption	0.47
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.27
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.45
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.51
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.60
Criminal Justice	ර්ව
8.1 Effective investigations	0.30
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.37
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.31
8.4 No discrimination	0.41
8.5 No corruption	0.41
8.6	0.27

8.7	
	Due process of law

No improper gov't influence

Nigeria

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ove	Overall Score Regional Rank		nk	Income Rank		Global Rank	
	0.41 23/34		24/3	37	120/142		
Score Change Rank Chan		ge					
	0.00	0					
			Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.50	0.00	14/34	13/37	85/142
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.32	0.01	23/34	27/37	121/142
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.42	0.00	14/34	19/37	104/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.42	0.00	23/34	23/37	116/142
î	Order and Se	curity	0.37	0.01	33/34	36/37	139/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.41	0.00	22/34	26/37	119/142
₽ŢŢ	Civil Justice		0.46	0.00	17/34	17/37	100/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.39	0.01	13/34	12/37	86/142
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	e at the	Lov	v	/ledium	High



Nigeria — Sub-Saharan Africa — Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	İ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.64
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.49
1.3 Independent auditing	0.47
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.42
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.48
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.46
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.30
2.2 In the judiciary	0.45
2.3 In the police/military	0.34
2.4 In the legislature	0.18
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.20
3.2 Right to information	0.38
3.3 Civic participation	0.50
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.60

Fundamental Rights	ţ‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.48
4.2 Right to life and security	0.28
4.3 Due process of law	0.34
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.48
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.49
4.6 Right to privacy	0.30
4.7 Freedom of association	0.56
4.8 Labor rights	0.45
Order and Security	6
,	1
5.1 Absence of crime	0.53
5.1	0.53
5.1 Absence of crime	
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	0.17
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.17
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.17
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	0.17
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 Absence of violent redress	0.17 0.43 0.39 0.49

	Civil Justice	ΔĪΔ
7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.57
7.2	No discrimination	0.49
7.3	No corruption	0.44
7.4		0.44
7.5		0.23
7.6	,	0.41
7.7		0.62
	Criminal Justice	60
8.1		0.42
8.1 8.2	Effective investigations	0.42 0.39
	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication	
8.2	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system	0.39
8.2 8.3	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination	0.39 0.30
8.2 8.3 8.4	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption	0.39 0.30 0.51

North Macedonia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ove	erall Score	Regional Rar	ιk	h	ncome R	ank	Global	Rank
	0.53	5/15			19/4	1	67/1	L42
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	е					
	0.00	-2 •						
			Fac	tor	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Í	Constraints o Government		0.4	46	-0.01	6/15	28/41	97/142
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.4	45	0.00	7/15	23/41	75/142
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.	50	0.00	7/15	21/41	70/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.0	60	0.01	4/15	15/41	56/142
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.8	80	0.00	6/15	6/41	43/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.4	46	-0.02	7/15	29/41	94/142
₽Ţ₫	Civil Justice		0.	52	-0.01	7/15	22/41	75/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.4	44	-0.01	7/15	20/41	72/142
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	at the		Low	M	edium	High



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

💻 North Macedonia 📒 Eastern Europe and Central Asia 💶 Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.53
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.32
1.3 Independent auditing	0.54
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.34
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.53
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.52
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.39
2.2 In the judiciary	0.49
2.3 In the police/military	0.63
2.4 In the legislature	0.29
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.49
3.2 Right to information	0.49
3.3 Civic participation	0.50
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.52

Fundamental Rights	ţţ.
4.1	0.65
No discrimination	
4.2	0.73
Right to life and security	
4.3	0.56
Due process of law	
4.4	0.53
Freedom of expression	
4.5	0.71
Freedom of religion	
4.6	0.48
Right to privacy	
4.7	0.60
Freedom of association	
4.8	0.57
Labor rights	
Order and Security	6
5.1	0.78
Absence of crime	0.70
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3	0.62
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.41
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2 No improper influence	0.50
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.46
6.4 Respect for due process	0.38
	0.54
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	
. to expropriation w/out adequate compense	

Civil Justice	∆ T ∆
7.1	0.57
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2 No discrimination	0.62
	0.07
7.3 No corruption	0.36
7.4	0.39
No improper gov't influence	
7.5	0.41
No unreasonable delay	
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.58
	0.40
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.68
Criminal Justice	්ර
	0.39
8.1 Effective investigations	0.39
8.1	0.39 0.45
8.1 Effective investigations	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 	0.45
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.45
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 	0.45
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 	0.45
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 Model 	0.45
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 	0.45 0.38 0.52 0.46
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 Model 	0.45 0.38 0.52 0.46

Norway

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	Overall Score Regional Ra		Income Rank			Global Rank		
	0.89 2/31			2/46			2/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Change						
	0.00	0						
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.92	-0.01	2/31	2/46	2/142	
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.94	0.00	2/31	2/46	2/142	
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.88	0.00	1/31	1/46	1/142	
ţ‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.91	0.00	2/31	2/46	2/142	
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.93	0.00	4/31	5/46	5/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.88	0.01	2/31	2/46	2/142	
₽ŢĮ	Civil Justice		0.86	0.02*	1/31	1/46	1/142	
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.83	-0.01	3/31	3/46	3/142	
	ates statistically cent level	significant change at	the	Low	Me	dium 🗌	High	

10 percent level

_

_	Norway	_	EU, EFTA, and North America	 High
				-

Constraints on Government Powers	Ó
1.1	0.94
Limits by legislature	
1.2	0.95
Limits by judiciary	
1.3	0.83
Independent auditing	
1.4	0.88
Sanctions for official misconduct	
1.5	0.95
Non-governmental checks	
1.6	0.97
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1	0.92
In the executive branch	0.72
2.2	0.97
In the judiciary	
2.3	0.96
In the police/military	
2.4	0.89
In the legislature	
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.88
-	
3.2 Right to information	0.86
-	0.00
3.3 Civic participation	0.92
entre participation	

0.85

Fundamental Rights	† ‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.83
4.2 Right to life and security	0.96
4.3 Due process of law	0.89
4.4	0.95
Freedom of expression 4.5	0.87
Freedom of religion	
4.6 Right to privacy	0.90
4.7 Freedom of association	0.96
4.8 Labor rights	0.90
Labor rights	
Order and Security	f
5.1 Absence of crime	0.96
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	0.82
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.83
6.2	0.99
No improper influence	0.81
No unreasonable delay	:
6.4 Respect for due process	0.86
6.5	0.90

	0.70
No expropriation w/out adequate compe	ensation



Civil Justice	ΔĪΔ
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.72
7.2 No discrimination	0.77
7.3 No corruption	0.96
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.94
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.81
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.93
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.92
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	0.62
8.1	
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Image: Additional Additional Addition 	0.62
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 	0.62
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.62
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 	0.62

3.4

Complaint mechanisms

Pakistan

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Rank			Income	Rank	Global Rank		
0.38		5/6			29/3	37	130/142		
Score Change		Rank Change							
-0.01 -		1 •							
			Fact Sco		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.4	7	-0.01	4/6	17/37	95/142	
\$	Absence of Corruption		0.3	1	0.00	5/6	28/37	123/142	
ŀ	Open Government		0.4	1	-0.01	4/6	20/37	105/142	
†‡	Fundamental Rights		0.3	8	0.00	4/6	28/37	125/142	
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.3	3	-0.03*	5/6	37/37	141/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.3	8	0.00	5/6	31/37	128/142	
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.3	8	-0.01	4/6	30/37	129/142	
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.3	6	0.00	4/6	19/37	99/142	
* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level Low Medium High									

 Constraints on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 Similar on Bourses

 <

†‡

10 percent level

🗕 Pakistan 🛑 South Asia 🛑 Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	ń
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.56
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.47
1.3 Independent auditing	0.45
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.33
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.52
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.49
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.36
2.2 In the judiciary	0.39
2.3 In the police/military	0.29
2.4 In the legislature	0.22
Open Government	ŀ
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.26
3.2 Right to information	0.35
3.3 Civic participation	0.52
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.51

i unuamentar tignes	. 14
4.1 No discrimination	0.37
4.2 Right to life and security	0.30
4.3 Due process of law	0.34
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.52
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.39
4.6 Right to privacy	0.22
4.7 Freedom of association	0.54
4.8 Labor rights	0.34
Order and Security	6
5.1 Absence of crime	0.58
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.10
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.30
Regulatory Enforcement	Ê
6.1	0.40
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2 No improper influence	0.48
6.2	0.48 0.33
6.2 No improper influence	
6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4	0.33

Fundamental Rights

Civil Justice	∆ <u>T</u> ∆
7.1	0.38
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2	0.35
No discrimination	
7.3	0.38
No corruption	
7.4	0.43
No improper gov't influence	
7.5	0.28
No unreasonable delay	
7.6	0.30
Effective enforcement	
7.7	0.54
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1	0.32
Effective investigations	0.52
	0.47
8.2 Timely and affective adjudication	0.41
Timely and effective adjudication	
8.3	0.35

8.3 0.35 Effective correctional system 0.26 8.4 0.26 No discrimination 0.40 8.5 0.40 No corruption 0.44 No improper gov't influence 0.34

Due process of law

Panama

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

			Rank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank	
	0.51	15/32	2	46/4	16	74/	142	Constraints on Government Powers
Sco	ore Change	Rank Cha	nge					Criminal 8.6 8.7 ^{1.1} 1.2 1.3 Justice 8.5 1.4
	0.00	0						8.4 8.3
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 7.7 2.1 Absence of 2.2 Corruption 2.3
	Constraints on Government P		0.53	0.00	15/32	44/46	67/142	7.5
Š	Absence of Co	rruption	0.40	0.00	19/32	46/46	98/142	7.5 Civil 7.4
	Open Governn	nent	0.56	0.00	10/32	38/46	53/142	Justice 7.3 Governmen
ţŧ	Fundamental F	Rights	0.62	0.00	12/32	40/46	49/142	7.2 7.1 4.1
f	Order and Sec	urity	0.70	0.00	12/32	44/46	84/142	6.5 6.4 4.3
4	Regulatory En	forcement	0.49	-0.01	14/32	44/46	68/142	Regulatory 6.1 5.3 4.7 4.6 Fundamental
₽Ţ₹	Civil Justice		0.46	-0.01	21/32	45/46	95/142	Order and Rights
80	Criminal Justic	ce	0.34	0.00	18/32	45/46	106/142	Security
	cates statistically s	ignificant chan	nge at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High	- 2023 Score 2022 Score
10 pe	Panama	Latin America	and Caribb	,	High		High	
10 pe	rcent level	Latin America	and Caribb	ean	High F		High	s Civil Justice
10 pe	Panama	Latin America	and Caribb	ean 💻	High F 4.1		ental Rights	
10 pe Co 1.1 Lin 1.2	Panama	Latin America Government	and Caribb	ean	High 4.1	Fundame No discrir	ental Rights	s Civil Justice
10 pe Co 1.1 Lin 1.2 Lin 1.3	Panama anticological de la constraints on G	Latin America Sovernment	and Caribb	ean	High 4.1 4.2 R 4.3	Fundame No discrir Right to li	ental Rights	s Civil Justice
10 pe Cc 1.1 Lin 1.2 Lin 1.3 Inc 1.4	Panama onstraints on G nits by legislatur nits by judiciary	Latin America Government re	and Caribb t Powers	ean	High 4.1 4.2 R 4.3 C 4.4	Fundame No discrir Right to li Due proce	ental Right: nination fe and secur	S Civil Justice 0.49 7.1 Accessibility and affordability 0.73 7.2 0.57 Accessibility and affordability 0.73 7.2 0.52 0.52 0.52 0.52 0.52 0.52 0.52 0.
10 pe Ca 1.1 Lin 1.2 Lin 1.3 Inc 1.4 San 1.5	rcent level Panama Panama Ponstraints on G nits by legislatur nits by judiciary lependent audit nctions for offic	Latin America Sovernment re ting ial miscondu	and Caribb t Powers	ean	High 4.1 4.2 6 4.3 6 4.4 7 4.4 7 4.5	Fundame No discrir Right to li Due proce	ental Rights mination fe and secur ess of law of expressio	S Civil Justice I 0.49 7.1 0.57 Accessibility and affordability 0.57 No discrimination 0.52 0.49 7.3 0.52 No corruption 0.46 No improper gov't influence 0.41 No 66 7.5 0.23
10 pe Cc 1.1 Lin 1.2 Lin 1.3 Lin 1.4 Sai 1.5 Nc 1.6 Lin	Panama panama onstraints on G nits by legislatur nits by judiciary dependent audit nections for offic on-governmenta	Latin America Sovernment re ting ial miscondu al checks	and Caribb t Powers	ean	High 4.1 4.2 7 4.3 7 4.4 7 4.4 7 4.5 7 7 4.6	Fundame No discrir Right to li Due proce Freedom	ental Rights mination fe and secur ess of law of expressio of religion	S Civil Justice Image: Civil Justice 0.49 7.1 0.57 Accessibility and affordability 0.57 Accessibility and affordability 0.52 No discrimination 0.52 0.49 7.3 No corruption 0.46 0.66 7.4 0.41 No improper gov't influence 0.23 No unreasonable delay 0.46
10 pe Cc 1.1 Lin 1.2 Lin 1.3 Inc 1.4 San 1.5 Nc 1.6 Lav	rcent level Panama Panama Ponstraints on G nits by legislatur nits by judiciary lependent audit nctions for offic	Latin America Sovernment re ting ial miscondu al checks	and Caribb t Powers	ean	High 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.3 5 6 4.4 6 4.5 6 7 4.5 7 7 8 4.5 7 8 4.5 7 8 4.5 8 8 4.5 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	Fundame No discrir Right to li Due proce Freedom Right to p	ental Rights mination fe and secur ess of law of expressio of religion	S Civil Justice I 0.49 7.1 0.57 Accessibility and affordability 0.57 0.49 7.2 0.52 No discrimination 0.52 0.49 7.3 0.52 No discrimination 0.46 0.66 7.4 0.41 No improper gov't influence 0.41 No unreasonable delay 0.23 0.60 7.6 0.46 Effective enforcement 0.46







7.2 No discrimination	0.52
7.3 No corruption	0.46
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.41
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.23
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.46
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.62
Criminal Justice	84
Criminal Justice	_00
8.1 Effective investigations	0.32
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.34
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.17
8.4 No discrimination	0.34
8.5 No corruption	0.47
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.27
8.7	0.49
Due process of law	

Paraguay

Constraints on Government Powers

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Rank		l	ncome	Rank	Global Rank	
0.46		24/32			34/4	11	99/142	
Score Change		Rank Change						
-0.01 -		-1 •						
			Facto Scor		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.50	0	0.01	20/32	22/41	80/142
\$	Absence of Corruption		0.2	9	-0.02	28/32	38/41	131/142
	Open Government		0.5	6	0.00	9/32	13/41	52/142
†‡	Fundamental Rights		0.5	1	-0.01	23/32	28/41	83/142
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.70	0	0.00	11/32	25/41	81/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.4	6	-0.01	22/32	26/41	91/142
₽Ţ₹	Civil Justice		0.42	2	0.00	24/32	35/41	117/142
60	Criminal Justice			6	-0.01	25/32	39/41	131/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High								

Criminal Justice Absence of Corruption Civil Open Justice Government Regulatory Fundamental Rights Enforcement Order and Security 2023 Score _____ 2022 Score

10 percent level

 Paraguay
 Latin America and Caribbean Upper-Middle



Fundamental Rights	ţ‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.42
4.2 Right to life and security	0.54
4.3 Due process of law	0.39
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.62
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.67
4.6 Right to privacy	0.29
4.7 Freedom of association	0.65
4.8 Labor rights	0.47
Order and Security	ĥ
5.1 Absence of crime	0.65
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.45
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.45
6.2 No improper influence	0.55
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.41
6.4 Respect for due process	0.38
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.52 ation

Civil Justice	₽Ţ₽
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.55
7.2 No discrimination	0.38
7.3 No corruption	0.32
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.41
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.23
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.41
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.62
Criminal Justice	60
8.1 Effective investigations	0.28
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.19

	Effective investigations	
8.2		0.19
	Timely and effective adjudication	
8.3		0.05
	Effective correctional system	
8.4		0.40
	No discrimination	
8.5		0.23
	No corruption	
8.6		0.29
	No improper gov't influence	
8.7		0.39
	Due process of law	

Peru

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional R	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank	
	0.49	21/32	2	28/4	11	88/	142	Constraints on Government Powers
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chan	nge					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3
	0.00	4 •						8.4 8.3 1.6
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	a.1 0.1 2.1 Absence of 2.2 Corruption
Ó	Constraints of Government		0.59	0.00	10/32	7/41	49/142	7.7 7.6 2.3 2.4
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.33	0.00	25/32	37/41	116/142	7.5 Civil 7.4 0 0 3.1 3.2 Open
	Open Govern	ıment	0.54	0.00	13/32	15/41	58/142	Justice 7,3 72
ţŧ	Fundamenta	l Rights	0.60	-0.01	15/32	16/41	57/142	7.1 4.1
6	Order and Se	curity	0.62	0.00	23/32	33/41	115/142	6.5 6.4 4.2 4.3
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.48	0.00	19/32	22/41	81/142	6.3 Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 5.3 6.4 4.4 4.4 Fundamental
₽Ţ₹	Civil Justice		0.41	0.00	25/32	37/41	121/142	Enforcement 5.3 5.2 5.1 4.8 4.7 Rights Order and Security
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.33	0.01	20/32	33/41	112/142	Security
	cates statistically rcent level	/ significant chang	ge at the	Low	v ľ	Medium	High	- 2023 Score 2022 Score
_	Peru 💻 Li	atin America and	Caribbea	n 🗕 U	Ipper-Mido	lle		
Co	nstraints on	Government	Powers	5	F	Fundame	ental Righ	Civil Justice

Constraints on Government Fowers	
1.1	0.68
Limits by legislature	
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.52
	0.57
Independent auditing	0.57
1.4	0.42
Sanctions for official misconduct	
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.64
1.6	0.67
Lawful transition of power	0.07
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1	0.37
In the executive branch	
2.2 In the judiciary	0.46
2.3	0.40
In the police/military	0.10
2.4	0.10
In the legislature	
On an Communit	
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.37
3.2	0.60
Right to information	0.00
3.3	0.60
Civic participation	
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.59
Complaint mechanisms	

Fundamental Rights	ţ‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.45
4.2 Right to life and security	0.71
4.3 Due process of law	0.41
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.64
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.71
4.6 Right to privacy	0.68
4.7 Freedom of association	0.70
4.8 Labor rights	0.51
Order and Security	î
5.1 Absence of crime	0.54
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.31
Regulatory Enforcement	
	0.50
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.52
	0.52
Effective regulatory enforcement	
Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	0.55

Civil Justice	₽Ţ₹
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.44
7.2 No discrimination	0.36
7.3 No corruption	0.41
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.48
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.15
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.38
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.65
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	<mark>්රි</mark> 0.27
8.1	0.27 0.26
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective adjudication	0.26
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 Effective adjudication 	0.26
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.26

Philippines

Constraints on

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Rank		Ir	ncome	Rank	Global Rank	
0.46		13/15			16/3	37	100/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge					
-	0.01 -	-1 -						
			Facto Scor		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints of Government		0.4	7	-0.01	10/15	15/37	93/142
\$	Absence of C	0.43	3	-0.01	10/15	7/37	83/142	
ŀ	Open Govern	0.4	7	-0.02	10/15	11/37	79/142	
†‡	Fundamental Rights		0.40	0	0.00	12/15	25/37	120/142
î	Order and Se	curity	0.6	7	0.01	13/15	17/37	94/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.4	7	0.00	11/15	14/37	84/142
₽Ţ₹	Civil Justice		0.4	5	0.00	12/15	18/37	103/142
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.3	1	-0.02	13/15	27/37	120/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High								



..

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

 Philippines
 East Asia and Pacific Lower-Middle



Fundamental Rights	_ 17
4.1 No discrimination	0.45
4.2 Right to life and security	0.18
4.3 Due process of law	0.27
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.50
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.58
4.6 Right to privacy	0.22
4.7 Freedom of association	0.49
4.8 Labor rights	0.48
Order and Security	G
5.1 Absence of crime	0.69
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.83
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.51
Regulatory Enforcement	4
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.49
6.2 No improper influence	0.59
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.37
6.4 Respect for due process	0.41
6.5 A composition w/out adequate compens	0.50 ation

Fundamental Rights

Civil Justice	₹ T ₹
7.1	0.52
Accessibility and affordability 7.2	0.46
No discrimination 7.3	0.51
No corruption	0.33
No improper gov't influence	0.34
No unreasonable delay	0.54
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.37
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.62
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.41
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.32
83	0.19



Due process of law

Poland

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score Regional Rank Income Rank		Global Rank					
	0.64	26/31 35/46		36/142			
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge				
	0.00	0					
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
İ	Constraints o Government		0.53	-0.01	29/31	43/46	66/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.72	0.00	18/31	25/46	25/142
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.58	-0.02	29/31	37/46	44/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.61	0.00	30/31	41/46	54/142
f	Order and Se	curity	0.86	0.00	18/31	25/46	26/142
Ê	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.63	-0.01	23/31	33/46	34/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.61	0.00	24/31	36/46	45/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.58	0.00	24/31	34/46	37/142
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	e at the	Low	M	edium	High

Poland 🛛 EU, EFTA, and North America 🛁 High



0.68

Fundamental Rights	ţţ
4.1 No discrimination	0.60
4.2 Right to life and security	0.71
4.3 Due process of law	0.62
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.58
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.54
4.6 Right to privacy	0.52
4.7 Freedom of association	0.63
4.8 Labor rights	0.66
Order and Security	6
5.1	0.94
Absence of crime	
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.63
Regulatory Enforcement	1
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.65
6.2	0.88
No improper influence 6.3	0.49
No unreasonable delay	0.49
Respect for due process	0.63
No expropriation w/out adequate compens	

Civil Justice	ΔŢ	į
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.64	1
7.2 No discrimination	0.72	L
7.3 No corruption	0.78	3
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.47	7
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.34	1
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.52	2
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.80)
·		
Criminal Justice	d ^c)
8.1 Effective investigations	0.53	L
8.2 Timely and effective adjudicat	0.54 ion	1
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.57	7
8.4 No discrimination	0.58	3
8.5 No corruption	0.80)
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.42	2
No improper gov crimiterice		
8.7 Due process of law	0.62	2

3.4

Civic participation

Complaint mechanisms
Portugal

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	verall ScoreRegional Rank0.6821/31		k	Income R	Global Rank			
				28/4	6	28/142		
Sco	re Change	Rank Change	9					
-	0.01 -	-1 •						
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.76	-0.01	15/31	18/46	19/142	
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.71	-0.01	19/31	26/46	26/142	
	Open Govern	ment	0.64	-0.01	22/31	29/46	30/142	
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.76	0.00	18/31	22/46	23/142	
f	Order and Se	curity	0.78	0.00	28/31	38/46	49/142	
4	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.60	-0.01	25/31	38/46	42/142	
₽Ţ₹	Civil Justice		0.65	-0.01	20/31	30/46	32/142	
80	Criminal Just	ice	0.56	-0.01	26/31	37/46	41/142	
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High								



10 percent level

 Portugal
 EU, EFTA, and North America High



	Fundamental Rights	†‡
4.1	No discrimination	0.63
4.2		0.90
4.3		0.63
4.4	Due process of law	0.79
45	Freedom of expression	0.02
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.82
4.6	Right to privacy	0.81
4.7	Freedom of association	0.85
4.8	Labor rights	0.65
	Order and Security	6
5.1		0.91
5.1 5.2	Absence of crime	0.91 1.00
	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict	
5.2	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.2	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement	1.00 0.45
5.2	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement Effective regulatory enforcement	1.00 0.45
5.2 5.3 6.1	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement Effective regulatory enforcement No improper influence	1.00 0.45 1.00 0.45
5.2 5.3 6.1 6.2	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement Effective regulatory enforcement No improper influence No unreasonable delay	1.00 0.45 0.62 0.80

0.64

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

6.5

Civil Justice	₽Ţ₽
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.65
7.2 No discrimination	0.72
7.3 No corruption	0.77
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.70
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.43
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.51
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.74
Criminal Justice	SO.
Criminal Justice	0.47
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	<mark>්රි</mark> 0.47
8.1	0.47 0.41
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.41
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.41
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 	0.41

Romania

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	nk	Income F	Rank	Global	Rank	
	0.63	27/31		39/4	6	40/:	142	Constraints on Government
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	ge					Powers Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3 Justice 8.5 1.4 1.4
	0.00	-2 •						8.4 8.3 1.5 1.6
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 7.7 2.3 2.1 Absence of 2.2 Corruption 2.3
Ĺ	Constraints o Government		0.61	0.00	27/31	37/46	43/142	7.6
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.56	0.01	27/31	41/46	52/142	75 Civil 74
ŀ	Open Govern	iment	0.63	0.00	25/31	32/46	33/142	Justice 7.3 Governme
ţţ	Fundamental	l Rights	0.67	0.00	27/31	36/46	39/142	7.2 7.1 4.1
G	Order and Se	curity	0.83	0.00	23/31	31/46	34/142	6.5 6.4 4.2 4.3
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.59	0.00	27/31	40/46	44/142	Regulatory Enforcement 5.3 co 4.7 4.6 Fundamental
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.63	-0.02	21/31	31/46	35/142	Order and Rights
්ට	Criminal Just	ice	0.52	0.00	27/31	40/46	52/142	Security
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	at the	Low	M	edium	High	— 2023 Score — 2022 Score





	Fundamental Rights	ţŧ
4.1	No discrimination	0.70
4.2	Right to life and security	0.80
4.3		0.58
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.67
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.71
4.6	Right to privacy	0.55
4.7	Freedom of association	0.67
4.8	Labor rights	0.69
	Order and Security	ĥ
5.1	-	0.92
5.1 5.2	Absence of crime	0.92 1.00
	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict	
5.2	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.2	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement	1.00 0.57
5.2	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement Effective regulatory enforcement	1.00 0.57
5.2 5.3 6.1	Absence of crime Absence of civil conflict Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement Effective regulatory enforcement No improper influence	1.00 0.57 () 0.59

6.5

0.70

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation



Russian Federation

Regional Rank

Overall Score

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Global Rank

Income Rank

00	erall Score	i Score Regional Rank		Income	капк	Global Kank		
	0.44 14/15		37/4	41	113/142			
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chan	ge					
-	-0.01 -	-4 •						
			Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints of Government		0.32	-0.01	13/15	36/41	132/142	
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.41	0.00	11/15	30/41	92/142	
ŀ	Open Goverr	nment	0.45	-0.02	11/15	30/41	89/142	
†‡	Fundamenta	l Rights	0.38	-0.02	13/15	37/41	124/142	
6	Order and Se	curity	0.66	-0.02	14/15	27/41	101/142	
4	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.46	0.00	6/15	27/41	92/142	
₽Ţ₹	Civil Justice		0.51	0.00	8/15	23/41	78/142	
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.29	-0.01	15/15	38/41	127/142	
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High								



Civil Justice

7.1

İİ

0.56

0.31

0.36

10 percent level

Russian Federation — Eastern Europe and Central Asia — Upper-Middle



		No disci minación	
	0.31	4.2 Right to life and security	0.34
	0.32	4.3 Due process of law	0.34
	0.01	4.4	0.01
	0.31	Freedom of expression	0.31
	0.31	4.5	0.45
		Freedom of religion	
	0.34	4.6	0.15
		Right to privacy	
		4.7	0.33
	Š	Freedom of association	
-	. 🕐	4.8	0.58
	0.40	Labor rights	0.00
		Eabor rights	
	0.52		
	0.02	Order and Security	6
	0.52	5.1	0.78
		Absence of crime	
	0.22	5.2	0.85
		Absence of civil conflict	
		5.3	0.35
		Absence of violent redress	0.00
		Absence of Molenci curess	
	0.56		
		Regulatory Enforcement	
	0.07		0.54
	0.37	6.1	0.54
		Effective regulatory enforcement	
	0.31	6.2	0.53
		No improper influence	
	0.57	6.3	0.57
		No unreasonable delay	
		,	0.04

Respect for due process

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Fundamental Rights

No discrimination

4.1

Accessibility and affordability	
7.2 No discrimination	0.48
7.3	0.48
No corruption	
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.25
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.74
7.6	0.47
Effective enforcement	
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.50
Criminal Justice	84
Criminal Justice	_00
8.1	0.19
8.1 Effective investigations	
8.1	0.19
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective adjudication 	
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 	0.34
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective adjudication 	0.34
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 4 	0.34
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.34 0.32 0.33

∆ Į

0.62

3					
	Civic participa	ation			
1					

6.4

6.5

Publicized laws and gov't data

Open Government

Right to information

Complaint mechanisms

3.1

3.2

3.3

3.4

WJP Rule of Law Index 2023 147

Rwanda

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ran	k I	ncome R	lank	Global Rank		
	0.63 1/34			1/18	3	41/142		
Sco	core Change Rank Change		9					
	0.00	1 •						
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.60	-0.01	5/34	1/18	45/142	
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.68	0.01	1/34	1/18	32/142	
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.57	0.01	3/34	1/18	51/142	
ţ‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.52	0.00	9/34	3/18	76/142	
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.85	0.00	1/34	1/18	27/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.60	0.00	2/34	1/18	41/142	
₽ŢĮ	Civil Justice		0.65	-0.02	1/34	1/18	31/142	
00	Criminal Just	ice	0.56	0.01	2/34	1/18	42/142	
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High								



10 percent level

🗕 Rwanda 👥 Sub-Saharan Africa 💶 Low

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1	0.53
Limits by legislature	
1.2	0.55
Limits by judiciary	
1.3	0.65
Independent auditing	
1.4	0.76
Sanctions for official misconduct	
1.5	0.45
Non-governmental checks	
1.6	0.67
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	
	•
2.1 In the executive branch	0.74
	0.66
In the judiciary	
2.3	0.70
In the police/military	
2.4	0.60
In the legislature	
	-
Open Government	·
3.1	0.56
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.61
Right to information	
3.3	0.52
Civic participation	
3.4	0.58
Complaint mechanisms	

Complaint mechanisms

Fundamental Rights	ţţ.
4.1 No discrimination	0.69
4.2 Right to life and security	0.40
4.3 Due process of law	0.53
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.45
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.56
4.6 Right to privacy	0.32
4.7 Freedom of association	0.56
4.8 Labor rights	0.67
Order and Security	6
5.1 Absence of crime	0.73
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.81
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.59
6.2 No improper influence	0.69
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.51
6.4 Respect for due process	0.52
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensa	0.71 ation

	Civil Justice	₽Ţ₽
7.1		0.65
	Accessibility and affordability	
7.2		0.71
	No discrimination	
7.3		0.54
	No corruption	
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.60
		0.74
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.71
7.6	,	0.69
7.0	Effective enforcement	0.07
7.7		0.62
	Impartial and effective ADRs	
	Criminal Justice	60
8.1		0.48
8.1		0.48
8.1	Effective investigations	0.48 0.56
	Effective investigations	
	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication	
8.2	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication	0.56
8.2	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system	0.56
8.2 8.3	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system	0.56 0.49
8.2 8.3	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination	0.56 0.49
8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption	0.56 0.49 0.77 0.71
8.2 8.3 8.4	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption	0.56 0.49 0.77
8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5 8.6	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption No improper gov't influence	0.56 0.49 0.77 0.71 0.40
8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption No improper gov't influence	0.56 0.49 0.77 0.71

Senegal

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Ranl	< I	Income Rank			Global Rank	
0.55		6/34		1/37	7	60/142		
Sco	re Change	Rank Change						
-	0.01 -	-2 •						
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints of Government		0.55	-0.02	9/34	5/37	63/142	
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.55	0.00	4/34	1/37	54/142	
ŀ	Open Govern	iment	0.44	0.00	13/34	15/37	98/142	
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.59	-0.01	4/34	2/37	60/142	
f	Order and Se	curity	0.70	0.00	9/34	11/37	82/142	
4	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.56	-0.01	5/34	2/37	49/142	
₽ŢĮ	Civil Justice		0.56	0.00	8/34	2/37	57/142	
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.47	-0.01	7/34	2/37	64/142	
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High								



10 percent level

🗕 Senegal 📒 Sub-Saharan Africa 💶 Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ó
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.54
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.45
1.3 Independent auditing	0.50
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.51
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.60
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.68
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.48
2.2 In the judiciary	0.61
2.3 In the police/military	0.69
2.4 In the legislature	0.44
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.35
3.2 Right to information	0.47
3.3 Civic participation	0.59
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.34

Fundamental Rights	_ †‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.68
4.2 Right to life and security	0.56
4.3 Due process of law	0.47
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.60
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.75
4.6 Right to privacy	0.36
4.7 Freedom of association	0.68
4.8 Labor rights	0.67
Order and Security	ĥ
5.1 Absence of crime	0.67
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.44
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.56
6.2 No improper influence	0.67
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.45
6.4 Respect for due process	0.52
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.63 ation

Civil Justice	ΔŢΔ
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.55
7.2	0.64
No discrimination	
7.3 No corruption	0.53
7.4	0.41
No improper gov't influence	
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.56
7.6	0.58
Effective enforcement	
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.67
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.55
	0.50
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.50
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.27
8.4	0.57
No discrimination	
8.5 No corruption	0.60
	0.20
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.30
8.7	0.47

Due process of law

Serbia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

	0,				0	'		
Overall Score		Regional Ra	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank	
0.48		11/15		30/4	41	93/		
Score Change		Rank Chan	ge					
	-0.01 -	-8 🕶						
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.35	-0.02	12/15	35/41	127/142	
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.42	-0.01	10/15	29/41	91/142	Civ
	Open Govern	iment	0.45	0.00	12/15	31/41	90/142	Just
ţţ	Fundamental	Rights	0.55	0.00	9/15	23/41	72/142	
f	Order and Se	curity	0.76	-0.01	11/15	15/41	57/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.46	0.00	9/15	32/41	97/142	
₽Ţ₹	Civil Justice		0.47	-0.02	12/15	30/41	92/142	
රීට	Criminal Just	ice	0.39	-0.01	10/15	28/41	90/142	
	cates statistically rcent level	significant chang	e at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High	
	Serbia 📃	Eastern Europe a	nd Centra	al Asia 🗖	Upper-	Middle		



Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.36
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.32
1.3 Independent auditing	0.37
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.23
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.44
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.41
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.40
2.2 In the judiciary	0.47
2.3 In the police/military	0.55
2.4 In the legislature	0.24
Open Government	

3.1	0.53
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.46
Right to information	
3.3	0.44
Civic participation	
3.4	0.38
Complaint mechanisms	

Fundamental Rights	ţ‡
4.1	0.62
No discrimination 4.2	0.58
Right to life and security	
4.3 Due process of law	0.51
4.4	0.44
Freedom of expression 4.5	0.67
Freedom of religion	
4.6 Right to privacy	0.41
4.7	0.55
Freedom of association 4.8	0.63
Labor rights	
Order and Security	6
5.1	0.88
Absence of crime	
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	0.41
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	Ê
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.47
6.2	0.52
No improper influence	
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.40
6.4	0.37
Respect for due process	0.52
No expropriation w/out adequate compense	

Civil Justice	ΔŢΔ
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.57
7.2 No discrimination	0.66
7.3 No corruption	0.44
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.33
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.20
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.51
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.61
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.39
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.41
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.45
8.4 No discrimination	0.36
8.5 No corruption	0.42

8.6	0.16
No improper gov't infl	uence
8.7	0.51
Due process of law	

Sierra Leone

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Ra	nk	nk Income Rank		Rank	Global Rank	
0.44		18/34		8/18		8	110/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	ge					
-0.01 •		-3 🔻						
			Facto Scor		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints of Government		0.48	3	-0.02	16/34	6/18	92/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.36	5	0.00	18/34	9/18	110/142
	Open Government		0.39	9	0.00	19/34	9/18	114/142
ţŧ	Fundamental Rights		0.48	3	-0.02	15/34	8/18	92/142
î	Order and Se	curity	0.67	7	0.00	17/34	8/18	98/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.37	7	-0.01	28/34	13/18	130/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.43	3	-0.01	23/34	9/18	114/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.35	5	-0.01	21/34	8/18	103/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High								

Sierra Leone 🗾 Sub-Saharan Africa 🔜 Low

Constraints on Government Powers	Ó
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.56
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.40
1.3 Independent auditing	0.45
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.34
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.53
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.57
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.35
2.2 In the judiciary	0.36
2.3 In the police/military	0.35
2.4 In the legislature	0.36
Open Government	ŀ
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.10
3.2 Right to information	0.42
3.3 Civic participation	0.53
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.49

Fundamental Rights	. † ‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.47
4.2 Right to life and security	0.39
4.3 Due process of law	0.40
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.53
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.74
4.6 Right to privacy	0.32
4.7 Freedom of association	0.56
4.8 Labor rights	0.47
Order and Security	f
5.1 Absence of crime	0.60
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.39
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.38
6.2 No improper influence	0.38
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.34
6.4 Respect for due process	0.39
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.38 ation



Civil Justice	ΔŢΔ
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.47
7.2 No discrimination	0.49
7.3 No corruption	0.33
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.26
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.41
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.52
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.51
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.44
8.1	0.44 0.31
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective adjudication 	0.31
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 Effective adjudication 	0.31
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.31

Singapore

Absence of Corruption

Constraints on Government Powers

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

0	erall Score Regional R		nk	Income F	Rank	Global Rank		
	0.78		17/4	6	17/142			
Sc	ore Change	ge						
	0.00							
			Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
Ó	Constraints o Government		0.67	0.00	5/15	30/46	31/142	
\$	Absence of Co	orruption	0.91	0.00	1/15	3/46	3/142	
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.61	-0.01	6/15	33/46	35/142	
ţţ	Fundamental	Rights	0.67	-0.01	5/15	37/46	40/142	
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.93	0.00	1/15	3/46	3/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.86	0.01	1/15	5/46	5/142	
ΔŢΔ	Civil Justice		0.79	0.00	1/15	9/46	9/142	
80	Criminal Just	ice	0.77	0.00	1/15	7/46	7/142	
	icates statistically ercent level	significant change	at the	Low	M	edium 🗌	High	

Criminal Justice

**

10 percent level

Singapore East Asia and Pacific High



	In the Judiciary			
2.3				0.94
	In the police/military	1		
2.4				0.88
	In the legislature			



Fundamental Rights	_ †‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.81
4.2	0.73
Right to life and security	
4.3 Due process of law	0.75
4.4	0.47
Freedom of expression	
4.5	0.79
Freedom of religion	
4.6 Right to privacy	0.55
4.7	0.47
Freedom of association	0.17
4.8	0.75
Labor rights	
Order and Security	_
5.1	0.98
Absence of crime	
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.82
Absence of violent rearess	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1	0.82
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2 No improper influence	0.94
6.3	0.90
No unreasonable delay	
6.4	0.87
Respect for due process	
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensition	0.75
no expropriation w/out adequate compens	sation

dama and al Dialata

Civil Justice	₫ <u></u> ₫
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.62
7.2 No discrimination	0.80
7.3 No corruption	0.89
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.69
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.87
7.6 Fffective enforcement	0.90
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.75
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.83
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.74
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.87
8.4 No discrimination	0.76
8.5 No corruption	0.91
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.55
8.7 Due process of law	0.75

Slovak Republic

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rar		ncome R	AT IK	Global	RANK				Constraints on		
0.66 25/31		33/4	33/46		34/142				Government Powers			
core Change	Rank Change	e						Criminal Justice	8.5	.6 8.7 ^{1.1} 1.2 1.3 1.4		
0.00	1-							8.4 8.3 8.2		1.5	2.1 Absen	
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank		8.1	\backslash	0,5	2.1 Absen 2.2 Corru	
Constraints Governmen		0.67	0.00	22/31	28/46	29/142	7.6	.7		444	2.3 2.4	
Absence of		0.53	0.02	29/31	43/46	56/142	7.5		5		3.1	
Open Gover	nment	0.69	0.00	19/31	26/46	27/142	Civil ^{7.4} Justice _{7.3}		>		3.2	Op Goveri
Fundamenta	al Rights	0.73	0.00	22/31	28/46	29/142	7.2		$\boldsymbol{\zeta}$		3.4	
Order and S	ecurity	0.90	0.00	9/31	13/46	13/142		6.5	<u> </u>		4.2	
	Enforcement	0.62	0.00	24/31	35/46	37/142	Deere	6.4 6.3		4.5	1.3	
Civil Justice		0.55	0.00	29/31	44/46	64/142		latory ^{6.2} cement	6.1 5	5.2 5.1 4.8	undamental Rights	
Civil Justice		0.58	0.00	23/31	33/46	35/142				Order and Security		
Criminal Jus	stice	0.50	0.00	20/01	55/40	55/142				3 Score 2022 Score		
Slovak Republ	ic 👥 EU, EFTA,	and Nort	Low	— н	igh	High						
Slovak Republ	ic – EU, EFTA, n Government Po		h America	— Hi	igh	ntal Rights		†‡		Civil Justice		٥Į٩
Slovak Republ	n Government Pe		h America	Hi Fu 4.1	igh	ntal Rights		0.59	7.1			∆ 0.57
Slovak Republ onstraints or mits by legisla	n Government Pe		h America	4.1 4.2	igh undame o discrim	ntal Rights			7.1	Accessibility and affordability		_
Slovak Republ onstraints or mits by legisla mits by judicia	a Government Pe		h America	Hi 4.1 4.2 Ri 4.3	igh undamei o discrim	ntal Rights		0.59	7.1 7.2 7.3	Accessibility and affordability No discrimination		0.57
Slovak Republ onstraints or mits by legisla mits by judicia dependent au	a Government Po ture rry diting		th America	4.1 4.1 4.2 Ri 4.3 D	igh o discrim ight to lifi ue proce	ntal Rights iination e and security ss of law		0.59	7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4	Accessibility and affordability No discrimination No corruption	1	0.57
Slovak Republ onstraints or mits by legisla mits by judicia dependent au	a Government Pe		ch America 0.71 0.59 0.64	HI 4.1 4.2 Ri 4.3 D 4.4 Fr 4.5	igh o discrim ight to lifi ue proce reedom o	ntal Rights ination e and security ss of law f expression		0.59	7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4	Accessibility and affordability No discrimination No corruption No improper gov't influence	1	0.57
Slovak Republ onstraints or mits by legisla mits by judicia dependent au anctions for of	n Government Po ture nry diting ficial misconduct		ch America 0.71 0.59 0.64 0.49 0.72	4.1 4.1 4.2 Ri 4.3 D 4.4 Fr 4.5 Fr	igh o discrim ight to lifi ue proce reedom o	ntal Rights iination e and security ss of law		0.59 0.91 0.69 0.72 0.66	7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4 7.5	Accessibility and affordability No discrimination No corruption No improper gov't influence No unreasonable delay	1	0.57 0.45 0.59 0.67 0.33
Slovak Republ onstraints or mits by legisla mits by judicia dependent au anctions for of on-governmen	diting		th America 0.71 0.59 0.64 0.49	4.1 4.1 4.2 4.2 4.3 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	igh o discrim ight to lifi ue proce reedom o	ntal Rights ination e and security ss of law if expression if religion		0.59 0.91 0.69 0.72 0.66 0.74	7.17.27.37.47.57.6	Accessibility and affordability No discrimination No corruption No improper gov't influence No unreasonable delay Effective enforcement	1	0.57 0.45 0.59 0.67 0.33 0.56
Slovak Republ onstraints or mits by legisla mits by judicia dependent au anctions for of on-governmen awful transitio	a Government Particular and a constraint of power		th America 0.71 0.59 0.64 0.49 0.72 0.89	Hi 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.3 4.4 Fr 4.5 Fr 4.6 Ri 4.7	igh o discrim ight to lifi ue proce eedom o reedom o ight to pr	ntal Rights ination e and security ss of law if expression if religion		0.59 0.91 0.69 0.72 0.66	7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4 7.5 7.6 7.7	Accessibility and affordability No discrimination No corruption No improper gov't influence No unreasonable delay Effective enforcement		0.57 0.45 0.59 0.67 0.33
Slovak Republ onstraints or mits by legisla mits by judicia idependent au anctions for of on-governmen awful transitio bsence of Co	a Government Political misconduct for a second seco		ch America 0.71 0.59 0.64 0.49 0.72	Hi 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.3 4.4 4.5 Fr 4.6 Ri 4.6 Ri 4.7 Fr 4.8	igh o discrim ight to lifi ue proce eedom o reedom o ight to pr	ntal Rights iination e and security ss of law if expression if religion ivacy if association		0.59 0.91 0.69 0.72 0.66 0.74	7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4 7.5 7.6 7.7	Accessibility and affordability Accessibility and affordability No discrimination No corruption No improper gov't influence No unreasonable delay Effective enforcement Impartial and effective ADRs		0.57 0.45 0.59 0.67 0.33 0.56 0.64
Slovak Republ onstraints or mits by legisla mits by judicia idependent au anctions for of on-governmen awful transitio bsence of Co	a Government Political misconduct for a second seco		th America 0.71 0.59 0.64 0.49 0.72 0.89	HI 4.1 4.2 4.3 D 4.4 Fr 4.5 Fr 4.6 Ri 4.7 Fr 4.8 La	igh o discrim ight to lifi ue proce reedom o reedom o reedom o abor right	ntal Rights iination e and security ss of law of expression if religion ivacy of association ts		0.59 0.91 0.69 0.72 0.66 0.74 0.80 0.74	7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4 7.5 7.6 7.7	Accessibility and affordability Accessibility and affordability No discrimination No corruption No improper gov't influence No unreasonable delay Effective enforcement Impartial and effective ADRs Criminal Justice		0.57 0.45 0.59 0.67 0.33 0.56
Slovak Republ onstraints or mits by legisla mits by judicia dependent au anctions for of on-governmen awful transitio bsence of Co the executive	a Government Political misconduct for a second seco		th America 0.71 0.59 0.64 0.49 0.72 0.89 0.59 0.59 0.59	Hi 4.1 4.2 4.2 4.3 D 4.4 4.3 D 4.4 Fr 4.5 Fr 4.6 Ri 4.7 4.7 C	igh o discrim ight to lifi ue proce reedom o reedom o reedom o abor right	ntal Rights iination e and security ss of law if expression if religion ivacy if association		0.59 0.91 0.69 0.72 0.66 0.74 0.80 0.74	7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4 7.5 7.6 7.7 8.1	Accessibility and affordability Accessibility and affordability No discrimination No corruption No improper gov't influence No unreasonable delay Effective enforcement Impartial and effective ADRs Criminal Justice Effective investigations		0.57 0.45 0.59 0.67 0.33 0.56 0.64 0.64
Slovak Republ onstraints or mits by legisla mits by judicia idependent au anctions for of on-governmen awful transitio bsence of Co the executive the judiciary	a Government Particular and a second se		ch America 	Hi 4.1 4.2 4.2 4.3 4.3 4.4 4.5 Fr 4.6 Ri 4.6 Ri 4.7 Fr 4.8 La La	igh o discrim ight to lifi ue proce reedom o reedom o reedom o abor right	ntal Rights iination e and security ss of law if expression if religion ivacy of association ts d Security		0.59 0.91 0.69 0.72 0.66 0.74 0.80 0.74	7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4 7.5 7.6 7.7 8.1 8.2	Accessibility and affordability Accessibility and affordability No discrimination No corruption No improper gov't influence No unreasonable delay Effective enforcement Impartial and effective ADRs Criminal Justice Effective investigations	on	0.57 0.45 0.59 0.67 0.33 0.56 0.64
Slovak Republ onstraints or imits by legisla imits by judicia	a Government Particular and a second se		th America 0.71 0.59 0.64 0.49 0.72 0.89 0.59 0.59 0.59	Hi 4.1 4.2 4.2 4.3 D 4.4 Fr 4.5 Fr 4.6 Ri 4.7 4.7 Fr 4.8 La 5.1 All 5.2	igh o discrim ight to lifi ue proce reedom o ight to pr reedom o abor right rder and bsence o	ntal Rights iination e and security ss of law if expression if religion ivacy of association ts d Security		0.59 0.91 0.69 0.72 0.66 0.74 0.80 0.74	7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4 7.5 7.6 7.7 8.1 8.2 8.3	Accessibility and affordability Accessibility and affordability No discrimination No corruption No improper gov't influence No unreasonable delay Effective enforcement Impartial and effective ADRs Criminal Justice Effective investigations	on	0.57 0.45 0.59 0.67 0.33 0.56 0.64 0.64



Due process of law		
4.4		0.72
Freedom of expression		
4.5		0.66
Freedom of religion		
4.6		0.74
Right to privacy		
4.7		0.80
Freedom of association		
4.8		0.74
Labor rights		
Order and Security		6
5.1		0.88
Absence of crime		
5.2		1.00
Absence of civil conflict		•
5.3		0.83
Absence of violent redress		
Regulatory Enforcement		
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	÷	0.67
		0.40
6.2		0.69



6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

No discrimination 0.50 8.5 No corruption 0.76 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.69 8.7 Due process of law

Slovenia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	nk	Income F	Rank	Global	l Rank				
	0.69	20/31		27/46		27/142		Constraints on Government			
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chang	ge					Powers Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3 Justice 8.5 1.4			
	0.01 -	4•						8.4 8.3 1.6			
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 7.7 8.1 7.7 8.2 8.1 7.7 8.1 8.1 7.7 8.2 8.1 8.1 8.1 7.7 8.2 8.1 8.1 8.1 8.1 7.7 8.1 8.1 7.7 8.1 8.1 7.7 8.1 8.1 7.7 8.1 8.1 7.7 8.1 8.1 8.1 8.1 8.1 8.1 8.1 8.1 8.1 8.1			
	Constraints of Government		0.65	0.02	25/31	32/46	36/142	7.6			
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.67	0.02*	22/31	32/46	35/142	7.5 Civil 7.4 0 3.1 3.2 Open			
	Open Govern	iment	0.66	0.01	21/31	28/46	29/142	Justice 7.3 7.2 7.2 7.2 7.2 7.2 7.2 7.2 7.2 7.2 7.2			
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.75	0.01	19/31	23/46	24/142	7.1 4.1			
G	Order and Se	curity	0.89	0.00	13/31	17/46	18/142				
4	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.65	0.00	21/31	30/46	31/142	Regulatory 6.1 5.3 5.0 4.0 4.7 Fundamental			
ΔŢΔ	Civil Justice		0.67	0.02	18/31	27/46	27/142	Order and Security			
ðð	Criminal Just	ice	0.56	0.01	25/31	36/46	40/142	Security			
	cates statistically rcent level	significant change	at the	- 2023 Score 2022 Score							

Slovenia 🛛 EU, EFTA, and North America 💻 High



2.2	0.79
In the judiciary	
2.3	0.78
In the police/military	
2.4	0.47



Fundamental Rights	† ‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.74
4.2 Right to life and security	0.88
4.3 Due process of law	0.74
4.4	0.69
Freedom of expression 4.5	0.77
Freedom of religion 4.6	0.71
Right to privacy 4.7	0.75
Freedom of association 4.8	0.74
Labor rights	
Order and Security	ĥ
5.1 Absence of crime	0.96
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.72
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.70
Effective regulatory enforcement	0.70
Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	
Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence	0.75

	0.02
No expropriation w/out adequa	te compensation



South Africa

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ove	erall Score	Regional Ra	ink	Income	Rank	Globa	I Rank
	0.57	5/34		12/4	41	56/	142
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	ge				
-	0.01 •	-1 •					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.62	-0.01	3/34	4/41	40/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.47	-0.01	7/34	18/41	69/142
ŀ	Open Govern	iment	0.62	-0.01	1/34	2/41	34/142
ţţ	Fundamental	Rights	0.63	0.00	3/34	9/41	48/142
6	Order and Se	curity	0.60	-0.01	22/34	35/41	119/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.52	-0.01	7/34	14/41	60/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.59	-0.01	5/34	11/41	49/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.50	0.00	5/34	13/41	55/142
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	e at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High



10 percent level

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.58
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.67
1.3 Independent auditing	0.58
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.46
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.70
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.71
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.37
2.2 In the judiciary	0.71
2.3 In the police/military	0.56
2.4 In the legislature	0.22
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.54
3.2 Right to information	0.54
3.3 Civic participation	0.69
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.72

Fundamental Rights	ŤŤ
4.1 A discrimination	0.49
4.2 Right to life and security	0.60
4.3 Due process of law	0.53
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.70
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.70
4.6 Right to privacy	0.61
4.7 Freedom of association	0.73
4.8 Labor rights	0.67
Order and Security	f
5.1 Absence of crime	0.48
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.33
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.42
6.2 No improper influence	0.57
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.48
6.4 Respect for due process	0.55
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.59 sation

Civil Justice	٥Ţ٥
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.51
7.2 No discrimination	0.47
7.3 No corruption	0.68
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.66
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.48
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.57
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.74
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	0.38
8.1	0-
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	0.38
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.38
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 	0.38
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.38 0.49 0.30 0.52
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No discrimination 	0.38 0.49 0.30 0.52 0.58

Spain

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ove	erall Score	Regional Ra	ink	Income R	lank	Global	Rank
	0.72	18/31		24/4	6	24/:	142
Sco	re Change	Rank Chang	ge				
-	0.01 •	-1 •					
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.72	-0.01	18/31	22/46	23/142
\$	Absence of Co	orruption	0.73	-0.01	16/31	23/46	23/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.70	0.00	17/31	22/46	22/142
ţŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.79	0.00	14/31	16/46	17/142
î	Order and Se	curity	0.83	0.00	24/31	32/46	35/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.69	0.00	19/31	27/46	27/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.65	-0.01	19/31	29/46	30/142
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.66	-0.01	18/31	25/46	25/142
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	e at the	Low	M	edium	High

Spain 🛑 EU, EFTA, and North America 🛑 High



Fundamental Rights	ţţ
4.1 No discrimination	0.71
4.2	0.88
Right to life and security	0.79
Due process of law	0.77
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.71
4.5	0.77
Freedom of religion 4.6	0.86
Right to privacy	0.02
4.7 Freedom of association	0.82
4.8 Labor rights	0.75
Order and Security	G
5.1 Absence of crime	0.87
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	0.61
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.67
6.2	0.82
No improper influence	0.54
No unreasonable delay	0.54
6.4 Respect for due process	0.74
6.5	0.69
No expropriation w/out adequate compens	auon

Civ	il Justice	₹ T ₹
7.1 Acc	essibility and affordability	0.70
7.2		0.67
No (discrimination	0.72
No (corruption	0.65
No	improper gov't influence	
7.5 No	unreasonable delay	0.49
7.6 Effe	ective enforcement	0.54
7.7 Imp	artial and effective ADRs	0.80
Cri	minal Justice	60
8.1		0.58
8.1 Effe 8.2	minal Justice ective investigations	0.55
8.1 Effe 8.2 Tim 8.3	ective investigations	
8.1 Effe 8.2 Tim 8.3 Effe 8.4	ective investigations lely and effective adjudication	0.55
8.1 Effe 8.2 Tim 8.3 Effe 8.4 No (ective investigations ely and effective adjudication ective correctional system	0.55 0.73
8.1 Effe 8.2 Tim 8.3 Effe 8.4 No (8.5 No (8.6 V	ective investigations ely and effective adjudication ective correctional system discrimination	0.55 0.73 0.63

Sri Lanka

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Sc	ore	Regional R	ank	I	ncome	Rank	Globa	al Rank
0.50		2/6			7/3	7	77/	′142
Score Cha	nge	Rank Char	nge					
0.00		-1 •						
			Fact Scoi		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
_	aints o nment	n Powers	0.5	0	0.00	3/6	10/37	81/142
å Absen	ce of C	orruption	0.4	7	0.00	1/6	2/37	65/142
Open	Govern	ment	0.5	1	0.01	3/6	5/37	67/142
Funda	mental	Rights	0.5	0	0.00	2/6	9/37	86/142
Order	and Se	curity	0.6	8	0.00	2/6	15/37	91/142
📋 Regula	itory Ei	nforcement	0.4	8	0.00	2/6	9/37	75/142
J Civil J	ustice		0.4	3	0.00	2/6	23/37	109/142
්ට Crimir	al Just	ice	0.4	1	-0.01	2/6	10/37	80/142
* Indicates stat 10 percent leve	,	significant chanរ្	ge at the	e	Lov	v N	1edium [High



ţŧ

10 percent level

🗕 Sri Lanka 🛑 South Asia 🛑 Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.50
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.55
1.3 Independent auditing	0.39
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.38
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.55
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.63
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.43
2.2 In the judiciary	0.61
2.3 In the police/military	0.55
2.4 In the legislature	0.28
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.45
3.2 Right to information	0.46
3.3 Civic participation	0.57
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.58

4.1 No discrimination	0.53
4.2 Right to life and security	0.38
4.3 Due process of law	0.37
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.55
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.60
4.6 Right to privacy	0.34
4.7 Freedom of association	0.63
4.8 Labor rights	0.59
Order and Security	ĥ
5.1 Absence of crime	0.80
	0.80
Absence of crime	
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	0.92
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.92
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.92
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	0.92
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	0.92 0.33 0.55 0.55
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4	0.92 0.33 0.55 0.55 0.56 0.38 0.40 0.51

Fundamental Rights

Civil Justice	ΔĪΔ
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.46
7.2 No discrimination	0.37
7.3 No corruption	0.61
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.46
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.19
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.36
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.59
Criminal Justice	st
	0
8.1 Effective investigations	0.41
	0.41
Effective investigations	
Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Timely and effective adjudication	0.35
Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4	0.35
Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5	0.35

St. Kitts and Nevis

Regional Rank

Overall Score

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Global Rank

Income Rank

	0.63	6/32		38/4	6	39/3	142	Constraints on Government Powers
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3
	0.00	0						8.4 8.3
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 7.7 8.1 0.5 2.1 Absence of 2.2 Corruption 2.3
	Constraints of Government		0.61	0.01	6/32	35/46	41/142	7.6 24
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.65	0.01	6/32	35/46	39/142	7.5 Civil 7.4 0 3.1 3.2 Open
	Open Goverr	iment	0.45	-0.01	26/32	43/46	94/142	Justice 7.3 3.3 Governme
ţţ	Fundamenta	Rights	0.69	0.00	8/32	33/46	36/142	7.1 4.1
î	Order and Se	curity	0.78	0.00	4/32	39/46	54/142	6.5 6.4 4.2
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.62	-0.01	6/32	37/46	39/142	Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 5.3 5.2 5.4 4.4 4.6 Fundamental
Ţ	Civil Justice		0.68	-0.01	2/32	25/46	25/142	Enforcement 53 5.2 5.1 4.8 4.7 Rights Order and Security
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.59	0.01	2/32	30/46	31/142	
	ates statistically cent level	significant change	e at the	Low	M	edium 🗌	High	2023 Score 2022 Score
—	St. Kitts and Ne	vis 💻 Latin	America an	d Caribbea	in 💻	High		
Co	nstraints on	Government I	Powers	Ŵ	Fu	Indame	ntal Right	s 🛉 Civil Justice
1.1	its by logislat			0.59	4.1	odiccrim		0.62 7.1 0.71



Fundamental Rights	† ‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.62
4.2 Right to life and security	0.85
4.3 Due process of law	0.62
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.64
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.67
4.6 Right to privacy	0.78
4.7 Freedom of association	0.67
4.8 Labor rights	0.67
Order and Security	f
5.1 Absence of crime	0.83
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.50
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.51
6.2 No improper influence	0.76
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.57
6.4 Respect for due process	0.63
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compen	0.61 sation

	Civil Justice	ΔŢΔ
7.1	Accessibility and affordability	0.71
7.2	No discrimination	0.63
7.3	No corruption	0.81
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.82
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.55
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.47
7.7	Impartial and effective ADRs	0.76
	Criminal Justice	60
8.1		ර ්ට 0.44
8.1 8.2	Effective investigations	0.44 0.53
	Effective investigations	
8.2	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system	0.53
8.2 8.3	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system	0.53 0.38
8.2 8.3 8.4	Effective investigations Timely and effective adjudication Effective correctional system No discrimination No corruption	0.53 0.38 0.65

St. Lucia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Ran	ik I	Income Rank		Global Rank	
	0.62	8/32		3/41	L	43/1	L42
Score Change		Rank Change	9				
	0.00	0					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.60	0.01	8/32	6/41	46/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.64	0.00	9/32	5/41	44/142
ŀ	Open Government		0.50	0.01	19/32	22/41	72/142
†‡	Fundamental Rights		0.66	0.00	9/32	5/41	42/142
6	Order and Se	curity	0.73	-0.01	7/32	20/41	67/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.61	0.02	7/32	3/41	40/142
₽ŢĮ	Civil Justice		0.65	-0.01	4/32	1/41	29/142
80	Criminal Just	ice	0.54	0.00	8/32	8/41	46/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							



10 percent level

💳 St. Lucia 💶 Latin America and Caribbean 💶 Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ó
1.1	0.65
Limits by legislature	
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.69
	0.42
1.3 Independent auditing	0.42
1.4	0.48
Sanctions for official misconduct	
1.5	0.63
Non-governmental checks	
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.74
Lawrui transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	*
·	_ (\$)
2.1 In the executive branch	0.56
	0.90
In the judiciary	0.70
2.3	0.69
In the police/military	
2.4	0.39
In the legislature	
	-
Open Government	·
3.1	0.28
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2 Right to information	0.54
3.3	0.62
Civic participation	0.02
3.4	0.56
Complaint mechanisms	

Fundamental Rights	_ †‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.76
4.2 Right to life and security	0.77
4.3 Due process of law	0.53
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.63
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.72
4.6 Right to privacy	0.58
4.7 Freedom of association	0.64
4.8 Labor rights	0.67
Order and Security	2
5.1	0.75
Absence of crime	0.75
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.44
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.49
6.2 No improper influence	0.74
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.45
6.4 Respect for due process	0.68
6.5	0.67
No expropriation w/out adequate compens	sation

Civil Justice	₽Ţ₹
7.1	0.64
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2	0.72
No discrimination	
7.3	0.82
No corruption	
7.4	0.78
No improper gov't influence	
7.5	0.46
No unreasonable delay	
7.6	0.39
Effective enforcement	
7.7	0.75
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	60
	Ô
8.1	<mark>ුර්</mark> ර 0.36
8.1 Effective investigations	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	0.36 0.38
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.38
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 	0.38
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.38
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 	0.38
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.38
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 	0.38 0.48 0.65 0.71
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.38
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No improper gov't influence 	0.38 0.48 0.65 0.71 0.72
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 	0.38 0.48 0.65 0.71

St. Vincent and the Grenadines

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Ran	k I	Income Rank		Global Rank	
	0.63	7/32		2/41		42/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Change	è				
-	0.01 -	-1 🔻					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.58	0.00	11/32	8/41	50/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.68	0.00	4/32	1/41	29/142
ŀ	Open Government		0.51	0.00	18/32	20/41	66/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.70	0.00	6/32	2/41	34/142
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.76	-0.01	5/32	16/41	59/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.53	0.00	10/32	11/41	56/142
₽Ţ₹	Civil Justice		0.64	0.00	5/32	2/41	33/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.60	-0.02	1/32	1/41	30/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							



10 percent level

St. Vincent and the Grenadines ---- Latin America and Caribbean ----- Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.54
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.59
1.3 Independent auditing	0.58
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.50
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.57
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.72
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.61
2.2 In the judiciary	0.87
2.3 In the police/military	0.77
2.4 In the legislature	0.47
Open Government	ŀ
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.24
3.2 Right to information	0.60
3.3 Civic participation	0.60
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.62

Fundamental Rights	ţŧ.
4.1 No discrimination	0.64
4.2 Right to life and security	0.87
4.3 Due process of law	0.63
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.57
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.76
4.6 Right to privacy	0.79
4.7 Freedom of association	0.68
4.8 Labor rights	0.66
Order and Security	6
5.1	0.78
Absence of crime	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.49
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.48
6.2 No improper influence	0.71
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.45
6.4 Respect for due process	0.45
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.57 ation

Civil Justice	∆ <u>T</u> ∆
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.68
7.2 No discrimination	0.63
7.3 No corruption	0.76
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.65
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.46
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.46
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.83
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	<mark>ුර්</mark> ර 0.44
8.1	0.44
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.58
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 	0.58 0.58
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.58 0.58 0.55

Sudan

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Rank		Income	Income Rank		al Rank
0.36		31/34		16/18		132/142	
Score Change		Rank Change					
-0.03 -		-5 🔻					
			Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.29	-0.10*	34/34	18/18	136/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.35	-0.01	20/34	10/18	112/142
ŀ	Open Government		0.35	-0.02	27/34	15/18	127/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.33	-0.01	33/34	16/18	132/142
f	Order and Se	curity	0.56	-0.07*	27/34	12/18	130/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.33	0.00	33/34	18/18	138/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.36	-0.01	34/34	17/18	134/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.34	-0.01	23/34	10/18	105/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							



ŧŧ

8.2

10 percent level

🗕 Sudan 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 💻 Low

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.24
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.29
1.3 Independent auditing	0.20
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.28
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.39
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.33
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.35
2.2 In the judiciary	0.39
2.3 In the police/military	0.36
2.4 In the legislature	0.31
Open Government	·
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.23
3.2 Right to information	0.24
3.3	0.46

0.45

3.4

Civic participation

Complaint mechanisms

4.1 No discrimination	0.33
4.2 Right to life and security	0.20
4.3 Due process of law	0.34
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.39
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.45
4.6 Right to privacy	0.16
4.7 Freedom of association	0.47
4.8 Labor rights	0.29
Order and Security	î
5.1 Absence of crime	0.61
	0.61
Absence of crime	
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	0.55
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.55
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	0.55
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.55 0.51
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	0.55 0.51 0.30 0.51

Fundamental Rights

Civil Justice	ΔŢΔ
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.30
7.2 No discrimination	0.31
7.3 No corruption	0.36
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.29
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.30
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.47
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.47
Criminal Justice	do
8.1 Effective investigations	0.41

Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 0.32 Effective correctional system 0.27 8.4 No discrimination 0.41 8.5 No corruption 0.27 8.6 No improper gov't influence 8.7 0.34 Due process of law

0.39

Suriname

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score Regional Ra		ank	Income Rank		Global Rank		
	0.49 18/32			24/41		81/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge				
	0.00	0					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.48	0.00	24/32	26/41	90/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.43	-0.01	17/32	26/41	80/142
ł	Open Govern	ment	0.38	0.00	29/32	38/41	115/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.51	-0.01	22/32	27/41	81/142
f	Order and Se	curity	0.65	0.00	18/32	28/41	103/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.46	0.00	23/32	30/41	95/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.49	-0.01	19/32	27/41	86/142
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.53	0.00	12/32	11/41	51/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							



0.53

10 percent level

Suriname Latin America and Caribbean Upper-Middle



4.1 No discrimination	0.53
4.2	0.57
Right to life and security	0.42
4.3 Due process of law	0.43
4.4	0.51
Freedom of expression	
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.61
4.6	0.33
Right to privacy	
4.7 Freedom of association	0.61
4.8	0.51
Labor rights	0.51
Order and Security	ĥ
5.1	0.76
	0.76
Absence of crime	
	1.00
Absence of crime	
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.18
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	1.00
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.18
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	1.00 0.18
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	1.00 0.18
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	1.00 0.18
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay	 1.00 0.18 0.44 0.59 0.44
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4	1.00 0.18
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay	 1.00 0.18 0.44 0.59 0.44

Fundamental Rights

4.1

0.36

Civil Justice	ΔŢΔ
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.49
7.2 No discrimination	0.52
7.3 No corruption	0.61
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.52
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.32
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.48
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.46
Criminal Justice	්ට
8.1 Effective investigations	0.44
8.1	0.44 0.58
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.58
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 	0.58
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.58

Civic participation

Complaint mechanisms

3.4

Sweden

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	Overall Score Regional Rank		In	Income Rank		Global Rank		
	0.85	4/31		4/46			4/142	
Sco	ore Change	Rank Change						
	0.00	0						
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.86	0.00	5/31	5/46	5/142	
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.90	0.00	3/31	4/46	4/142	
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.84	-0.01	4/31	4/46	4/142	
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.87	0.00	4/31	4/46	4/142	
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.92	0.00	5/31	7/46	7/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.83	0.00	7/31	9/46	9/142	
₽ŢĮ	Civil Justice		0.82	-0.01	5/31	5/46	5/142	
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.79	-0.01	4/31	4/46	4/142	
* Indic	ates statistically	significant change at	the					



ŀ 0.76

0.90

0.84

0.87

In the legislature

3.1

3.2

3.3

3.4

Open Government

Right to information

Civic participation

Complaint mechanisms

Publicized laws and gov't data

4.1 No discrimination	0.75
4.2 Right to life and security	0.98
4.3 Due process of law	0.90
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.84
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.83
4.6 Right to privacy	0.98
4.7 Freedom of association	0.87
4.8 Labor rights	0.80
Order and Security	î
5.1 Absence of crime	0.91
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.87
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.78
6.2 No improper influence	0.92
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.79
6.4 Respect for due process	0.78
6.5	0.87
No expropriation w/out adequate comper	nsation

Fundamental Rights



ţŧ

Civil Justice	₽Ţ₽
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.75
7.2 No discrimination	0.72
7.3 No corruption	0.91
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.89
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.78
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.88
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.80
Criminal Justice	්ට
8.1 Effective investigations	0.51
8.1	0.51 0.71
8.1 Effective investigations	
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 	0.71
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 	0.71
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.71

Tanzania

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score Regional		Regional Ra	nk	Income Rank		Global Rank		
	0.47 12/34			15/37		98/142		
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge					
	0.00	2 🔺						
			Facto Scor		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.50	C	0.00	11/34	9/37	79/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.41	1	0.00	13/34	12/37	93/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.39	9	0.01	18/34	23/37	113/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.44	4	0.01	21/34	20/37	112/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.70	C	0.01	10/34	12/37	83/142
4	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.44	4	0.01	17/34	17/37	101/142
₽Ţ₹	Civil Justice		0.47	7	0.00	13/34	14/37	90/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.37	7	0.00	15/34	15/37	94/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High								



10 percent level

🗕 Tanzania 🛑 Sub-Saharan Africa 💻 Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.57
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.53
1.3 Independent auditing	0.46
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.51
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.43
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.52
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.45
2.2 In the judiciary	0.42
2.3 In the police/military	0.37
2.4 In the legislature	0.39
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.26
3.2 Right to information	0.43
3.3 Civic participation	0.49
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.36

Fundamental Rights	ţŧ.
4.1 No discrimination	0.56
4.2 Right to life and security	0.29
4.3 Due process of law	0.32
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.43
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.56
4.6 Right to privacy	0.23
4.7 Freedom of association	0.52
4.8 Labor rights	0.57
Order and Security	ĥ
5.1 Absence of crime	0.70
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.40
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.58
6.2 No improper influence	0.49
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.34
6.4 Respect for due process	0.33
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compense	0.48 ation

Civil Justice	ΔŢΔ
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.45
7.2 No discrimination	0.53
7.3 No corruption	0.44
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.41
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.37
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.51
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.60
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.38
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.39
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.25

0.48

0.43

0.36

0.32

No improper gov't influence

No discrimination

No corruption

8.7

Due process of law

8.4

8.5

8.6

164

Thailand

Constraints on Government Powers

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score Regional F		Regional Ra	nk	Income Rank		Global Rank	
0.49 10/1		10/15		25/41		82/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge				
-	0.01 -	0					
			Facto Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.45	0.00	11/15	30/41	100/142
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.45	-0.01	9/15	21/41	72/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.48	0.00	9/15	24/41	77/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.46	-0.01	10/15	34/41	101/142
G	Order and Se	curity	0.74	-0.01	11/15	19/41	65/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.44	-0.01	12/15	34/41	103/142
₽ŢŢ	Civil Justice		0.49	0.00	10/15	28/41	87/142
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.41	-0.01	11/15	22/41	76/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							

Criminal Justice Absence of Corruption Civil Open Justice Government Regulatory Fundamental Rights Enforcement Order and Security 2023 Score _____ 2022 Score

Civil Justice

Accessibility and affordability

7.1

7.2

Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

 Thailand East Asia and Pacific Upper-Middle



Fundamental Rights	
4.1 No discrimination	0.48
4.2 Right to life and security	0.35
4.3 Due process of law	0.42
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.49
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.62
4.6 Right to privacy	0.34
4.7 Freedom of association	0.44
4.8 Labor rights	0.53
Eabor rights	
Order and Security	î
-	0.79
Order and Security	0.79
Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 5.2	
Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	0.94
Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.94
Order and Security 5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.94

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Respect for due process

6.4

6.5

No discrimination	0.51
7.3 No corruption	0.71
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.48
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.31
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.33
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.48
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.37
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.41
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.25
8.4 No discrimination	0.32
8.5 No corruption	0.56
8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.56
8.7	0.42

Due process of law

0.27

0.47

11

0.59

0.51

Togo

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	ank	Income Rank		Global Rank		
0.45		14/34		5/18		102/142		
Score Change		Rank Chan	ge					
-	0.01 -	1-						
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints o Government		0.38	0.01	27/34	15/18	118/142	
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.43	-0.01	10/34	4/18	82/142	
	Open Govern	iment	0.32	0.00	32/34	17/18	134/142	
ţţ	Fundamental Rights		0.46	0.00	19/34	11/18	104/142	
f	Order and Se	curity	0.70	-0.03*	11/34	4/18	86/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.51	-0.01	9/34	2/18	65/142	
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.48	0.00	12/34	4/18	88/142	
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.36	-0.01	16/34	6/18	96/142	
	cates statistically rcent level	significant chang	e at the	Lov	v	/ledium	High	



Togo — Sub-Saharan Africa — Low

Constraints on Government Powers	.
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.46
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.34
1.3 Independent auditing	0.35
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.31
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.41
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.39
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.47
2.2 In the judiciary	0.44
2.3 In the police/military	0.45
2.4 In the legislature	0.38
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.21
3.2 Right to information	0.29
3.3 Civic participation	0.40
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.38

Fundamental Rights	ţţ.
4.1	0.56
No discrimination	
4.2	0.41
Right to life and security	
4.3	0.37
Due process of law	
4.4	0.41
Freedom of expression	0.70
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.72
4.6	0.17
Right to privacy	0.17
4.7	0.49
Freedom of association	
4.8	0.51
Labor rights	
Order and Security	ĥ
5.1	0.75
Absence of crime	
5.2	0.89
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3	0.45
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	Ê
6.1	0.50
Effective regulatory enforcement	
6.2	0.64
No improper influence	
6.3	0.40
No unreasonable delay	
6.4	0.50
Respect for due process	
6.5	0.49
No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	ation

Civil Justice	₽Ţ₽
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.53
7.2 No discrimination	0.57
7.3 No corruption	0.34
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.34
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.51
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.43
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.62
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	0.30
8.1	0.30 0.43
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.43
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 	0.43
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.43 0.25 0.55

Trinidad and Tobago

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

0	verall Score	Regional Ra	ank	Income Rank		Global Rank	
	0.52	14/32		44/46		70/142	
Sc	ore Change	Rank Chan	ge				
	0.00	-1 •					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Ó	Constraints o Government		0.53	-0.01	16/32	45/46	68/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.49	-0.01	13/32	45/46	61/142
·	Open Govern	iment	0.53	-0.01	14/32	40/46	60/142
ţţ	Fundamental	Rights	0.59	0.00	16/32	43/46	61/142
f	Order and Se	curity	0.65	0.01	17/32	46/46	102/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.49	-0.01	16/32	45/46	72/142
₽Ţ₫	Civil Justice		0.56	-0.01	12/32	43/46	59/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.31	0.00	23/32	46/46	121/142
	cates statistically	significant chang	e at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High



10 percent level

🗕 Trinidad and Tobago 🛑 Latin America and Caribbean 💻 High



Fundamental Rights	ţ‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.60
4.2 Right to life and security	0.61
4.3 Due process of law	0.39
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.61
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.76
4.6 Right to privacy	0.38
4.7 Freedom of association	0.72
4.8 Labor rights	0.69
Order and Security	f
5.1 Absence of crime	0.71
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.24
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.42
6.2 No improper influence	0.63
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.32
6.4 Respect for due process	0.48
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.58 ation

Civil Justice	٥Ţ۵
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.59
7.2 No discrimination	0.56
7.3 No corruption	0.77
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.70
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.25
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.40
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.65
Criminal Justice	ŝ
Criminal Justice	්ර්
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	0.32
8.1	0.32
8.1 Effective investigations	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.21
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No discrimination 	0.21
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No discrimination 	0.21
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 	0.21 0.15 0.18 0.52

Tunisia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

	0		0				
Overall Score Reg		Regional Ran	ik Income Rank			Global Rank	
	0.52 4/9 6/37		,	72/142			
Sco	ore Change	Rank Change	È				
	0.00	1-					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.54	-0.02	3/9	6/37	64/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.47	0.00	4/9	4/37	68/142
ŀ	Open Govern	iment	0.48	0.00	1/9	10/37	75/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.51	-0.01	1/9	7/37	84/142
f	Order and Se	curity	0.72	0.01	5/9	8/37	71/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.50	-0.01	5/9	6/37	67/142
₽Ţ₹	Civil Justice		0.49	0.01	7/9	10/37	81/142
ðð	Criminal Just	ice	0.41	0.01	4/9	8/37	77/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							



inge 10 percent level

Tunisia — Middle East and North Africa — Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.54
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.45
1.3 Independent auditing	0.54
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.45
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.59
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.69
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.49
2.2 In the judiciary	0.49
2.3 In the police/military	0.56
2.4 In the legislature	0.33
Open Government	ŀ
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.35
3.2 Right to information	0.50
3.3 Civic participation	0.57
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.52

Fundamental Rights	ţ‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.56
4.2 Right to life and security	0.48
4.3 Due process of law	0.45
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.59
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.55
4.6 Right to privacy	0.31
4.7 Freedom of association	0.65
4.8 Labor rights	0.45
Order and Security	Ô
5.1 Absence of crime	0.77
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.40
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.49
6.2 No improper influence	0.58
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.37
6.4 Respect for due process	0.43
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compens	0.61 ation

Civil Justice	₽Ţ₽
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.55
7.2 No discrimination	0.60
7.3 No corruption	0.38
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.49
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.41
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.41
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.62
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	0.40
8.1	<mark>ර්ථ</mark> 0.40 0.44
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	0.44
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 	0.44
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 	0.44

Türkiye

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score Regiona		Regional Ra	ank	Income Rank		Global Rank	
	0.41	15/15		39/41		117/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge				
	0.00	1 •					
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.28	0.01	14/15	39/41	137/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.44	-0.01	8/15	25/41	77/142
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.40	0.00	13/15	35/41	107/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.30	0.00	15/15	39/41	133/142
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.72	-0.01	13/15	23/41	75/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.42	0.01	14/15	38/41	116/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.41	-0.01	15/15	36/41	119/142
්ට	Criminal Just	ice	0.34	-0.01	13/15	31/41	107/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							



10 percent level

🗕 Türkiye 🛑 Eastern Europe and Central Asia 💻 Upper-Middle



0.15
0.48
0.44
0.28
0.39

4.1 0.3 No discrimination	88
4.2 0.3 Right to life and security	33
4.3 0.4 Due process of law	1
4.4 0.2 Freedom of expression	25
4.5 0.1 Freedom of religion	1
4.6 0.2 Right to privacy	25
4.7 0.3 Freedom of association	80
4.8 0.3 Labor rights	39
Order and Security	Ì
5.1 0.8 Absence of crime	80

5.2	0.81
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3	0.54
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.41
	0.41

6.2			0.62
	No improper influe	nce	
6.3			0.40
	No unreasonable de	elay	
6.4			0.18
	Respect for due pro	cess	
6.5			0.47

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Civil Justice	ΔĪΔ
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.55
7.2 No discrimination	0.28
7.3 No corruption	0.49
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.20
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.24
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.49
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.65
Criminal Justice	්ට
8.1 Effective investigations	0.43



Uganda

Constraints on

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score Reg		Regional Rank		Income Rank		Global Rank	
	0.39 28/34		13/18		125/142		
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge				
	0.00	5 🔺					
			Facto Scor		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.39	0.01	24/34	13/18	114/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.26	6 0.00	31/34	17/18	135/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.39	9 0.00	17/34	8/18	112/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.35	5 0.00	31/34	15/18	129/142
f	Order and Se	curity	0.57	7 -0.01*	26/34	11/18	128/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.43	3 0.00	20/34	7/18	111/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.42	2 0.01	24/34	10/18	115/142
80	Criminal Just	ice	0.32	2 -0.01	27/34	13/18	119/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							



10 percent level

🗕 Uganda 🗕 Sub-Saharan Africa 💶 Low

Constraints on Government Powers	Á
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.41
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.39
1.3 Independent auditing	0.43
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.39
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.36
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.35
Absence of Corruption	\$
Absence of Corruption 2.1 In the executive branch	0.26
2.1	0.26 0.34
2.1 In the executive branch	
2.1 In the executive branch 2.2 In the judiciary 2.3 In the judiciary	0.34
2.1 In the executive branch 2.2 In the judiciary 2.3 In the police/military 2.4 In the police / military	0.34

2.3	0.26	
In the police/military		
2.4	0.20	
In the legislature		
Open Government		
3.1	0.16	
Publicized laws and gov't data		
3.2	0.42	
Right to information		
3.3	0.43	
Civic participation		
3.4	0.55	
Complaint mechanisms		

Fundamental Rights	ţŧ.
4.1 No discrimination	0.43
4.2 Right to life and security	0.18
4.3 Due process of law	0.30
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.36
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.60
4.6 Right to privacy	0.05
4.7 Freedom of association	0.45
4.8 Labor rights	0.41
Order and Security	f
5.1 Absence of crime	0.54
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	0.94
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.24
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.39
6.2 No improper influence	0.36
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.40
6.4 Respect for due process	0.41
6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.58 ation

Civil Justice	₽Ţ₽
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.40
7.2 No discrimination	0.41
7.3 No corruption	0.36
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.44
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.29
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.49
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.59



Ukraine

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	nk	Income Rank		Global Rank	
	0.49	9/15		9 9/15 12/37		89/142	
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chang	ge				
-	0.01 -	-11 -					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.47	0.00	5/15	16/37	94/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.33	0.00	14/15	24/37	118/142
ŀ	Open Govern	iment	0.55	-0.01	4/15	3/37	55/142
ţŧ	Fundamental	Rights	0.59	-0.01	5/15	1/37	59/142
î	Order and Se	curity	0.61	-0.08*	15/15	29/37	118/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.43	0.00	12/15	21/37	108/142
ΔŢ	Civil Justice		0.53	-0.01	5/15	5/37	69/142
ðð	Criminal Just	ice	0.36	0.00	12/15	17/37	97/142
	ates statistically rcent level	significant change	e at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High



Ukraine — Eastern Europe and Central Asia — Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.54
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.32
1.3 Independent auditing	0.43
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.29
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.60
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.66
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.30
2.2 In the judiciary	0.49
2.3 In the police/military	0.43
2.4 In the legislature	0.07
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.61
3.2 Right to information	0.49
3.3 Civic participation	0.57
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.54

Fundamental Rights	ţŧ
4.1 No discrimination	0.65
4.2 Right to life and security	0.61
4.3 Due process of law	0.45
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.60
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.76
4.6 Right to privacy	0.43
4.7 Freedom of association	0.64
4.8 Labor rights	0.62
Order and Security	^
5.1 Absence of crime	0.76
5.2	0.50
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3	0.58
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.42
6.2 No improper influence	0.41
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.53
6.4	0.41
Respect for due process	
6.5	0.39
No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	ation

Civil Justice	ΔŢδ
7.1	0.63
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2 No discrimination	0.66
7.3 No corruption	0.42
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.36
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.49
7.6	0.46
Effective enforcement	0.69
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	්ර
Criminal Justice 8.1 Effective investigations	0.27
8.1	<mark>්රි</mark> 0.27 0.38
8.1 Effective investigations	
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	0.38
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No discrimination 	0.38
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 	0.38
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 	0.38 0.38 0.49 0.32

United Arab Emirates

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	ank	Income	e Rank	Globa	al Rank
	0.64	1/9		36/	46	37/	/142
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge				
	0.00	0					
			Facto Scor		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.57	7 0.00	1/9	40/46	54/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.78	3 0.00	1/9	19/46	19/142
ŀ	Open Govern	iment	0.34	-0.01	7/9	46/46	129/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.45	5 0.00	5/9	46/46	108/142
6	Order and Se	curity	0.91	L 0.01	1/9	10/46	10/142
4	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.70	0.00	1/9	26/46	26/142
₽ŢŢ	Civil Justice		0.66	6 0.00	1/9	28/46	28/142
ðð	Criminal Just	ice	0.68	3 0.00	1/9	24/46	24/142
	ates statistically	significant chang	e at the	Lo	w N	∕ledium	High



10 percent level

United Arab Emirates
 Middle East and North Africa
 High



In the judiciary	
2.3	0.82
In the police/military	
2.4	0.71
In the legislature	
Open Government	
3.1	0.37
Publicized laws and gov't data	
3.2	0.28
Right to information	
3.3	0.31
Civic participation	
3.4	0.39
Complaint mechanisms	

	Fundamental Rights	
4.1		0.60
	No discrimination	
4.2		0.47
	Right to life and security	
4.3		0.72
	Due process of law	
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.31
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.49
4.6		0.33
4.0	Right to privacy	0.33
4.7	0 1 7	0.25
,	Freedom of association	0.20
4.8	3	0.40
	Labor rights	
	Order and Security	Ô
5.1	1	0.92
	Absence of crime	
5.2		1.00
	Absence of civil conflict	
5.3	3	0.82

Absence of violent redress **Regulatory Enforcement** Ê 0.61 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement

0,		
6.2		0.87
No improper influer	ice	
6.3		0.73
No unreasonable de	lay	
6.4		0.64
Respect for due pro	cess	

0.64 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Civil Justice	₽Ţ₹
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.60
7.2 No discrimination	0.59
7.3 No corruption	0.80
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.61
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.66
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.68
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.66
Criminal Justice	60
8.1 Effective investigations	0.69
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.74
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.82
8.4 No discrimination	0.60
8.5	0.75



172

United Kingdom

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank		ncome R	lank	Global	Rank
0.78	12/31		15/4	6	15/1	L42
Score Change	Rank Change					
0.00	0					
		Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints of Government		0.81	-0.01	13/31	15/46	15/142
Absence of C	orruption	0.83	0.00	8/31	11/46	11/142
Open Govern	iment	0.79	-0.01	10/31	12/46	12/142
Fundamenta	Rights	0.80	-0.01	13/31	15/46	15/142
Order and Se	curity	0.84	0.00	20/31	28/46	30/142
Regulatory E	nforcement	0.79	-0.01	13/31	18/46	18/142
Civil Justice		0.71	0.00	13/31	19/46	19/142
්රී Criminal Just	ice	0.70	0.00	13/31	18/46	18/142
* Indicates statistically 10 percent level	significant change at	the	Low	M	edium 🗌	High



ţŧ

10 percent level

United Kingdom — EU, EFTA, and North America — High

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1	0.83
Limits by legislature	
1.2	0.82
Limits by judiciary	
1.3	0.80
Independent auditing	
1.4	0.75
Sanctions for official misconduct	
1.5	0.82
Non-governmental checks	
1.6	0.86
Lawful transition of power	
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1	0.84
In the executive branch	

In the executive branch	۱		
2.2			0.96
In the judiciary			
2.3			0.86
In the police/military			
2.4			0.66

In the legislature	
Open Government	
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.91
3.2 Right to information	0.68
3.3 Civic participation	0.82

3.4

Complaint mechanisms

0.78

4.1 0.68 No discrimination 4.2 0.91 Right to life and security 4.3 0.77 Due process of law 4.4 0.82 Freedom of expression 45 0.84 Freedom of religion 46 0.80 Right to privacy 47 0.86 Freedom of association 4.8 0.69 Labor rights **Order and Security** 6 0.90 5.1 Absence of crime 0.92 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 0.71 5.3 Absence of violent redress **Regulatory Enforcement** Ê 0.76 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.94 6.2 No improper influence 0.69 6.

Fundamental Rights

0.5	0.07
No unreasonable delay	
6.4	0.82
Respect for due process	
6.5	0.75

6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Civil Justice	<u>1</u> 1
7.1	0.52
Accessibility and affordability	
7.2 No discrimination	0.59
7.3	0.91
No corruption	0.91
7.4	0.82
No improper gov't influence	
7.5	0.63
No unreasonable delay	
7.6	0.70
Effective enforcement	
7.7	0.79
Impartial and effective ADRs	
	84
Criminal Justice	
8.1	0.67
8.1 Effective investigations	0.67
Effective investigations	0.67
Effective investigations	
Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3	
Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.70
Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4	0.70
Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination	0.70
Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5	0.70
Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption	0.70 0.52 0.57 0.86
Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6	0.70 0.52 0.57
Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.70 0.52 0.57 0.86 0.81
Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6	0.70 0.52 0.57 0.86
Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No improper gov't influence 8.7 No discrimination	0.70 0.52 0.57 0.86 0.81

United States

10 percent level

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ove	erall Score	Regional Ran	k	Income R	lank	Global	Rank
	0.70	19/31		26/4	6	26/1	L42
Sco	re Change	Rank Change	<u>e</u>				
	0.00	0					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.69	0.00	21/31	27/46	28/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.73	0.00	15/31	22/46	22/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.76	0.00	13/31	15/46	15/142
ţ‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.68	0.00	26/31	35/46	38/142
f	Order and Se	curity	0.83	-0.01	22/31	30/46	33/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.72	0.00	16/31	22/46	22/142
ΔŢΔ	Civil Justice		0.62	-0.01	22/31	33/46	38/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.60	-0.01	22/31	29/46	29/142
	ates statistically cent level	significant change a	at the	Low	M	edium 🗌	High



ŧŧ

0.40

.

United States
 EU, EFTA, and North America
 High

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1	0.71
Limits by legislature	
1.2	0.70
Limits by judiciary	
1.3	0.70
Independent auditing	
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.58
	0.74
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.71
1.6	0.75
Lawful transition of power	0.75
·	
Absence of Corruption	*
0.1	• 70
2.1 In the executive branch	0.72
2.2	0.90
In the judiciary	0.70
2.3	0.83
In the police/military	

0.48

ŀ

0.77

0.72

0.74

0.80

No discrimination	0.48
4.2 Right to life and security	0.76
4.3 Due process of law	0.62
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.71
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.70
4.6 Right to privacy	0.81
4.7 Freedom of association	0.79
4.8 Labor rights	0.55
Order and Security	f
5.1 Absence of crime	0.83
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.66
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.69
6.1	0.69
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	0.87

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Fundamental Rights

Civil Justice	₽Ţ₽
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.45
7.2 No discrimination	0.36
7.3 No corruption	0.82
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.69
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.60
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.68
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.77
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.66
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.63
	0.63
Timely and effective adjudication	
Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4	0.51
Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5	0.51

2.4

3.1

3.2

3.3

3.4

In the legislature

Open Government

Right to information

Civic participation

Complaint mechanisms

Publicized laws and gov't data

Uruguay

Constraints on Government

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ove	erall Score	Regional Ran	k I	ncome R	ank	Global	Rank
	0.72	1/32		25/4	6	25/2	142
Sco	re Change	Rank Change	ł				
	0.00	0					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.76	0.01	2/32	17/46	18/142
\$	Absence of Co	orruption	0.73	0.00	1/32	21/46	21/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.73	0.00	1/32	18/46	18/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.80	0.01	1/32	14/46	14/142
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.71	-0.01	10/32	43/46	78/142
4	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.71	0.00	1/32	25/46	25/142
₽ŢŢ	Civil Justice		0.72	0.00	1/32	18/46	18/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.58	0.01	6/32	35/46	38/142
	ates statistically cent level	significant change a	t the	Low	M	edium 🗌	High

Powers Criminal Justice Absence of Corruption Civil Open Justice Government Regulatory Fundamental Rights Enforcement Order and Security 2023 Score _____ 2022 Score

10 percent level

Uruguay Latin America and Caribbean High



Fundamental Rights	ţţ
4.1	0.76
No discrimination	
4.2	0.87
Right to life and security	
4.3	0.63
Due process of law	
4.4	0.78
Freedom of expression	
4.5	0.85
Freedom of religion	
4.6	0.81
Right to privacy	
4.7	0.85
Freedom of association	
4.8	0.83
Labor rights	
Order and Security	6
5.1	0.69
Absence of crime	
5.2	1.00
Absence of civil conflict	
5.3	0.44
Absence of violent redress	
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.68
6.2	0.81
No improper influence	0.01
6.3	0.55
No unreasonable delay	0.00
6.4	0.67
Respect for due process	5.07
6.5	0.81
No expropriation w/out adequate compensa	

Civil Justice	ΔŢΔ
7.1	0.78
Accessibility and affordability	0.79
7.2 No discrimination	0.79
7.3	0.80
No corruption	
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.81
7.5	0.51
No unreasonable delay	
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.62
7.7	0.73
Impartial and effective ADRs	
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1	0.40
8.1 Effective investigations	
8.1	0.40 0.54
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective adjudication 	
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 	0.54
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 	0.54
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 	0.54
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 	0.54
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.54
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 No improper gov't influence 	0.54 0.30 0.62 0.77 0.77
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 No corruption 8.6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.54 0.30 0.62 0.77

Uzbekistan

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score		Regional Rank		Income	Rank	Global Rank	
	0.50	8/15		8/37		78/142	
Score Change		Rank Chan	ge				
	0.00 2 •						
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.38	-0.01	11/15	25/37	119/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.47	0.00	6/15	3/37	66/142
	Open Govern	ment	0.37	0.01	14/15	25/37	119/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.45	0.00	12/15	17/37	109/142
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.90	0.00	1/15	1/37	17/142
4	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.45	0.01	10/15	15/37	99/142
₽Ţ₫	Civil Justice		0.52	0.01	6/15	7/37	74/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.44	-0.01	6/15	6/37	71/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High							



ŤŤ 0.59

8.7

No improper gov't influence

Due process of law

0.39

10 percent level

Uzbekistan
 Eastern Europe and Central Asia
 Lower-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.30
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.36
1.3 Independent auditing	0.34
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.43
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.39
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.43
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.44
2.2 In the judiciary	0.46
2.3 In the police/military	0.43
2.4 In the legislature	0.55

No discrimination	
4.2	0.47
Right to life and security	
4.3 Due process of law	0.39
	0.20
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.39
4.5	0.58
Freedom of religion	
4.6	0.31
Right to privacy	
4.7	0.32
Freedom of association	
4.8 Labor rights	0.54
Labor rights	
Order and Security	6
	0.01
5.1 Absence of crime	0.91
Absence of crime	
	0.91
Absence of crime	
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	1.00
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	1.00
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	1.00
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	1.00 0.78
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	1.00 0.78
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence	1.00 0.78 0.57 0.53
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	1.00 0.78
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3	1.00 0.78 0.57 0.53
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay	1.00 0.78 0.57 0.53 0.62
Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 No unreasonable delay 6.4	1.00 0.78 0.57 0.53 0.62 0.26

Fundamental Rights

4.

ŀ

0.35

0.35

0.53

0.26

Civil Justice	₽Ţ₽
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.50
7.2 No discrimination	0.58
7.3 No corruption	0.46
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.27
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.77
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.44
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.61
Criminal Justice	්ර
8.1 Effective investigations	0.50
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.60
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.61
8.4 No discrimination	0.34
8.5 No corruption	0.45
8.6	0.22

3.3

3.4

Open Government

3.1

3.2

Right to information

Civic participation

Complaint mechanisms

Publicized laws and gov't data

Venezuela, RB

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score R		Regional Ra	Rank Income I		Rank	Global Rank		
	0.26	32/32			41/41		142/142	
Score Change Rank Ch		Rank Chan	ge					
	0.00	0						
			Fact Sco		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints of Government		0.1	8	0.01	32/32	41/41	142/142
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.2	8	0.00	29/32	39/41	132/142
ŀ	Open Govern	iment	0.2	8	0.00	32/32	41/41	139/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.3	0	0.00	31/32	40/41	134/142
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.5	1	0.01*	30/32	40/41	132/142
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.1	.9	0.01	32/32	41/41	142/142
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.2	6	-0.01	32/32	41/41	141/142
60	Criminal Just	ice	0.1	2	0.00	32/32	41/41	142/142
* Indicates statistically significant change at the Low Medium High								



🗕 Venezuela, RB 🛑 Latin America and Caribbean 🛑 Upper-Middle

Constraints on Government Powers	Ŵ
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.33
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.13
1.3 Independent auditing	0.08
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.11
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.25
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.19
Absence of Corruption	_
2.1 In the executive branch	0.29
2.2 In the judiciary	0.15
2.3 In the police/military	0.32
2.4 In the legislature	0.34
Open Government	
3.1	0.18
Publicized laws and gov't data	0.05

	Publicized laws and gov't data					
3.2			0.25			
	Right to information	-				
3.3			0.26			
	Civic participation					
3.4			0.43			
	Complaint mechanism	S				

Fundamental Rights	
4.1 No discrimination	0.46
4.2 Right to life and security	0.09
4.3 Due process of law	0.17
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.25
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.59
4.6 Right to privacy	0.02
4.7 Freedom of association	0.28
4.8 Labor rights	0.53
Order and Security	6
	1
5.1 Absence of crime	0.33
5.1	0.33
5.1 Absence of crime	
5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	1.00
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	1.00 0.20
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2	1.00 0.20
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 Absence of violent redress	1.00 0.20 0.31 0.40

Civil Justice	₽Ţ₽
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.44
7.2 No discrimination	0.49
7.3 No corruption	0.17
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.05
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.06
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.16
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.44
Criminal Justice	60
8.1 Effective investigations	0.12
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.12
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.04

8.4		0.11
No discrimination		
8.5		0.25
No corruption		
8.6		0.02
No improper gov't	influence	
8.7	11	0.17
Due process of law	/	

Vietnam

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ô١	verall Score	Regional Ra	nk	Income Rank		Global Rank	
	0.49	11/15		11/3	37	87/142	
Score Change Rank		Rank Chang	ge				
	0.00	-1 •					
			Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
	Constraints o Government		0.45	0.00	12/15	19/37	102/142
\$	Absence of C	orruption	0.42	0.00	13/15	11/37	89/142
	Open Government		0.45	-0.02	11/15	14/37	96/142
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.45	0.00	11/15	18/37	110/142
f	Order and Se	curity	0.78	0.01	9/15	2/37	51/142
4	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.44	0.00	13/15	18/37	104/142
ΔŢΔ	Civil Justice		0.45	-0.02	13/15	19/37	104/142
ර්ව	Criminal Just	ice	0.46	0.01	9/15	3/37	66/142
	cates statistically rcent level	significant change	e at the	Lov	v N	/ledium	High



Vietnam East Asia and Pacific Lower-Middle



Fundamental Rights	ţ‡
4.1 No discrimination	0.55
4.2 Right to life and security	0.47
4.3 Due process of law	0.45
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.40
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.30
4.6 Right to privacy	0.41
4.7 Freedom of association	0.39
4.8 Labor rights	0.62
Order and Security	î
5.1 Absence of crime	0.92
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.43
Regulatory Enforcement	
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.60
6.2 No improper influence	0.41
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.45
6.4 Respect for due process	0.34
6.5	0.39

No expropriation w/out adequate compensation

Civil Justice	٥Ţ۵
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.49
7.2 No discrimination	0.56
7.3 No corruption	0.34
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.32
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.48
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.40
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.54
Criminal Justice	ŝ



Complaint mechanisms

Zambia

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	ank		Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank	
	0.45	15/34			6/1	8	105	5/142	
Sco	re Change	Rank Chan	ge						
	0.00	0							
			Fact Sco		Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	
	Constraints of Government		0.4	6	0.00	17/34	7/18	98/142	
Š	Absence of C	orruption	0.3	8	0.00	17/34	8/18	104/142	
ŀ	Open Govern	iment	0.3	9	0.00	15/34	7/18	110/142	
†‡	Fundamental	Rights	0.4	0	0.00	25/34	13/18	119/142	
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.6	9	0.01	13/34	6/18	90/142	
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.4	3	0.00	21/34	8/18	113/142	
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.4	6	-0.01	15/34	5/18	98/142	
do	Criminal Just	ice	0.4	0	-0.01	12/34	5/18	84/142	
	ates statistically cent level	significant chang	e at the	е	Lov	v N	1edium	High	



ŧŧ

10 percent level

💳 Zambia 💳 Sub-Saharan Africa 💶 Low

Constraints on Government Powers	Ó
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.49
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.36
1.3 Independent auditing	0.47
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.52
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.41
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.51
Absence of Corruption	Š
2.1 In the executive branch	0.41
2.2 In the judiciary	0.51
2.3 In the police/military	0.33
2.4 In the legislature	0.26
Open Government	ŀ
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.25
3.2 Right to information	0.45
3.3 Civic participation	0.44
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.43

Tunumentar Rights	- 14
4.1 No discrimination	0.50
4.2 Right to life and security	0.30
4.3 Due process of law	0.36
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.41
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.61
4.6 Right to privacy	0.23
4.7 Freedom of association	0.41
4.8 Labor rights	0.40
-	0
Order and Security	1
5.1 Absence of crime	0.62
5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.43
Regulatory Enforcement	4
6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.49
6.2 No improper influence	0.40
6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.45
6.4 Respect for due process	0.34
6.5	0.43
No expropriation w/out adequate compensa	ation

Fundamental Rights

Civil Justice	ΔĪΔ
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.42
7.2 No discrimination	0.43
7.3 No corruption	0.42
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.42
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.42
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.58
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.50
Criminal Justice	60
8.1 Effective investigations	0.45
8.2 Timely and effective adjudication	0.46
8.3 Effective correctional system	0.30

8.4	0.47
No discrimination	
8.5	0.36
No corruption	
8.6	0.38
No improper gov't influ	ence
8.7	0.36
Due process of law	

Zimbabwe

1.6

Absence of 2.2 Corruption

Fundamental Rights

Open Government

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

	0,			0,	0	,		
Ov	erall Score	Regional Ra	ank	Income	Rank	Globa	al Rank	
	0.40	26/34		26/3	37	123	/142	Constraints on Government Powers
Sco	ore Change	Rank Chan	ge					Criminal 8.6 8.7 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 Justice 8.5
	0.01 -	3 •						8.4
			Factor Score		Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank	8.2 8.1 7.7
Í	Constraints o Government		0.33	0.00	32/34	32/37	130/142	7.6
\$	Absence of Co	orruption	0.32	0.01*	22/34	26/37	120/142	7.5 Civil 7.4
ŀ	Open Govern	ment	0.33	0.00	29/34	29/37	130/142	Justice 7.3
ţţ	Fundamental	Rights	0.34	0.00	32/34	31/37	130/142	7.1
ĥ	Order and Se	curity	0.67	0.00	15/34	18/37	95/142	6.5
	Regulatory E	nforcement	0.35	0.00	31/34	34/37	135/142	6.3 Regulatory Enforcement 5.3 5.2 5.4 4.7
₽Ţ₽	Civil Justice		0.46	0.01	14/34	16/37	94/142	Enforcement 5.3 5.2 5.1 4.8 4.7 Order and Security
50	Criminal Just	ice	0.36	0.00	17/34	18/37	98/142	Security
10 per	rcent level	significant chang		Lov		/ledium	High	— 2023 Score — 2022 Score
	Zimbabwe	Sub-Saharan	Africa	Lowe	er-Middle			
Co	onstraints on	Government	Powers	5	F	undame	ental Righ	ts ti Civil Justice
.1				0.33	4.1			0.42 7.1

	шш
1.1 Limits by legislature	0.33
1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.31
1.3 Independent auditing	0.43
1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.36
1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.26
1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.27
Absence of Corruption	\$
2.1 In the executive branch	0.30
2.2 In the judiciary	0.45
2.3 In the police/military	0.31
2.4 In the legislature	0.23
Open Government	ŀ
3.1 Publicized laws and gov't data	0.18
3.2 Right to information	0.39
3.3 Civic participation	0.28
3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.48

Fundamental Rights	_ 17
4.1 No discrimination	0.42
4.2 Right to life and security	0.32
4.3 Due process of law	0.35
4.4 Freedom of expression	0.26
4.5 Freedom of religion	0.47
4.6 Right to privacy	0.17
4.7 Freedom of association	0.27
4.8 Labor rights	0.47
Order and Security	0
	1
5.1 Absence of crime	0.61
5.1	
5.1 Absence of crime	0.61
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3	0.61
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.61
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1	0.61 1.00 0.40
 5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 Absence of violent redress 	0.61 1.00 0.40
5.1 Absence of crime 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 5.3 Absence of violent redress Regulatory Enforcement 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 6.2 No improper influence 6.3 Absence of violent redress	0.61 1.00 0.40 0.41 0.41

Civil Justice	∆ <u>T</u> ∆
7.1 Accessibility and affordability	0.44
7.2 No discrimination	0.46
7.3 No corruption	0.43
7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.27
7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.50
7.6 Effective enforcement	0.63
7.7 Impartial and effective ADRs	0.53
Criminal Justice	්ට
8.1 Effective investigations	0.40
8.1	0.49
8.1 Effective investigations 8.2	
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective adjudication 	0.49
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 Effective adjudication 	0.49
 8.1 Effective investigations 8.2 Timely and effective adjudication 8.3 Effective correctional system 8.4 No discrimination 8.5 1 	0.49 0.36 0.38
SECTION 4

Behind the Numbers

"Wherever law ends, tyranny begins." **John Locke** Two Treatises of Government (1689)

l

- 182 Methodology Snapshot
- 183 Methodology
- **190** Contributing Experts
- 215 Acknowledgements
- 216 About the WJP
- **218** More from the WJP

Methodology Snapshot: Steps to Produce the WJP Rule of Law Index

The production of the WJP Rule of Law Index[®] can be summarized in 11 steps:

- **1.** The WJP developed the conceptual framework summarized in the Index's nine factors and 47 sub-factors, in consultation with academics, practitioners, and community leaders from around the world.
- 2. The Index team developed a set of five questionnaires based on the Index's conceptual framework to be administered to experts and the general public. Questionnaires were translated into several languages and adapted to reflect commonly used terms and expressions.
- **3.** The Index team identified, on average, more than 300 potential local experts per country to respond to the expert surveys, or Qualified Respondents' Questionnaires (QRQs). The team engaged the services of leading local polling companies to implement the household surveys, or General Population Poll (GPP).
- **4.** Polling companies conducted pilot tests of the GPP in consultation with the Index team, and launched the final survey for full fieldwork.
- **5.** The Index team sent the QRQ questionnaires to local experts and engaged in continual interaction with them.
- 6. The Index team collected and mapped the data onto the 44 sub-factors with global comparability.
- 7. The Index team constructed the final scores using a five-step process:
 - a. Codified the questionnaire items as numeric values;
 - **b.** Produced raw country scores by aggregating the responses from several individuals (experts and/or general public);
 - c. Normalized the raw scores;
 - d. Aggregated the normalized scores into sub-factors and factors using simple averages;
 - e. Produced the normalized scores, which are rounded to two decimal points, and the final rankings.
- 8. The data was subject to a series of tests to identify possible biases and errors. For example, the Index team cross-checked all sub-factors against more than 70 third-party sources, including quantitative data and qualitative assessments drawn from local and international organizations.
- **9.** A sensitivity analysis was conducted by the Econometrics and Applied Statistics Unit of the European Commission's Joint Research Centre, in collaboration with the Index team, to assess the statistical reliability of the results.
- 10. To illustrate whether the rule of law in a country significantly changed over the course of the past year, a measure of change over time was produced based on the annual difference in the country-level factor scores, the standard errors of these scores (estimated from a set of 100 bootstrap samples), and the results of the corresponding t-tests.
- **11.** The data was organized into country reports, tables, and figures to facilitate its presentation and interpretation. For tables organized by income group, the WJP follows the World Bank income classifications.

Methodology

The WJP Rule of Law Index is the first attempt to systematically and comprehensively quantify the rule of law around the world and remains unique in its operationalization of rule of law dimensions into concrete questions.

The WJP Rule of Law Index 2023 report presents information on eight composite factors that are further disaggregated into 44 specific sub-factors (see page 16). Factor 9: Informal Justice, is included in the conceptual framework but has been excluded from the aggregated scores and rankings in order to provide meaningful cross-country comparisons.

The country scores and rankings presented in this report are built from more than 500 variables drawn from the assessments of over 149,000 households and 3,400 legal practitioners and experts in 142 countries and jurisdictions, making it the most accurate portrayal of the factors that contribute to shaping the rule of law in a country or jurisdiction.

Data Sources

To present an image that accurately portrays the rule of law as experienced by ordinary people, each score of the Index is calculated using a large number of questions drawn from two original data sources collected by the World Justice Project in each country: a General Population Poll (GPP) and a series of Qualified Respondents' Questionnaires (QRQs). These two data sources collect up-to-date firsthand information that is not available at the global level and constitute the world's most comprehensive dataset of its kind. They capture the experiences and perceptions of ordinary citizens and in-country professionals concerning the performance of the state and its agents and the actual operation of the legal framework in their country.

The GPP surveys provide firsthand information on the experiences and the perceptions of ordinary people regarding a range of pertinent rule of law information, including their dealings with the government, the ease of interacting with state bureaucracy, the extent of bribery and corruption, the availability of dispute resolution systems, and the prevalence of common crimes to which they are exposed.

The GPP questionnaire includes 127 perception-based questions and 213 experience-based questions, along with socio-demographic information on all respondents. The questionnaire is translated into local languages, adapted to common expressions, and administered by leading local polling companies using a probability sample of 1,000 respondents.¹ In previous editions of the Index, the poll was conducted in the three largest cities of each country. However, the World Justice Project's goal was to update its

methodology to include nationally representative polls. Towards this end, nationally representative polls have been conducted in 83 countries and jurisdictions covered in the 2023 WJP Rule of Law Index. Nationally representative polls will be conducted in the remaining countries in future editions of the Index. Depending on the particular situation of each country, one of three different polling methodologies is used: face-to-face, telephone, or online. The GPP has been carried out in each country every few years. The polling data used in this year's report was collected during summer 2023 (for three countries), fall 2021 through summer 2022 (for 21 countries), fall 2020 through summer 2021 (for 16 countries), fall 2019 (for five countries), fall 2018 (for 57 countries), fall 2017 (for 42 countries), fall 2016 (for four countries), fall 2014 (for three countries), fall 2012 (for one country), and fall 2011 (for two countries). Detailed information regarding the country coverage (cities covered or nationally representative), the polling companies contracted to administer the questionnaire, and the polling methodology employed in each of the 142 countries and jurisdictions is presented on page 186.

The QRQs complement the household data with assessments from in-country practitioners and academics with expertise in civil and commercial law; constitutional law, civil liberties, and criminal law; labor law; and public health. These questionnaires gather timely input on a range of topics from practitioners who frequently interact with state institutions. Such topics include information on the efficacy of courts, the strength of regulatory enforcement, and the reliability of accountability mechanisms.

The questionnaires contain closed-ended perception questions and several hypothetical scenarios with highly detailed factual assumptions aimed at ensuring comparability across countries. The QRQ surveys are conducted annually, and the questionnaires are completed by respondents selected from directories of law firms, universities and colleges, research organizations, and non-governmental organizations (NGOs), as well as through referrals from the WJP global network of practitioners, and all are vetted by WJP staff based on their expertise. The expert surveys are administered in six languages: Arabic, English, French, Portuguese, Russian, and Spanish. The QRQ data for this report includes more than 3,400 surveys, which represents an average of 24 respondents per country. This data was collected from February 2023 through June 2023.

1. Due to small populations or obstacles to data collection in certain countries and jurisdictions, the sampling plan was adjusted in some cases. For more information on specific countries and jurisdictions and sample sizes, see pages 186-189

Data Cleaning and Score Computation

Once collected, the data is carefully processed to arrive at country-level scores. As a first step, the respondent level data is edited to exclude partially completed surveys, suspicious data, and outliers (which are detected using the Z-score method). Individual answers are then mapped onto the 44 sub-factors of the Index (or onto the intermediate categories that make up each sub-factor), codified so that all values fall between 0 (weakest adherence to the rule of law) and 1 (strongest adherence to the rule of law), and aggregated at the country level using the simple (or unweighted) average of all respondents.

This year, to allow for an easier comparison across years, the resulting 2023 scores have been normalized using the Min-Max method with a base year of 2015. These normalized scores were then successively aggregated from the variable level all the way up to the factor level to produce the final country scores, rounded to two decimal points, and rankings. In most cases, the GPP and QRQ questions are equally weighted in the calculation of the scores of the intermediate categories (sub-factors and sub-sub-factors)

A full picture of how questions are mapped onto indicators and how they are weighted is available on the WJP Rule of Law Index web page at https://worldjusticeproject.org/index.

Data Validation

As a final step, data is validated and cross-checked against qualitative and quantitative third-party sources to provide an additional layer of analysis and to identify possible mistakes or inconsistencies within the data. Most of the third-party data sources used to cross-check the Index scores are described in Botero and Ponce (2011).²

Methodological Changes to this Year's Report

Every year, the WJP reviews the methods of data collection to ensure that the information produced is valid, useful, and continues to capture the status of the rule of law in the world. To maintain consistency with previous editions and to facilitate tracking changes over time, this year's questionnaires and data maps are closely aligned with those administered in the past.

In order to improve the accuracy of the QRQ results and reduce respondent burden, proactive dependent interviewing techniques were used to remind respondents who participated in last year's survey of their responses in the previous year.

This year, no new questions or indicators were added to the Index. Overall, 100 percent of questions remained the same between the 2022 and 2023 editions of the Index. A description of the variables is available at worldjusticeproject.org.

Tracking Changes Over Time

This year's report includes a measure to illustrate whether the rule of law in a country, as measured through the factors of the WJP Rule of Law Index, has experienced a statistically significant change since the previous year. This measure is marked with an asterisk and represents a summary of rigorous statistical testing based on the use of bootstrapping procedures (see below). For each factor, the change in score will be marked with an asterisk and shaded in green if there was a statistically significant improvement in the score while statistically significant deteriorations in score are marked with an asterisk and shaded in red. This measure complements the numerical scores and rankings presented in this report, which benchmark each country's current performance on the factors and sub-factors of the Index against that of other countries. The measure of change over time is constructed in three steps:

- 1. First, last year's scores are subtracted from this year's to obtain, for each country and each factor, the annual difference in scores.
- 2. To test whether the annual changes are statistically significant, a bootstrapping procedure is used to estimate standard errors. To calculate these errors, 100 sample sizes of respondent-level observations (of equal size to the original sample) are randomly selected with replacement for each country from the pooled set of respondents for last year and this year. These samples are used to produce a set of 100 country-level scores for each factor and each country, which are utilized to calculate the final standard errors. These errors--which measure uncertainty associated with picking a particular sample of respondents--are then employed to conduct pair-wise t-tests for each country and each factor.
- 3. Finally, to illustrate the annual change, a measure of change over time is produced based on the value of the annual difference and its statistical significance (at the 10% level).

Strengths and Limitations

The Index methodology has both strengths and limitations. Among its strengths is the inclusion of both expert and household surveys to ensure that the findings reflect the conditions experienced by the population. Another strength is that it approaches the measurement of rule of law from various angles by triangulating information across data sources and types of questions. This approach not only enables accounting for different perspectives on the rule of law, but it also helps to reduce possible bias that might be introduced by any other particular data collection method. Finally, it relies on statistical testing to determine the significance of the changes in the factor scores over the last year.

2. Botero, J. and Ponce, A. (2011) "Measuring the Rule of Law": WJP Working Paper No. 1, available at worldjusticeproject.org/publications.

With the aforementioned methodological strengths come a number of limitations. First, the data sheds light on rule of law dimensions that appear comparatively strong or weak, but is not specific enough to establish causation. Thus, it will be necessary to use the Index in combination with other analytical tools to provide a full picture of causes and possible solutions. Second, in previous editions of the Index, the methodology has only been applied in three major urban areas in each of the indexed countries for the General Population Poll. However, the World Justice Project's goal was to update its methodology to include nationally representative polls. Towards this end, nationally representative polls have been conducted in 83 countries and jurisdictions covered in the 2023 WJP Rule of Law Index. Nationally representative polls will be conducted in the remaining countries in future editions of the Index. Third, given the rapid changes to the rule of law occurring in some countries, scores for some countries may be sensitive to the specific points in time when the data was collected. To address this, the WJP is piloting test methods of moving averages to account for short-term fluctuations. Fourth, the QRQ data may be subject to problems in measurement error due to the limited number of experts in some countries, resulting in less precise estimates. To address this, the WJP works constantly to expand its network of in-country academic and practitioner experts who contribute their time and expertise to this endeavor. Finally, due to the limited number of experts in some countries (which implies higher standard errors) and the fact that the GPP is carried out in each country every few years (which implies that for some countries, some variables do not change from one year to another), it is possible that the test described above fails to detect small changes in a country's situation over time.

Other Methodological Considerations

A detailed presentation of the methodology, including a table and description of the more than 500 variables used to construct the Index scores, is available at: worldjusticeproject. org and in Botero, J. and Ponce, A. (2011) "Measuring the Rule of Law": WJP Working Paper No.1, available at: worldjusticeproject.org/publications.

Using the WJP Rule of Law Index

The WJP Rule of Law Index has been designed to offer a reliable and independent data source for policy makers, businesses, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and other constituencies to assess a country's adherence to the rule of law as perceived and experienced by the average person, identify a country's strengths and weaknesses in comparison to similarly situated countries, and track changes over time. The Index has been designed to include several features that set it apart from other indices and make it valuable for a large number of countries, thus providing a powerful resource that can inform policy debates both within and across countries. However, the Index's findings must be interpreted in light of certain inherent limitations.

- 1. The WJP Rule of Law Index does not identify priorities for reform and is not intended to establish causation or to ascertain the complex relationship among different rule of law dimensions in various countries.
- 2. The Index's scores and rankings are the product of a rigorous data collection and aggregation methodology. Nonetheless, as with all measurements, they are subject to measurement error.
- 3. Given the uncertainty associated with picking a particular sample of respondents, standard errors have been calculated using bootstrapping methods to test whether the annual changes in the factor scores are statistically significant.
- 4. Indices and indicators are subject to potential abuse and misinterpretation. Once released to the public, they can take on a life of their own and be used for purposes unanticipated by their creators. If data is taken out of context, it can lead to unintended or erroneous policy decisions.
- 5. Rule of law concepts measured by the Index may have different meanings across countries. Users are encouraged to consult the specific definitions of the variables employed in the construction of the Index, which are discussed in greater detail in the methodology section of the *WJP Rule of Law Index* website.
- 6. The Index is generally intended to be used in combination with other instruments, both quantitative and qualitative. Just as in the areas of health or economics, no single index conveys a full picture of a country's situation. Policy-making in the area of rule of law requires careful consideration of all relevant dimensions—which may vary from country to country—and a combination of sources, instruments, and methods.
- 7. Pursuant to the sensitivity analysis of the Index data conducted in collaboration with the Econometrics and Applied Statistics Unit of the European Commission's Joint Research Centre, confidence intervals have been calculated for all figures included in the *WJP Rule of Law Index*. These confidence intervals and other relevant considerations regarding measurement error are reported in Saisana and Saltelli (2015) and Botero and Ponce (2011).

The following pages (186-189) list the coverage and polling methodology for the GPP in the 142 indexed countries and jurisdictions.

ountry/Jurisdiction	Coverage	Polling Company	Methodology	Sample	Year
Afghanistan	Nationally representative	D3: Designs, Data, Decisions & ACSOR Surveys	Face-to-face	3019	2019
Albania	Nationally representative	IDRA Research & Consulting	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Algeria	Nationally representative	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Angola	Nationally representative	Marketing Support Consultancy	Face-to-face	1010	2018
Antigua and Barbuda	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	513/500	2018/2022
Argentina	Nationally representative	StatMark Group	Face-to-face	759	2022
Australia	Nationally representative	Big Picture Marketing Strategy & Research	Online	1067	2018
Austria	Vienna, Graz, Linz	YouGov	Online	1008	2017
The Bahamas	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500	2022
Bangladesh	Dhaka, Chittagong, Khulna	Org-Quest Research Ltd.	Face-to-face	1000	2016
Barbados	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	513/500	2018/2022
Belarus	Minsk, Gomel, Mogilev	Market Research & Polls - EURASIA (MRP-EURASIA)/WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000/401	2014/2017
Belgium	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1007	2018
Belize	Nationally representative	CID Gallup	Face-to-face	2004	2021
Benin	Nationally representative	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1010	2018
Bolivia	Nationally representative	Captura Consulting	Face-to-face	1000	2022
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Sarajevo, Banja Luka, Tuzla	Kantar TNS MIB	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Botswana	Nationally representative	BJKA Consulting	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Brazil	Nationally representative	About Brazil Market Research	Face-to-face	1109	2022
Bulgaria	Sofia, Plovdiv, Varna	Alpha Research Ltd.	Face-to-face	1001	2018
Burkina Faso	Ouagadougou, Bobo Dioulasso, Koudougou	Kantar TNS	Face-to-face	1029	2017
Cambodia	Phnom Penh, Battambang, Kampong Cham	Indochina Research	Face-to-face	1000	2014
Cameroon	Nationally representative	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1006	2018
Canada	Toronto, Montreal, Calgary	YouGov	Online	1000	2017
Chile	Santiago, Valparaíso/Viña del Mar, Antofagasta	Datum Internacional S.A./Cadem S.A.	Face-to-face	1011	2017
China	Shanghai, Beijing, Guangzhou	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	508	2018
Colombia	Nationally representative	Tempo Group SA	Face-to-face	1000	2022
Congo, Dem. Rep.	Kinshasa, Lubumbashi, Mbuji-Mayi	Kantar Public at TNS RMS Senegal	Face-to-face	1083	2018
Congo, Rep.	Nationally representative	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	517	2021
Costa Rica	Nationally representative	CID Gallup	Face-to-face	1005	2022
Côte d'Ivoire	Abidjan, Bouaké, Daloa	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1011	2017
Croatia	Nationally representative	lpsos	Face-to-face	1010	2018
Cyprus	Nationally representative	Pulse Market Research	Online	504	2021
Czechia	Prague, Brno, Ostrava	YouGov	Online	1013	2017
Denmark	Copenhagen, Aarhus, Aalborg	YouGov	Online	1016	2017
Dominica	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500	2022
Dominican Republic	Nationally representative	CID Gallup	Face-to-face	1002	2022
Ecuador	Nationally representative	StatMark Group	Face-to-face	1005	2022
Egypt, Arab Rep.	Cairo, Alexandria, Giza	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2017
El Salvador	Nationally representative	CID Latinoamerica	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Estonia	Tallinn, Tartu, Narva	Norstat Eesti	Online	1010	2017
Ethiopia	Addis Ababa, Gondar, Nazret	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1037	2017

FiladNationNationOnlineOther<	ountry/Jurisdiction	Coverage	Polling Company	Methodology	Sample	Year																																																																																																																
GaloonNationally representativeMontening Susper Consultancy Lid.Fore service5132022CorginTable, Ratanut, KunisiACT Molang Barang and Consultancy Lid.Fore service10002019GermanyNationally representativeNucciouOnline10012018GanaMathemity representativeNucciouOnline10012018GeneradaMathemity representativeNucciouGenerada20102018GeneradaMathemity representativeDubb Range SintaFore-fore20102012GalonaNationally representativeCatalacaFore-fore20102012GalonaNationally representativeCatalacaFore-fore20102012GanaNationally representativeCatalacaFore-fore20102012HattNationally representativeCatalacaFore-fore20102012HattNationally representativeCatalacaFore-fore20102012HattNationally representativeMathemathematic CatalacaFore-fore20102012HattNationally representativeMathemathematic CatalacaFore-fore20102012HattNationally representativeMathemathematic CatalacaFore-fore20102012HattNationally representativeMathemathematic CatalacaFore-fore20102012HattNationally representativeMathemathematic CatalacaFore-fore20102012Hatt <td>Finland</td> <td>Helsinki, Espoo, Tampere</td> <td>YouGov</td> <td>Online</td> <td>1014</td> <td>2017</td>	Finland	Helsinki, Espoo, Tampere	YouGov	Online	1014	2017																																																																																																																
The GambiaNationally expectationInitial harder laceards and CamponRace for the second of the second	France	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1040	2018																																																																																																																
GengiaThills, Borns, KulaisiACT Martest Research and Consulting ConsumeryProcess free10002011GennaryNationally representativeVendorOnline0.0012018GhanaNationally representativeIndinic Incight Lat.Frace-force110.012018GeneradoNationally representativeDMR biolds Lat.Frace-force0.0052018GeneradoConstany, Raerdone, KarlainMarcipado Central Averias áDendor for each frace2020GuineaConstany, Raerdone, KarlainKarlar Afala CENRAS ForegolFrace-force2020GuineaConstany, Raerdone, KarlainCill GalagoFrace-force20002011HaltiNationally representativeCill GalagoFrace-force20002012HaltiNationally representativeCill GalagoFrace-force20002017IndianNationally representativeCill GalagoFrace-force20002017IndianNationally representativeCill GalagoFrace-force20002017IndianNationally representativeCill GalagoFrace-force20002017IndianNationally representativeMarkat Xxit Dadi Matchin Nit. LillFrace-force20012012IndianNationally representativeMarkat Xxit Dadi Matchin Nit. LillFrace-force20012012IndianNationally representativeStart Markat ConsultFrace-force20012012IndianNationally representative <td< td=""><td>Gabon</td><td>Nationally representative</td><td>Marketing Support Consultancy Ltd.</td><td>Face-to-face</td><td>513</td><td>2022</td></td<>	Gabon	Nationally representative	Marketing Support Consultancy Ltd.	Face-to-face	513	2022																																																																																																																
GeorganInstructionally representativeConcourseInser formedDate of the date	The Gambia	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1030	2019																																																																																																																
GhanNationally representativeInitial indicipit List.Prace to face11032018GreeceAthess, Thestaloully, PatesWurkowOnline10150017GrandaNationally representativeConstructural Merica CalibbaniSace to face20082018GuineaOconstry, Naentore, KansonCalibbaniFace to face20082012GuineaOconstry, Naentore, KansonCalibbaniFace to face20082012HattNationally representativeCili GallupFace to face20032012Hong Kong SAR, ChinaManoally representativeCili GallupFace to face20012012HingaNationally representativeCili GallupFace to face20012017IndonesiaMatonally representativeCili GallupFace to face20012017IndonesiaMatonally representativeUil GallupFace to face20042018IndonesiaMatonally representativeMile Market Xol Dada Matis Put LiliFace to face20042018IndonesiaMatonally representativeMile Market GallowFace to face20042012IntraNationally representativeMile Market GallowFace to face20042012IndonesiaNationally representativeStateMatic GallowFace to face20042012IndonesiaNationally representativeStateMatic GallowFace to face20042012IndonesiaNationally representativeStateMatic Ga	Georgia	Tbilisi, Batumi, Kutaisi		Face-to-face	1000	2017																																																																																																																
GreeceAthers, Thesabolik, PatraYuGiovOnline10152017GreecedaNationally representativeDMR Heights Ltd.Face to face50002022GuineaConstruity representativeMetroglia Cattri Antrafa & antrafa antrafa & an	Germany	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1048	2018																																																																																																																
GenadaNationally regreentativeDMR insights tid.Face-to-face5002021GuatenalaNationally regreentativeScatteranFace-to-face50002011GuineaConskry, Nerreiore, KankanKondar Platicat TMS RMS SerregalFace-to-face50012011/2022GuineaNationally regreentativeStatterk GroupFace-to-face50072012/2022HaltNationally regreentativeGLI GallupFace-to-face50002012Hong Kong SAR, ChinaHong KongWDP in collaboration with local purtoentFace-to-face50042012IndiaNationally regreentativeWDP in collaboration with local purtoentFace-to-face10042012IndiaNationally regreentativeMather Keil Data Matrik Pri. Litt.Pace-to-face10042012IndiaNationally regreentativeMather Keil Data Matrik Pri. Litt.Pace-to-face10042012IndiaNationally regreentativeDynataOnline100020122012IndiaNationally regreentativeStatkrik ReguinOnline100020122012IndiaNationally regreentativeDynataOnline100020122012IndiaNationally regreentativeConsultant (MS Shift Brain DurtoentariteOnline10002012ItaliariaNationally regreentativeConsultant (MS Shift Brain DurtoentariteOnline10002012ItaliariaNationally regreentativeGone consultant (MS Shift Br	Ghana	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1103	2018																																																																																																																
Mercapian Central America & CamibanaFace-to-face2008GuineaCondary, Narestore, KaukanKatter Phake, At INS RMS SengalFace-to-face2019GuineaNationally representativeStiffArk KirosFace-to-face2017HaltNationally representativeCID GallupFace-to-face30032021Hong Kong SAR, ChinaHong KongWIP in cellisonation with beal partierFace-to-face30032021HungaryBudiocel, Debrecin, SteedLinos HungaryFace-to-face10042017IndianAbationally representativeMarket Xcol Data Matrin, Prv. Lint.Face-to-face10042017IndianNationally representativeMarket Xcol Data Matrin, Prv. Lint.Face-to-face10042017IndianNationally representativeMarket Xcol Data Matrin, Prv. Lint.Face-to-face10102018IndianskiCambarianiFace-to-face101020172011IndianskiStationally representativeMarket Xcol Data Matrin, Prv. Lint.Face-to-face10102012IndianskiCambarianiStationally representativeStatistick RepresentativeFace-to-face10102012JanaicaNationally representativeStatistick RepresentativeConstater Representative10102012JanaicaNationally representativeStatistick RepresentativeConstater Representative10102012JanaicaNationally representativeStatistic RepresentativeConstater Representative<	Greece	Athens, Thessaloniki, Patras	YouGov	Online	1015	2017																																																																																																																
SutternalsAutorally ingresentativeCambbaanFacte brace2.0002.011GuineaConskry, Netrokov, KandonKantar Public at TNS SongelFace-brace0.00542.011GuineaNationally representativeStatMak GroupFace-brace0.0002.012HondurasNationally representativeGLID GallupFace-brace0.0002.012Hong Kong SAR, ChinaHong KongWP in collaboration with load partnerFace-brace0.0002.011HungaryBudapesi, Detreem, SongedIteas hungaryFace-brace0.0002.011IndonesiaAnatonally representativeWP in collaboration with load partnerFace-brace0.0002.011IndonesiaAnatonally representativeMattel Xac Data Matrix Public At TNS Songel1.0002.0112.011IndonesiaAnatonally representativeDynataOnline0.00102.0112.011IndonesiaNationally representativeStatMark GroupFace-brace1.0002.011JanakaNationally representativeDynataOnline1.0012.011JanakaNationally representativeStatMark GroupFace-brace1.0012.011JanakaNationally representativeStatMark GroupFace-brace1.0012.011JanakaNationally representativeStatMark GroupFace-brace1.0012.011JanakaNationally representativeStatMark GroupFace-brace1.0012.011JanakaNation	Grenada	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500	2022																																																																																																																
GuyanaNationally representativeStetMark GroupFace-to-face527/5002018/2022HaitiNationally representativeCID GallupFace-to-face507720221Hong Kong SAR, ChinaMationally representativeCID GallupFace-to-face10042017HungaryBudapeat, Debrecen, SzegedIpstoin HungaryFace-to-face10092018IndiaNationally representativeMile Ket Xcd Data Matrix Pr. Ltd.Face-to-face10002017IndiaNationally representativeMile Ket Xcd Data Matrix Pr. Ltd.Face-to-face10002018IndiaNationally representativeBil/Konstling Mile Indianalia partierFace-to-face10012018IntelandNationally representativeBil/Konstling KenganichOnline10002018JanakaNationally representativeStatMark GroupFace-to-face10012021JanakaNationally representativeStatMark GroupFace-to-face10012021JanakaNationally representativeStatMark GroupFace-to-face10012021JordanNationally representativeStatMark GroupFace-to-face10012021KeryaNationally representativeStatMark GroupFace-to-face10002021JordanNationally representativeUBAR Research EconatingOnline10002021KaryaNationally representativeStatMark GroupFace-to-face10002021KaryaNationally repr	Guatemala	Nationally representative		Face-to-face	2508	2021																																																																																																																
HaitNutionally representativeCID GallagFace-to-face9072022HondurasNutionally representativeCID GallagFace-to-face30032021Hong Kong SAR, ChinaHang KongWPI no cilleanotion with local partnerFace-to-face10002017IndiaNationally representativeIteron HungsryFace-to-face10002018IndiaNationally representativeMarket Xet Data Matrix Pri. Ital.Face-to-face10012018IndiaNationally representativeBUKA consulting uith local uither MIA Metering Research IndonesiaFace-to-face10012021IreandNationally representativeDynaiaOiline10012021JamaicaNationally representativeDynaiaOiline10012022JapanNationally representativeStatthrif CorusFace-to-face10012028JordanNationally representativeMPI no cilleanotion with local partnerOiline10002028JordanNationally representativeMPI no cilleanotion with local partnerFace-to-face10002028KazahstanAlanus, Aslama, ShymiestiMPI no cilleanotion with local partnerFace-to-face10002028KoroaNationally representativeMore Mattering & Research partner100020282028KoroaNationally representativeMDR Accentative (MS Gan Intering & Research partner100020282028KoroaNationally representativeMo	Guinea	Conakry, Nzerekore, Kankan	Kantar Public at TNS RMS Senegal	Face-to-face	1065	2018																																																																																																																
HondurasNationally regresentativeCID GallupFace-to-face30032021Hong Kong SAR, ChinaHong KongWIP In collatoration with local partierFace-to-face10042017HungaryBudapest, Debrecen, StegedIsone HungaryFace-to-face10092018IndiaNationally regresentativeMarket Xcal Data Marke VL Lbd.Face-to-face10092018IndonesiaJakarta, Sunakoya, BandungMRI (Marketing Research Indonesia)Face-to-face10012021Iran, Islamic Rep.Tehran, Mashhad, IsfahanBil/K. consulting with local partierFace-to-face10012021IranaNationally regresentativeDynafaOnline10002018JanafaNationally regresentativeStatMark GroupFace-to-face10002018JordanNationally regresentativeStatMark GroupFace-to-face10002018KazakhstanAlanaky, Astana, ShynkentWIP in collaboration with local partierFace-to-face10002019Korza, Rep.Nationally regresentativeMiDR Basearch Consultant M/S Sin BhdOnline10002019Korza, Rep.Nationally regresentativeJorda Bartering & Research Consultant M/S Sin Bhd10002019Korza, Rep.Nationally regresentativeJorda Bartering & Research Consultant M/S Sin Bhd10002019Korza, Rep.Nationally regresentativeJorda Bartering & Research Consultant M/S Sin Bhd10002010Korza, Rep.National	Guyana	Nationally representative	StatMark Group	Face-to-face	527/500	2018/2022																																																																																																																
Hong Kong SAR, China Hong Kong W/P in collaboration with local partner Face-to-face 1004 2017 Hungary Budapett, Debracen, Szegied (Joso Hungary) Face-to-face 1000 2017 India Nationally representative Market Xcel Data Matrix Presentation 1004 2018 India Nationally representative MRI (Marketing Research Indonesia) Face-to-face 1001 2018 Iran, Islamic Rep. Tehran, Mashhad, Infahan BIKA consulting with local partner Face-to-face 1001 2018 Irani Islamic Rep. Nationally representative Stat Marketing & Research 1004 2022 Japan Nationally representative Stat Marketing & Research 1000 2018 Kazahstan Alatonally representative Stat Marketing & Research 1000 2018 Korea, Rep. Nationally representative MP in collaboration with local partner 1000 2017 Kazahstan Alatonally representative Infinite Insight List. Face-to-face 1000 2018 Korea, Rep. Nationally representative <	Haiti	Nationally representative	CID Gallup	Face-to-face	507	2022																																																																																																																
Hong Kong SAR, ChinaHong KongpartnerFace-to-face0.0040.2017HungaryBudacet, Detreco, SegodJaron HungaryFace-to-face10002017IndiaMationally representativeMarket Xed Data Matrix Pvr. LubFace-to-face10002017Iran, Islamic Rep.Tehran, Mashhud, IsfahanWRI (Marketing Rescarch Indonesia)Face-to-face10012007IrelandMationally representativeDynataOnline10022022JamaicaNationally representativeStat Mark GroupFace-to-face10002007JapanicaMationally representativeStat Mark GroupFace-to-face10002007JapanicaNationally representativeStat Mark GroupFace-to-face10002007JapanicaNationally representativeStat Mark GroupFace-to-face10002018JordanNationally representativeMarketing & ResearchOnline10002017KazakhstanMationally representativeMarketing & ResearchOnline10002018Korea, Rep.Nationally representativeInfinite Insight Lid.Face-to-face10002018Korea, Rep.Nationally representativeInfinite Insight Lid.Sace-to-face10002019KuwaitNationally representativeID6A Research & Consultant (MI San BhdOnline10002020KuwaitNationally representativeID6A Research & Consultant (MI San BhdOnline10002020Kurgy Rep	Honduras	Nationally representative	CID Gallup	Face-to-face	3003	2021																																																																																																																
IndiaNationally representativeMarket Xel Data Mitrix PvL Ltd.Face-to-face10592018IndonesiaJakarta. Surabaya, BandungMRI (Marketing Research Indonesia)Face-to-face10042017Iran, Islamic Rep.Tehran, Mashhad, IsfahanBJKA consulting with local partner MHA ResearchFace-to-face100020018Iran, Islamic Rep.Nationally representativeDynataOnline100120021JamaicaNationally representativeStatMArk GroupFace-to-face10002018JapanNationally representativeStatMark GroupFace-to-face10002018JordanNationally representativeStatMark GroupFace-to-face10002018KazakhstanAlmuty, Astana, ShymkentWIP in collaboration with local partnerFace-to-face10002018Korea, Rep.Nationally representativeInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10002018KuwaitNationally representativeUBRA ResearchOnline10002019KuwaitNationally representativeInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10002019KuwaitNationally representativeUBRA ResearchOnline10002019LakviatNationally representativeUBRA ResearchOnline10002019KuwaitNationally representativeUBRA ResearchOnline10002019LakviatNationally representativeVouGovOnline10142021Lakviat <td>Hong Kong SAR, China</td> <td>Hong Kong</td> <td></td> <td>Face-to-face</td> <td>1004</td> <td>2017</td>	Hong Kong SAR, China	Hong Kong		Face-to-face	1004	2017																																																																																																																
IndonesiaJakarta, Surabaya, BandungMRI (Marketing Research Indonesia)Face-to-face10042017Iran, Islamic Rep.Tehna, Mathhad, IsfahanBJKA consulting with local partner MHA ResearchFace-to-face10102018IrelandNationally representativeDynataOnline10072017JamaicaNationally representativeStatMack GroupFace-to-face10012028JapanNationally representativeAcom Marketing & Research consultant (M) Sto BhdOnline10002018JordanNationally representativeWJP in collaboration with local partnerFace-to-face10002018KazakhstanAlimaty, Astana, ShymkentWJP in collaboration with local partnerFace-to-face10002018KorsonNationally representativeInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10002018KorsonNationally representativeIDRA Research & Consultant (M) Sch Bhd10002018KuwaitNationally representativeIDRA Research & Consultant (M) Sch Bhd10002018KuwaitNationally representativeIDRA Research & Consultant (M) Sch Bhd10002018LikviatNationally representativeIDRA Research & Consultant (M) Sch Bhd10012020KuwaitNationally representativeIDRA Research & Consultant (M) Sch Bhd10012021LikviatNationally representativeYouGovGnline10102021LikviatNationally representativeYouGovGnline	Hungary	Budapest, Debrecen, Szeged	Ipsos Hungary	Face-to-face	1000	2017																																																																																																																
Iran, Islamic Rep.Tehran, Mashhad, IsfahanBKA consulting with local partner MHA ResearchFace-to-face10102018IrelandNationally representativeDynataOnline10072021JamaicaRome, Mian, NaplesYouGovOnline10042017JamaicaNationally representativeStatMark GroupFace-to-face10012002JapanNationally representativeAccom Markening & Research Consultant (M) Sin BindOnline10002018JordanNationally representativeWJP in collaboration with local partnerFace-to-face10002018KazakhstanAlmaty, Astana, ShymkentWJP in collaboration with local partnerFace-to-face10002018Korea, Rep.Nationally representativeInfinite Insight Lid.Face-to-face10002018Korea, Rep.Nationally representativeIDRA Research & Consultant (M) Sin Bind Consultant (M) Sin BindOnline10002018KuwaitNationally representativeIDRA Research & Consultant (M) Sin BindOnline10002018Kuyzy RepublicNationally representativeIDRA Research & Consultant (M) Sin Bind10002018LatviaNationally representativeIDRA Research & Consultant (M) Sin Bind10002018LatviaNationally representativeIDRA Research & Consultant (M) Sin Bind10492021LatviaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10492021LatviaNationally representative	India	Nationally representative	Market Xcel Data Matrix Pvt. Ltd.	Face-to-face	1059	2018																																																																																																																
Iran, Isiamic Kep.Lehna, Matshhad, IstananMHA ResearchPace-to-face0.0102001IrelandNationally representativeDynataOnline10072021JamaicaNationally representativeStatMark GroupFace-to-face10012022JapanNationally representativeStatMark GroupFace-to-face10002003JordanNationally representativeConsultant Mi Scin Basearch partnerOnline10002003JordanNationally representativeWJP in collaboration with local partnerFace-to-face10002019KazakhstanAlmaty, Astana, ShymkentWJP in collaboration with local partnerFace-to-face10002019Korea, Rep.Nationally representativeInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10002019KuwaitNationally representativeIDRA Research & Consulting Consultant (Mi Sch Basearch)Online20192019KuwaitNationally representativeIDRA Research & Consulting Consultant (Mi Sch Basearch)Face-to-face10002019KuwaitNationally representativeIDRA Research & Consulting Consultant (Mi Sch Basearch)Face-to-face10002019KuwaitNationally representativeIDRA Research & Consultant (Mi Sch Basearch)Online10002019KuwaitNationally representativeIDRA Research & Consultant (Mi Sch Basearch)Online10002019KuwaitNationally representativeIDRA Research & Consultant (Mi Sch Basearch) <t< td=""><td>Indonesia</td><td>Jakarta, Surabaya, Bandung</td><td>MRI (Marketing Research Indonesia)</td><td>Face-to-face</td><td>1004</td><td>2017</td></t<>	Indonesia	Jakarta, Surabaya, Bandung	MRI (Marketing Research Indonesia)	Face-to-face	1004	2017																																																																																																																
ItalyRome, Milan, NaplesYouGovOnline10042017JamaicaNationally representativeStatMark GroupFace-to-face10012022JapanNationally representativeAcom Marketing & Research Consultant (M) 5dn BildOnline10002018JordanNationally representativeWUP in collaboration with local partnerFace-to-face10002018KazakhstanAlmaty, Astana, ShymkentWUP in collaboration with local partnerFace-to-face10002018Korea, Rep.Nationally representativeInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10002019Korea, Rep.Nationally representativeIDRA Research & Consultant (M) 5dn BildOnline10002019Korea, Rep.Nationally representativeIDRA Research & Consultant (M) 5dn BildOnline10002019Korea, Rep.Nationally representativeIDRA Research & Consultant (M) 5dn BildOnline10002019KuwaitNationally representativeJDRA Research & Consultant (M) 5dn BildOnline10002019LatviaNationally representativeIDRA Research & Consultant (M) 5dn BildFace-to-face10002019LatviaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10142021LatviaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10132021LatviaNationally representativeYouGovOnline6512021MadagascarAntananarivo, Toamasina, AntsirabeDCDM Research	Iran, Islamic Rep.	Tehran, Mashhad, Isfahan		Face-to-face	1010	2018																																																																																																																
JamaicaNationally representativeStatMark GroupFace-to-face10012021JapanNationally representativeAcorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdOnline10002018JordanNationally representativeWIP in collaboration with local partnerFace-to-face10002018KazakhstanAlmaty, Astana, ShymkentWIP in collaboration with local partnerFace-to-face10002018KeryaNationally representativeInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10002018Korea, Rep.Nationally representativeInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10002018Korea, Rep.Nationally representativeIDRA Research & Consulting Gossultant (M) Sdn BhdOnline10002019KwaitoNationally representativeIDBRA Research & Consulting Gossultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002018Kyrgyz RepublicNationally representativeIDBRA Research & Consulting Gossultant (M) Sdn Bhd101020192019LiberiaNationally representativeIDSRAFace-to-face10002019LiberiaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10142020LiberiaMonrovia, Gbarng and BuchanaInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10002019LiberiaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10132020MadagascarAntionally representativeDCDM ResearchFace-to-face10002017MalayKlang Valley,	Ireland	Nationally representative	Dynata	Online	1027	2021																																																																																																																
JapanNationally representativeAcom Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdOnline10002018JordanNationally representativeWJP in collaboration with local Face-to-face10002018KazakhstanAlmaty, Astana, ShymkentWJP in collaboration with local partnerFace-to-face10002017KenyaNationally representativeInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10002018Korea, Rep.Nationally representativeInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10002018KosovNationally representativeIDRA Research & Consultant (M) Sdn BhdOnline10002019KuwaitNationally representativeIDRA Research & Consultant (M) Sdn BhdOnline10002018KuwaitNationally representativeIDRA Research & Consultant (M) Sdn BhdOnline10002018KuwaitNationally representativeIDRA Research & Consultant (M) Sdn BhdOnline10002018LatviaNationally representativeIDRA Research & Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002018LatviaNationally representativeIDRA Research & Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002018LatviaNationally representativeIDRA Research & Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002017LabanonBeinut, Tripoli, SidonREACH SALFace-to-face10102021LutwaitaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10642021Lutwa	Italy	Rome, Milan, Naples	YouGov	Online	1004	2017																																																																																																																
JapanNationally representativeConsultant (M) Sch BhdOnline10002018JordanNationally representativeWUP in collaboration with local partnerFace-to-face10002017KazakhstanAlmaty, Astana, ShymkentWUP in collaboration with local partnerFace-to-face10002018KenyaNationally representativeInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10002018Korea, Rep.Nationally representativeInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10002018KosovoNationally representativeIDRA Research & Consultant (M) Sdn BhdOnline10002018KuwaitNationally representativeIDRA Research & Consultant5face-to-face10002019KuwaitNationally representativeIpasosFace-to-face10002019LatviaNationally representativeIpasosFace-to-face10002019LatviaOnlineInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10002011LatviaMonrovia, Gbarraga and BuchananInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10002017LiberiaNationally representativeYouGovOnline16662021LuxembourgNationally representativeYouGovOnline16662021MadagascarAntananarivo, Toamasina, AntsirabeDCDM ResearchFace-to-face10002017MalayiLillongwe, Blantyre, MzuzuAcorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sch BhdFace-to-face10002017 <td>Jamaica</td> <td>Nationally representative</td> <td>StatMark Group</td> <td>Face-to-face</td> <td>1001</td> <td>2022</td>	Jamaica	Nationally representative	StatMark Group	Face-to-face	1001	2022																																																																																																																
JordanNationally representativepartnerFace-to-face10002018KazakhstanAlmaty, Astana, ShymkentWJP in collaboration with local partnerFace-to-face10002017KenyaNationally representativeInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10002018Korea, Rep.Nationally representativeIDRA Research & ConsultindFace-to-face10002019Korea, Rep.Nationally representativeIDRA Research & ConsultingFace-to-face10002019KuwaitNationally representativeIDRA Research & ConsultingFace-to-face10002019KuwaitNationally representativeIDRA Research & ConsultingFace-to-face10002019KuwaitNationally representativeIDRA Research & ConsultingFace-to-face10002019KuwaitNationally representativeIDRA Research & ConsultingFace-to-face10002019KuwaitNationally representativeIDRA Research & ConsultingFace-to-face10002019LiberiaMonrovia, Gbarnga and BuchananInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10002011MadagascarAntananrivo, Toamasina, AntsirabeDCDM ResearchFace-to-face10002017MalawiLilongwe, Blantyre, MzuzuInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10002017MalaysiaKilang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcom Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sch BhdFace-to-face10002017MalaysiaKilang Valley, Joh	Japan	Nationally representative		Online	1000	2018																																																																																																																
KazzkristanAlmaty, Astana, ShymkentpartnerFace-to-face10002017KenyaNationally representativeInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10992018Korea, Rep.Nationally representativeAcom Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdOnline10002019KuwaitNationally representativeIDRA Research & ConsultingFace-to-face10002019KuwaitNationally representativeIDRA Research & ConsultingFace-to-face10002019KuwaitNationally representativeIpsosFace-to-face10002018LatviaNationally representativeIpsosFace-to-face10002011LebanonBeirut, Tripoli, SidonREACH SALFace-to-face10002011LiberiaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10462021LuxembourgNationally representativeYouGovOnline10662021MalagascarAntananarivo, Toamasina, AntsirabeDCDM ResearchFace-to-face10002017MalayiaLilongwe, Blantyre, MzuzuInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10002017MalayiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcom Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn Bearch10302017MalayiaNationally representativeMarketing Support Consultant (M) Sdn Bearch Consultant (M) Sdn BearchFace-to-face10002017MalayiaNationally representativeMiSCO International LiniticeFace-to-face1000 <td>Jordan</td> <td>Nationally representative</td> <td></td> <td>Face-to-face</td> <td>1000</td> <td>2018</td>	Jordan	Nationally representative		Face-to-face	1000	2018																																																																																																																
Korea, Rep.Nationally representativeAcom Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdOnline10002018KosovoNationally representativeIDRA Research & ConsultingFace-to-face10002019KuwaitNationally representativeD3: Designs, Data, DecisionsFace-to-face5002023Kyrgyz RepublicNationally representativeIpsosFace-to-face10002019LatviaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10492021LebanonBeirut, Tripoli, SidonREACH SALFace-to-face10002017LiberiaMonrovia, Gbarnga and BuchananInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10002017LiberiaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10662021LuxembourgNationally representativeYouGovOnline6512021MalagascarAntananarivo, Toamasina, AntsirabeDCDM ResearchFace-to-face10002017MalawiLilongwe, Blantyre, MzuzuInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10002017MalayiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcorm Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face101022018MaltaNationally representativeMarketing Support ConsultancyFace-to-face10122018MalayiaNationally representativeMiSCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002021MalataNationally representativeMiSCO International LimitedFace-to-face500<	Kazakhstan	Almaty, Astana, Shymkent		Face-to-face	1000	2017																																																																																																																
Korea, Kep.Nationally representativeConsultant (M) Sdn BhdOnline10002018KosovoNationally representativeIDRA Research & ConsultingFace-to-face10002019KuwaitNationally representativeD3: Designs, Data, DecisionsFace-to-face502023Kyrgyz RepublicNationally representativeIpsosFace-to-face10002011LatviaNationally representativeIpsosFace-to-face10002021LebanonBeirut, Tripoli, SidonREACH SALFace-to-face10002017LiberiaMonrovia, Gbarnga and BuchananInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10102021LuxembourgNationally representativeYouGovOnline10662021MadagascarAntananarivo, Toamasina, AntsirabeDCDM ResearchOnline6512017MalawiLilong we, Blantyre, MzuzuInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10002017MalayiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002017MalaNationally representativeMarketing Support ConsultancyFace-to-face10002017MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10122018Marketing Support ConsultancyFace-to-face100020172017MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10122018 <tr <td=""><td>Kenya</td><td>Nationally representative</td><td>Infinite Insight Ltd.</td><td>Face-to-face</td><td>1099</td><td>2018</td></tr> <tr><td>KuwaitNationally representativeD3: Designs, Data, DecisionsFace-to-face502023Kyrgyz RepublicNationally representativeIpsosFace-to-face10002018LatviaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10492021LebanonBeirut, Tripoli, SidonREACH SALFace-to-face10002017LiberiaMonrovia, Gbarnga and BuchananInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face11132018LithuaniaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10662021LuxembourgNationally representativeTNS IlresOnline6512021MalagascarAntananarivo, Toamasina, AntsirabeDCDM Research Consultant (M) Sch BhdFace-to-face10002017MalayiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcom Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sch BhdFace-to-face10002017MaltaNationally representativeMarketing Support ConsultancyFace-to-face10002017MaltaNationally representativeMisCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002017MaltaNationally representativeMisCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002012MaltaNationally representativeMisCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002012MaltaNationally representativeMisCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002012MaltaNationally representativeMisCO International LimitedFace-to-face10</td><td>Korea, Rep.</td><td>Nationally representative</td><td></td><td>Online</td><td>1000</td><td>2018</td></tr> <tr><td>Kyrgyz RepublicNationally representativeIpsosFace-to-face10002018LatviaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10492021LebanonBeirut, Tripoli, SidonREACH SALFace-to-face10002017LiberiaMonrovia, Gbarnga and BuchananInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face11132018LithuaniaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10662021LuxembourgNationally representativeYouGovOnline6512021MalagascarAntananarivo, Toamasina, AntsirabeDCDM ResearchFace-to-face10002017MalawiLilongwe, Blantyre, MzuzuInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10002017MalaysiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002017MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002017MataNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002017MataNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10122018Mutra Matering & Nationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002017MataNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10122018Mutra Matering & Nationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002021</td><td>Kosovo</td><td>Nationally representative</td><td>IDRA Research & Consulting</td><td>Face-to-face</td><td>1000</td><td>2019</td></tr> <tr><td>LatviaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10492021LebanonBeirut, Tripoli, SidonREACH SALFace-to-face10002017LiberiaMonrovia, Gbarnga and BuchananInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face11132018LithuaniaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10662021LuxembourgNationally representativeYouGovOnline6512021MadagascarAntananarivo, Toamasina, AntsirabeDCDM ResearchFace-to-face10002017MalaviLilongwe, Blantyre, MzuzuInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10392017MalaysiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAccorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002018MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002021MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002021</td><td>Kuwait</td><td>Nationally representative</td><td>D3: Designs, Data, Decisions</td><td>Face-to-face</td><td>50</td><td>2023</td></tr> <tr><td>LebanonBeirut, Tripoli, SidonREACH SALFace-to-face10002017LiberiaMonrovia, Gbarnga and BuchananInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face11132018LithuaniaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10662021LuxembourgNationally representativeTNS IlresOnline6512021MalagascarAntananarivo, Toamasina, AntsirabeDCDM Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002017MalawiLilongwe, Blantyre, MzuzuInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10002017MalaysiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002018MaltaNationally representativeMarketing Support ConsultancyFace-to-face10002017MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10012018MatrianiaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002018MauritaniaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002018MauritaniaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002018MauritaniaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002018</td><td>Kyrgyz Republic</td><td>Nationally representative</td><td>Ipsos</td><td>Face-to-face</td><td>1000</td><td>2018</td></tr> <tr><td>LiberiaMonrovia, Gbarnga and BuchananInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face11132018LithuaniaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10662021LuxembourgNationally representativeTNS IlresOnline6512021MadagascarAntananarivo, Toamasina, AntsirabeDCDM ResearchFace-to-face10002017MalawiLilongwe, Blantyre, MzuzuInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10392017MalaysiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002018MaltaNationally representativeMarketing Support ConsultancyFace-to-face10122018MattaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002021MauritaniaNationally representativeLiaison MarketingFace-to-face10002018</td><td>Latvia</td><td>Nationally representative</td><td>YouGov</td><td>Online</td><td>1049</td><td>2021</td></tr> <tr><td>LithuaniaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10662021LuxembourgNationally representativeTNS llresOnline6512021MadagascarAntananarivo, Toamasina, AntsirabeDCDM ResearchFace-to-face10002017MalawiLilongwe, Blantyre, MzuzuInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10002017MalaysiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002017MaliNationally representativeMarketing Support ConsultancyFace-to-face10122018MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002021MauritaniaNationally representativeLiaison MarketingFace-to-face10002018</td><td>Lebanon</td><td>Beirut, Tripoli, Sidon</td><td>REACH SAL</td><td>Face-to-face</td><td>1000</td><td>2017</td></tr> <tr><td>LuxembourgNationally representativeTNS llresOnline6512021MadagascarAntananarivo, Toamasina, AntsirabeDCDM ResearchFace-to-face10002017MalawiLilongwe, Blantyre, MzuzuInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10392017MalaysiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002017MaliNationally representativeMarketing Support ConsultancyFace-to-face10122018MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002018MauritaniaNationally representativeLiaison MarketingFace-to-face10002018</td><td>Liberia</td><td>Monrovia, Gbarnga and Buchanan</td><td>Infinite Insight Ltd.</td><td>Face-to-face</td><td>1113</td><td>2018</td></tr> <tr><td>MadagascarAntananarivo, Toamasina, AntsirabeDCDM ResearchFace-to-face10002017MalawiLilongwe, Blantyre, MzuzuInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10392017MalaysiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002017MaliNationally representativeMarketing Support ConsultancyFace-to-face10122018MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002018MauritaniaNationally representativeLiaison MarketingFace-to-face10002018</td><td>Lithuania</td><td>Nationally representative</td><td>YouGov</td><td>Online</td><td>1066</td><td>2021</td></tr> <tr><td>MalawiLilongwe, Blantyre, MzuzuInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10392017MalaysiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002017MaliNationally representativeMarketing Support ConsultancyFace-to-face10122018MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002021MauritaniaNationally representativeLiaison MarketingFace-to-face10002018</td><td>Luxembourg</td><td>Nationally representative</td><td>TNS IIres</td><td>Online</td><td>651</td><td>2021</td></tr> <tr><td>MalaysiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002017MaliNationally representativeMarketing Support ConsultancyFace-to-face10122018MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002021MauritaniaNationally representativeLiaison MarketingFace-to-face10002018</td><td>Madagascar</td><td>Antananarivo, Toamasina, Antsirabe</td><td>DCDM Research</td><td>Face-to-face</td><td>1000</td><td>2017</td></tr> <tr><td>MalaysiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohConsultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002017MaliNationally representativeMarketing Support ConsultancyFace-to-face10122018MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002021MauritaniaNationally representativeLiaison MarketingFace-to-face10002018</td><td>Malawi</td><td>Lilongwe, Blantyre, Mzuzu</td><td>Infinite Insight Ltd.</td><td>Face-to-face</td><td>1039</td><td>2017</td></tr> <tr><td>Malta Nationally representative MISCO International Limited Face-to-face 500 2021 Mauritania Nationally representative Liaison Marketing Face-to-face 1000 2018</td><td>Malaysia</td><td>Klang Valley, Johor Bahru, Ipoh</td><td>_</td><td>Face-to-face</td><td>1000</td><td>2017</td></tr> <tr><td>Mauritania Nationally representative Liaison Marketing Face-to-face 1000 2018</td><td>Mali</td><td>Nationally representative</td><td>Marketing Support Consultancy</td><td>Face-to-face</td><td>1012</td><td>2018</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td>Malta</td><td>Nationally representative</td><td>MISCO International Limited</td><td>Face-to-face</td><td>500</td><td>2021</td></tr> <tr><td>Mauritius Nationally representative DCDM Research Face-to-face 1000 2018</td><td>Mauritania</td><td>Nationally representative</td><td>Liaison Marketing</td><td>Face-to-face</td><td>1000</td><td>2018</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td>Mauritius</td><td>Nationally representative</td><td>DCDM Research</td><td>Face-to-face</td><td>1000</td><td>2018</td></tr>	Kenya	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1099	2018	KuwaitNationally representativeD3: Designs, Data, DecisionsFace-to-face502023Kyrgyz RepublicNationally representativeIpsosFace-to-face10002018LatviaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10492021LebanonBeirut, Tripoli, SidonREACH SALFace-to-face10002017LiberiaMonrovia, Gbarnga and BuchananInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face11132018LithuaniaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10662021LuxembourgNationally representativeTNS IlresOnline6512021MalagascarAntananarivo, Toamasina, AntsirabeDCDM Research Consultant (M) Sch BhdFace-to-face10002017MalayiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcom Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sch BhdFace-to-face10002017MaltaNationally representativeMarketing Support ConsultancyFace-to-face10002017MaltaNationally representativeMisCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002017MaltaNationally representativeMisCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002012MaltaNationally representativeMisCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002012MaltaNationally representativeMisCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002012MaltaNationally representativeMisCO International LimitedFace-to-face10	Korea, Rep.	Nationally representative		Online	1000	2018	Kyrgyz RepublicNationally representativeIpsosFace-to-face10002018LatviaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10492021LebanonBeirut, Tripoli, SidonREACH SALFace-to-face10002017LiberiaMonrovia, Gbarnga and BuchananInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face11132018LithuaniaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10662021LuxembourgNationally representativeYouGovOnline6512021MalagascarAntananarivo, Toamasina, AntsirabeDCDM ResearchFace-to-face10002017MalawiLilongwe, Blantyre, MzuzuInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10002017MalaysiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002017MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002017MataNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002017MataNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10122018Mutra Matering & Nationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002017MataNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10122018Mutra Matering & Nationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002021	Kosovo	Nationally representative	IDRA Research & Consulting	Face-to-face	1000	2019	LatviaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10492021LebanonBeirut, Tripoli, SidonREACH SALFace-to-face10002017LiberiaMonrovia, Gbarnga and BuchananInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face11132018LithuaniaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10662021LuxembourgNationally representativeYouGovOnline6512021MadagascarAntananarivo, Toamasina, AntsirabeDCDM ResearchFace-to-face10002017MalaviLilongwe, Blantyre, MzuzuInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10392017MalaysiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAccorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002018MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002021MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002021	Kuwait	Nationally representative	D3: Designs, Data, Decisions	Face-to-face	50	2023	LebanonBeirut, Tripoli, SidonREACH SALFace-to-face10002017LiberiaMonrovia, Gbarnga and BuchananInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face11132018LithuaniaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10662021LuxembourgNationally representativeTNS IlresOnline6512021MalagascarAntananarivo, Toamasina, AntsirabeDCDM Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002017MalawiLilongwe, Blantyre, MzuzuInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10002017MalaysiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002018MaltaNationally representativeMarketing Support ConsultancyFace-to-face10002017MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10012018MatrianiaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002018MauritaniaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002018MauritaniaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002018MauritaniaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002018	Kyrgyz Republic	Nationally representative	Ipsos	Face-to-face	1000	2018	LiberiaMonrovia, Gbarnga and BuchananInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face11132018LithuaniaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10662021LuxembourgNationally representativeTNS IlresOnline6512021MadagascarAntananarivo, Toamasina, AntsirabeDCDM ResearchFace-to-face10002017MalawiLilongwe, Blantyre, MzuzuInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10392017MalaysiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002018MaltaNationally representativeMarketing Support ConsultancyFace-to-face10122018MattaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002021MauritaniaNationally representativeLiaison MarketingFace-to-face10002018	Latvia	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1049	2021	LithuaniaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10662021LuxembourgNationally representativeTNS llresOnline6512021MadagascarAntananarivo, Toamasina, AntsirabeDCDM ResearchFace-to-face10002017MalawiLilongwe, Blantyre, MzuzuInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10002017MalaysiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002017MaliNationally representativeMarketing Support ConsultancyFace-to-face10122018MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002021MauritaniaNationally representativeLiaison MarketingFace-to-face10002018	Lebanon	Beirut, Tripoli, Sidon	REACH SAL	Face-to-face	1000	2017	LuxembourgNationally representativeTNS llresOnline6512021MadagascarAntananarivo, Toamasina, AntsirabeDCDM ResearchFace-to-face10002017MalawiLilongwe, Blantyre, MzuzuInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10392017MalaysiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002017MaliNationally representativeMarketing Support ConsultancyFace-to-face10122018MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002018MauritaniaNationally representativeLiaison MarketingFace-to-face10002018	Liberia	Monrovia, Gbarnga and Buchanan	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1113	2018	MadagascarAntananarivo, Toamasina, AntsirabeDCDM ResearchFace-to-face10002017MalawiLilongwe, Blantyre, MzuzuInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10392017MalaysiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002017MaliNationally representativeMarketing Support ConsultancyFace-to-face10122018MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002018MauritaniaNationally representativeLiaison MarketingFace-to-face10002018	Lithuania	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1066	2021	MalawiLilongwe, Blantyre, MzuzuInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10392017MalaysiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002017MaliNationally representativeMarketing Support ConsultancyFace-to-face10122018MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002021MauritaniaNationally representativeLiaison MarketingFace-to-face10002018	Luxembourg	Nationally representative	TNS IIres	Online	651	2021	MalaysiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002017MaliNationally representativeMarketing Support ConsultancyFace-to-face10122018MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002021MauritaniaNationally representativeLiaison MarketingFace-to-face10002018	Madagascar	Antananarivo, Toamasina, Antsirabe	DCDM Research	Face-to-face	1000	2017	MalaysiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohConsultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002017MaliNationally representativeMarketing Support ConsultancyFace-to-face10122018MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002021MauritaniaNationally representativeLiaison MarketingFace-to-face10002018	Malawi	Lilongwe, Blantyre, Mzuzu	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1039	2017	Malta Nationally representative MISCO International Limited Face-to-face 500 2021 Mauritania Nationally representative Liaison Marketing Face-to-face 1000 2018	Malaysia	Klang Valley, Johor Bahru, Ipoh	_	Face-to-face	1000	2017	Mauritania Nationally representative Liaison Marketing Face-to-face 1000 2018	Mali	Nationally representative	Marketing Support Consultancy	Face-to-face	1012	2018		Malta	Nationally representative	MISCO International Limited	Face-to-face	500	2021	Mauritius Nationally representative DCDM Research Face-to-face 1000 2018	Mauritania	Nationally representative	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1000	2018		Mauritius	Nationally representative	DCDM Research	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Kenya	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1099	2018																																																																																																																	
KuwaitNationally representativeD3: Designs, Data, DecisionsFace-to-face502023Kyrgyz RepublicNationally representativeIpsosFace-to-face10002018LatviaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10492021LebanonBeirut, Tripoli, SidonREACH SALFace-to-face10002017LiberiaMonrovia, Gbarnga and BuchananInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face11132018LithuaniaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10662021LuxembourgNationally representativeTNS IlresOnline6512021MalagascarAntananarivo, Toamasina, AntsirabeDCDM Research Consultant (M) Sch BhdFace-to-face10002017MalayiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcom Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sch BhdFace-to-face10002017MaltaNationally representativeMarketing Support ConsultancyFace-to-face10002017MaltaNationally representativeMisCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002017MaltaNationally representativeMisCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002012MaltaNationally representativeMisCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002012MaltaNationally representativeMisCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002012MaltaNationally representativeMisCO International LimitedFace-to-face10	Korea, Rep.	Nationally representative		Online	1000	2018																																																																																																																
Kyrgyz RepublicNationally representativeIpsosFace-to-face10002018LatviaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10492021LebanonBeirut, Tripoli, SidonREACH SALFace-to-face10002017LiberiaMonrovia, Gbarnga and BuchananInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face11132018LithuaniaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10662021LuxembourgNationally representativeYouGovOnline6512021MalagascarAntananarivo, Toamasina, AntsirabeDCDM ResearchFace-to-face10002017MalawiLilongwe, Blantyre, MzuzuInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10002017MalaysiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002017MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002017MataNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002017MataNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10122018Mutra Matering & Nationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002017MataNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10122018Mutra Matering & Nationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002021	Kosovo	Nationally representative	IDRA Research & Consulting	Face-to-face	1000	2019																																																																																																																
LatviaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10492021LebanonBeirut, Tripoli, SidonREACH SALFace-to-face10002017LiberiaMonrovia, Gbarnga and BuchananInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face11132018LithuaniaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10662021LuxembourgNationally representativeYouGovOnline6512021MadagascarAntananarivo, Toamasina, AntsirabeDCDM ResearchFace-to-face10002017MalaviLilongwe, Blantyre, MzuzuInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10392017MalaysiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAccorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002018MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002021MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002021	Kuwait	Nationally representative	D3: Designs, Data, Decisions	Face-to-face	50	2023																																																																																																																
LebanonBeirut, Tripoli, SidonREACH SALFace-to-face10002017LiberiaMonrovia, Gbarnga and BuchananInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face11132018LithuaniaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10662021LuxembourgNationally representativeTNS IlresOnline6512021MalagascarAntananarivo, Toamasina, AntsirabeDCDM Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002017MalawiLilongwe, Blantyre, MzuzuInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10002017MalaysiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002018MaltaNationally representativeMarketing Support ConsultancyFace-to-face10002017MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10012018MatrianiaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002018MauritaniaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002018MauritaniaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002018MauritaniaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face10002018	Kyrgyz Republic	Nationally representative	Ipsos	Face-to-face	1000	2018																																																																																																																
LiberiaMonrovia, Gbarnga and BuchananInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face11132018LithuaniaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10662021LuxembourgNationally representativeTNS IlresOnline6512021MadagascarAntananarivo, Toamasina, AntsirabeDCDM ResearchFace-to-face10002017MalawiLilongwe, Blantyre, MzuzuInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10392017MalaysiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002018MaltaNationally representativeMarketing Support ConsultancyFace-to-face10122018MattaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002021MauritaniaNationally representativeLiaison MarketingFace-to-face10002018	Latvia	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1049	2021																																																																																																																
LithuaniaNationally representativeYouGovOnline10662021LuxembourgNationally representativeTNS llresOnline6512021MadagascarAntananarivo, Toamasina, AntsirabeDCDM ResearchFace-to-face10002017MalawiLilongwe, Blantyre, MzuzuInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10002017MalaysiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002017MaliNationally representativeMarketing Support ConsultancyFace-to-face10122018MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002021MauritaniaNationally representativeLiaison MarketingFace-to-face10002018	Lebanon	Beirut, Tripoli, Sidon	REACH SAL	Face-to-face	1000	2017																																																																																																																
LuxembourgNationally representativeTNS llresOnline6512021MadagascarAntananarivo, Toamasina, AntsirabeDCDM ResearchFace-to-face10002017MalawiLilongwe, Blantyre, MzuzuInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10392017MalaysiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002017MaliNationally representativeMarketing Support ConsultancyFace-to-face10122018MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002018MauritaniaNationally representativeLiaison MarketingFace-to-face10002018	Liberia	Monrovia, Gbarnga and Buchanan	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1113	2018																																																																																																																
MadagascarAntananarivo, Toamasina, AntsirabeDCDM ResearchFace-to-face10002017MalawiLilongwe, Blantyre, MzuzuInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10392017MalaysiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002017MaliNationally representativeMarketing Support ConsultancyFace-to-face10122018MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002018MauritaniaNationally representativeLiaison MarketingFace-to-face10002018	Lithuania	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1066	2021																																																																																																																
MalawiLilongwe, Blantyre, MzuzuInfinite Insight Ltd.Face-to-face10392017MalaysiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002017MaliNationally representativeMarketing Support ConsultancyFace-to-face10122018MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002021MauritaniaNationally representativeLiaison MarketingFace-to-face10002018	Luxembourg	Nationally representative	TNS IIres	Online	651	2021																																																																																																																
MalaysiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohAcorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002017MaliNationally representativeMarketing Support ConsultancyFace-to-face10122018MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002021MauritaniaNationally representativeLiaison MarketingFace-to-face10002018	Madagascar	Antananarivo, Toamasina, Antsirabe	DCDM Research	Face-to-face	1000	2017																																																																																																																
MalaysiaKlang Valley, Johor Bahru, IpohConsultant (M) Sdn BhdFace-to-face10002017MaliNationally representativeMarketing Support ConsultancyFace-to-face10122018MaltaNationally representativeMISCO International LimitedFace-to-face5002021MauritaniaNationally representativeLiaison MarketingFace-to-face10002018	Malawi	Lilongwe, Blantyre, Mzuzu	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1039	2017																																																																																																																
Malta Nationally representative MISCO International Limited Face-to-face 500 2021 Mauritania Nationally representative Liaison Marketing Face-to-face 1000 2018	Malaysia	Klang Valley, Johor Bahru, Ipoh	_	Face-to-face	1000	2017																																																																																																																
Mauritania Nationally representative Liaison Marketing Face-to-face 1000 2018	Mali	Nationally representative	Marketing Support Consultancy	Face-to-face	1012	2018																																																																																																																
	Malta	Nationally representative	MISCO International Limited	Face-to-face	500	2021																																																																																																																
Mauritius Nationally representative DCDM Research Face-to-face 1000 2018	Mauritania	Nationally representative	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1000	2018																																																																																																																
	Mauritius	Nationally representative	DCDM Research	Face-to-face	1000	2018																																																																																																																

Country/Jurisdiction	Coverage	Polling Company	Methodology	Sample	Year
Mexico	Mexico City, Guadalajara, Monterrey	Data Opinión Pública y Mercados	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Moldova	Chisinau, Balti, Cahul	Georgian Opinion Research Business International (GORBI) in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1043	2017
Mongolia	Ulaanbaatar, Erdenet, Darkhan	Mongolian Marketing Consulting Group LLC	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Montenegro	Nationally representative	Indago	Face-to-face	1000	2023
Morocco	Casablanca, Fes, Tangier	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Mozambique	Nationally representative	Quest Research Services	Face-to-face	1009	2018
Myanmar	Yangon, Mandalay, Nay Pyi Taw	Myanmar Survey Research Co., Ltd (MSR)	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Namibia	Nationally representative	Quest Research Services	Face-to-face	1001	2018
Nepal	Kathmandu, Pokhara, Lalitpur	Solutions Consultant	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Netherlands	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1113	2018
New Zealand	Auckland, Wellington, Christchurch	Big Picture Marketing Strategy & Research	Online	1000	2017
Nicaragua	Nationally representative	CID Gallup	Face-to-face	1000	2019
Niger	Niamey, Zinder, Maradi	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1011	2018
Nigeria	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1083	2018
North Macedonia	Nationally representative	Ipsos dooel Skopje	Face-to-face	1594	2023
Norway	Oslo, Bergen, Trondheim	YouGov	Online	1007	2017
Pakistan	Nationally representative	Gallup Pakistan	Face-to-face	1000	2019
Panama	Nationally representative	CID Gallup	Face-to-face	2502	2021
Paraguay	Nationally representative	Datum Internacional/BM Business Partners	Face-to-face	1000	2021
Peru	Nationally representative	Datum Internacional S.A.	Face-to-face	1029	2022
Philippines	Manila, Cebu, Davao	APMI Partners	Face-to-face	1008	2016
Poland	Warsaw, Krakow, Lodz	IQS Sp. z o.o.	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Portugal	Lisbon, Porto, Amadora	YouGov	Online	1016	2017
Romania	Nationally representative	Alpha Research Ltd. in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Russian Federation	Moscow, St. Petersburg, Novosibirsk/ Nationally representative	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000/1000	2016/2018
Rwanda	Kigali	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	316	2018
Senegal	Pikine, Dakar, Thiès	Kantar TNS	Face-to-face	1012	2017
Serbia	Belgrade, Novi Sad, Niš	Ipsos Strategic Marketing d.o.o.	Face-to-face	1002	2017
Sierra Leone	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1165	2018
Singapore	Singapore	Survey Sampling International	Online	1000	2017
Slovak Republic	Nationally representative	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Online	1022	2021
Slovenia	Ljubljana, Maribor, Celje	lpsos d.o.o.	Face-to-face	1006	2017
South Africa	Nationally representative	Quest Research Services	Face-to-face	1014	2018
Spain	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1051	2018
Sri Lanka	Colombo, Kaduwela, Maharagama	Kantar LMRB	Face-to-face	1010	2017
St. Kitts and Nevis	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500	2018
St. Lucia	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500	2022
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500/500	2018/2022
Sudan	Nationally representative	Sudan Polling and Statistics Center	Face-to-face	500	2021
Suriname	Nationally representative	D3: Designs, Data, Decisions	Face-to-face	502	2022
Sweden	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1049	2018

Country/Jurisdiction	Coverage	Polling Company	Methodology	Sample	Year
Tanzania	Dar es Salaam, Mwanza, Arusha	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1037	2018
Thailand	Bangkok, Nakhon Ratchasima, Udon Thani	Infosearch Limited	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Тодо	Nationally representative	Marketing Support Consultancy	Face-to-face	1005	2018
Trinidad and Tobago	Nationally representative	CID Gallup	Face-to-face	1001	2022
Tunisia	Big Tunis, Sfax, Sousse	BJKA Consulting	Face-to-face	1001	2017
Türkiye	İstanbul, Ankara, İzmir	Kantar Insights	Face-to-face	1039	2018
Uganda	Kampala, Nansana, Kira	Kantar Public East Africa	Face-to-face	1062	2018
Ukraine	Kyiv, Kharkiv, Odessa	GfK Ukraine	Face-to-face	1079	2017
United Arab Emirates	Dubai, Abu Dhabi, Sharjah	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1011/200	2011/2017
United Kingdom	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1056	2018
United States	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1258	2021
Uruguay	Nationally representative	BM Business Partners	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Uzbekistan	Tashkent, Namangan, Samarkand/Nationally representative	Market Research & Polls - EURASIA/ Ipsos/Info Sapiens International LLC	Face-to-face	1000/300/507	2014/2018/2021
Venezuela, RB	Nationally representative	StatMark Group	Face-to-face	1000/1015	2016/2018
Vietnam	Ho Chi Minh City, Hanoi, Hai Phong	Indochina Research (Vietnam) Ltd.	Face-to-face	1000/1000	2011/2017
Zambia	Lusaka, Kitwe, Chipata	SIS International Research/Intraspace Market Consultancy Ltd.	Face-to-face	1004/1014	2012/2017
Zimbabwe	Nationally representative	Quest Research Services	Face-to-face	1001	2018

Contributing Experts

The WJP Rule of Law Index 2023 was made possible by the generous contributions of academics and practitioners who contributed their time and expertise. The names of those wishing to be acknowledged individually are listed below.

This report was also made possible by the work of the polling companies who conducted fieldwork, and the thousands of individuals who have responded to the General Population Poll around the world.

Afghanistan

Baryalai Hakimi

Kabul University

Albania

Adi Muja Muja Law

Hashmatullah Hoshmand Masnad Law Firm

Ihsanullah Himmat

Khalid Massoudi Masnad Law Firm

Khalid Sekander

Mahir Hazim Arizona State University

Mohammad Imran Malikzai Anti-Corruption Watch Organization

Mohammad Tareq Eqtedary Generation Positive

Nesar Ahmad Noori Afghanistan Independent Bar Association

Noorulhuda Niazi Masnad Law Firm

Sayed Reza Hussaini University of Hertfordshire Shabnam Salehi

Shabnam Salehi AIHRC

Thomas Kraemer Kakar Advocates

Anonymous Contributors

Adi Muja Muja Law Firm

Albana Fona LPA Legal

Anteo Papa

Bledar Cenameri CLO Legal Solutions

Blerta Kalavace IDRA Research & Consulting

Brunilda Subashi Universiteti "Ismail Qemali" Vlore

Dorant Ekmekçiu Hoxha, Memi & Hoxha

Dudi Ilias Open Akte

Endri Mykaj Leiden University

Eno Muja Muja Law Firm

Erion Fejzulla

Eris Hoxha Hoxha, Memi & Hoxha

Gentiana Tirana Tirana Law Firm

Isuf Shehu Shehu & Partners Law Firm

Jonada Zyberaj Universiteti "Ismail Qemali" Vlore

Jonida Melani Braja Wolf Theiss Katerina Kaçani LPA Legal

Krenare Muja Muja Law Firm

Xhet Hushi Wolf Theiss

Anonymous Contributors

Algeria

Adel Messaoudi Ligue Algerienne des Droits de L'homme

Farouk Yaya

Fatiha Aouam

Hezam Abosuraima

Nadia Zouani

Samia Goudjil Goudjil Law Firm

Anonymous Contributors

Angola

Ana Leitão de Matos ALC Advogados

António José Ventura Universidade Lusíada de Angola

Arlete Amaralmaia CBAM - Advogados Associados

Cristiano Santana Agostinho Sanda Paciência CKA & Associados Sociedade Advogados, RL Eduardo Afonso

Elsa Tchicana Joni Garcia

Orlando Buta

Tomás Yessu D'Oliveira Chiquito

Anonymous Contributors

Antigua and Barbuda

Charlotte Jeremy-Cuffy Allthings Medical

Chevanev Charles Temple Stoke

Craig L. Jacas Stapleton Chambers

Luann M. De Costa Lighthouse Law

Anonymous Contributors

Argentina

Adrian Fernando Otero Abogados Patagonicos SH

Alberto Gonzalez Torres Baker & McKenzie

Alfredo M. Vitolo FORES

Analia Duran MBB Balado Bevilacqua Abogados

Carlos Ignacio Salvadores de Arzuaga Universidad del Salvador **Claudio Jesus Santagati** Defensoria General de Lomas de Zamora

Dante Omar Graña Fundación Avedis Donabedian Argentina

Denise Schalet World Health Organization

Diego Silva Ortiz Silva Ortiz, Alfonso, Pavic & Louge

Fernando Rebaudi Estudio Rebaudi

Francisco Castex Universidad de Buenos Aires

Guillermo Michelson Irusta Estudio Michelson

Joaquín Emilio Zappa J. P. O'Farrell Abogados

Manuel Gonzalo Casas Universidad de San Pablo-Tucumán

Marcelo Octavio de Jesús FORES

Maria de las Mercedes Balado Bevilacqua MBB Balado Bevilacqua Abogados

Marina Mansilla UNPSJB

Martin G. Langsam Fundación Bunge & Born; New York University

Matías Tonon Universidad del Salvador

Pablo Zan Bisignani

Sandra Guillan Moriondo, Guillan & Asociados

Anonymous Contributors

Australia

Anne Cregan Gilbert + Tobin

Breen Creighton RMIT University

Brendan Ashdown John Toohey Chambers

David Gill Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Edouard Tursan d'Espaignet University of New England, Australia

Fiona McDonald Australian Centre for Health Law Research, Queensland University of Technology

James Gillespie University of Sydney

Merrilyn Walton University of Sydney

Nicholas Cowdery University of Sydney

Patricia Cahill Francis Burt Chambers

Peter Cashman University of New South Wales

Sean Baron Levi State Chambers; University of New England, Australia

Sonia Allan Griffith University; Sonia Allan Consulting; University of Sydney

Stephen Blanks New South Wales Council for Civil Liberties

Teresa Farmer John Toohey Chambers

Anonymous Contributors

Austria

Christian Klausegger Binder Grösswang Rechtsanwälte GmbH

Claudia Habl Austrian National Public Health Institute

Daniela Haluza Medical University of Vienna

Doris Wydra University of Salzburg

Gerhard Jarosch RGJ-Partner Inc.

Igor Grabovac Medical University of Vienna

Karl Stöger University of Vienna

Martin Gruber-Risak University of Vienna

Martin Reinisch Brauneis Rechtsanwälte GmbH

Sebastian Huter Austrian Society for General Practice and Family Medicine

Thomas Frad KWR Karasek Wietrzyk Rechtsanwälte GmbH

Anonymous Contributors

The Bahamas

Arthur Seligman Lennox Paton

Catherine Conliffe Princess Margaret Hospital, Public Hospitals Authority

Joseph Darville Save The Bays, Waterkeepers Bahamas

Leah A. Rolle University of the Bahamas

Sharanda Humes-Forbes

Vann P. Gaitor Higgs & Johnson

Anonymous Contributors

Bangladesh

A. B. M. Asrafuzzaman University of Dhaka

Abdur Rahman Junaid Rahman's Chambers

Badhan Roy Roy & Associates

Darras Abdullah Akhtar Imam & Associates

Gazi Md. Rokib Bin Hossain The Legal Circle

Khandaker Mashfique Ahmed Rahman's Chambers

Md. Tajul Islam

Mohammad Habibur Rahman

Mohammad Rafiqul Islam Chowdhury M. R. I. Chowdhury & Associates

Tanim Hussain Shawon Dr. Kamal Hossain & Associates

Anonymous Contributors

Barbados

Alexandria Thomas

Anya Lorde

Ayo Barnard Rawlins Harridyal-Sodha & Associates

Heather Walker Chancery Chambers

Jaydene Thomas Emineo Caribbean

Leslie F. Havnes

Marvalee Franklyn Franklyn Law Chambers

Noah Haynes

Sharon R. Harris

Zarina Khan Prospect Chambers **Anonymous Contributors**

Belarus

Andrei Famenka

Vadzim Samaryn Belarusian State University

Valentina Komova Stepanovski, Papakul and

Anonymous Contributors

Belgium

Partners

Aloys Muberanziza Brussels United Lawyers (BUL)

Ann Witters

Christoph Van der Elst Ghent University; Tilburg University

Didier Ledoux Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Liège

Freek Louckx Universiteit Antwerpen; Vrije Universiteit Brussel

Jean-Marc Gollier UCLouvain

Jerome Aubertin Stibbe

Patrick Goffaux Université Libre de Bruxelles

Patrick Henry Avocats Sans Frontières

Pieter J. De Koster Bird & Bird

Sofie Bekaert Ghent University

Walter P. Verstrepen Elegis Brussels

Anonymous Contributors

Belize

Adler G. L. Waight Barrow & Williams LLP Darinka Munoz Barrow & Williams LLP

Lissette V. Staine Barrow & Williams LLP

Natalia Bevans The Law Firm of Bevans Consultancy Inc.

Wayne A. Piper Flores Piper LLP

Anonymous Contributors

Benin

A. Jacqueline Adabra Dedjinou DDS du Littoral

Adounlohoun Gisèle Obognon épouse Nanako Plan International Bénin

Aline Odje Cabinet d'Avocats Aline Odje

Chris A. M. Balogoun Barreau du Bénin

Gervais C. Houédété

Justine Françoise Houzanme ROAFEM

Luciano Hounkponou Société Civile Professionnelle d'Avocats Hounkponou-Kounou et Associés

Ludovic Guedje Autorite de Regulation des Marches Publics

Ogoudjé César Guegni

Cabinet d'Avocats Charles

Anonymous Contributors

Alan Elliott Vargas Lima

Estudios Constitucionales

Alfonso M. Dorado Escobar

WJP Rule of Law Index 2023 191

Academia Boliviana de

Marius Kedote

Badou & Partners

Bolivia

llustre Colegio de Abogados de Chuquisaca

Arturo Yañez Cortes

Asdruval Martin Universidad Mayor de San Andrés

Betty Carolina Ortuste Tellería Academia Boliviana de Estudios Constitucionales

Cesar Burgoa Rodriguez Bufete Burgoa

Javier Mir Peña Mir & Asociados Abogados Laborales

Jorge Antonio Asbun Estudio Jurídico Asbun Associados

Jorge Omar Mostajo Barrios Universidad Mayor de San Andrés

José Antonio Rivera Santivañez Universidad Mayor de San Simón

Juan Pablo Sánchez Orsini

Kathia Saucedo Paz

María Micaela Alarcón Gambarte Academia Boliviana de Estudios Constitucionales

Nicolás Soliz Peinado ACF Abogados

Raul A. Baldivia Baldivia Unzaga & Asociados

Yerko Ilijic Crosa Proyecto Acceso CWSL

Anonymous Contributors

Bosnia and Herzegovina

Bahrija Umihanić University of Tuzla

Boris Stojanović

Denis Pajić University "Džemal Bijedić" **Duraković Adnan** University of Zenica

Dzeneta Omerdic University of Tuzla

Emir Sudžuka

Hana Korać University of Travnik

Harun Išerić University of Sarajevo

Jasminka Gradaščević-Sijerčić University of Sarajevo

Kanita Pruščanović University of Sarajevo

Lana Bubalo University of Stavanger

Mehmed Ganic International University of Sarajevo

Mirjana Šarkinović Attorney's Office Mirjana Šarkinović

Samir Lemes

Eko Forum Zenica

Šefket Goletić

Slaven Dizdar

Botswana

Buhle Ncube

Kagiso Jani

Practice

& Co

Letshabo Legal Group

Kabo Godfrey Motswagole

Motswagole & Company

Tshekiso Ditiro & Jani Legal

Mabedi Cedella G. Masedi

Motsomi Ndala Marobela

University of Botswana

Piyush Sharma Attorneys

Kwadwo Osei-Ofei Osei-Ofei Legal

University of Zenica

Anonymous Contributors

Brazil

Ana Paula de Barcellos Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro

Neo Thelma Moatlhodi

Olebile Daphney Muzila

Bookbinder Business Law

Piyush Sharma Attorneys & Co.

Precious and Partners Legal

Piyush Sharma

Precious Gondwe

Tachilisa Balule

Minchin & Kelly

Thuto Senwedi

Non-Bank Financial

Institutions Tribunal

Tshekiso Tshekiso

TDJ Legal Practice

Mack, Bahuma Attorneys

Anonymous Contributors

Unoda Mack

University of Botswana

Tatenda Caroline Dumba

Practice

Chobe District Council

Carolina Giesbrecht Forte Korbage de Castro *Korbage de Castro Sociedade de Advogados*

Daniel Bushatsky Advocacia Bushatsky

Daniel de Pádua Andrade Universidade Federal de Viçosa

Debora Regina Pastana Universidade Federal de Uberlândia

Elival da Silva Ramos Universidade de São Paulo

Fabio Queiroz Pereira Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais

Fábio Ulhoa Coelho Pontifícia Universidade Católica de São Paulo Fernanda Vargas Terrazas Conselho Nacional de Secretarias Municipais de Saúde (CONASEMS)

Fernando Aith Universidade de São Paulo

Gerson Branco Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul

Guilherme Bier Barcelos RMMG Advogados

Guilherme de Jesus France Instituto de Estudos Sociais e Políticos, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro

Heloisa Estellita Fundação Getúlio Vargas

Heloisa Uelze Trench Rossi Watanabe

João Augusto Gameiro Trench Rossi Watanabe

Jorge Magalhães Fiocruz

Ligia Bahia Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro

Luciana Zaffalon Plataforma JUSTA

Luciano Feldens Feldens Advogados; Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro

Luis Eduardo Serra Netto Duarte Garcia, Serra Netto e Terra Advogados

Luiz Felipe Monteiro Seixas Universidade Federal de Pernambuco

Luiz G. P. Dellore Universidade Presbiteriana Mackenzie

Maria Celina Bodin de Moraes

Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro; Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro Maria Valeria Junho Penna Federal University of Rio de Janeiro

Matheus Cherulli Alcantara Viana Viana e Azevedo Advogados

Michael Freitas Mohallem Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro

Ordélio Azevedo Sette Azevedo Sette Advogados

Paulo Sérgio João Pontifícia Universidade Católica de São Paulo

Rafael Lamera Giesta Cabral Universidade Federal Rural do Semiárido

Raoni Bielschowsky Universidade Federal de Uberlândia

Raquel Betty de Castro Pimenta Tribunal Regional do Trabalho da 3a Região

Raquel Lima Scalcon Cavalcanti Sion Advogados

Roberta de Freitas Campos Fundação Oswaldo Cruz

Rodrigo Ghiringhelli de Azevedo Pontificia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul

Rubens Hofmeister Neto Feldens Advogados

Sara Carvalho Matanzaz Ferreira de Melo Advogados

Sérgio Cruz Arenhart Ministério Público Federal

Sergio Mannheimer Mannheimer, Perez e Lyra Advogados

Soraia Saleh Saleh Advogados Associados

Suzana de Queiroz Alves Defensoria Pública da União

Teresa Ancona Lopez Universidade de São Paulo **Thiago Bottino** Fundação Getulio Vargas

Ulisses Levy Silvério dos Reis Universidade Federal Rural do Semiárido

Victor Hugo Criscuolo Boson Universidade Federal do Sul da Bahia

Anonymous Contributors

Bulgaria

Ana-Mari Eremieva Dimitrov, Petrov & Co.

Gergana Ilieva

Lachezar Raichev Penkov, Markov & Partners

Nikolai Hristov Medical University of Sofia

Nikolay Zisov Boyanov & Co.

Pavel Tsanov Penkov, Markov & Partners

Petko Salchev National Center of Public Health and Analyses

Stanislav Malchev Air4Health Association

Vania Todorova Stoeva Tchompalov & Znepolski Attorney Partnership

Victor Gugushev Gugushev and Partners

Anonymous Contributors

Burkina Faso

Abdoulaye Soma

Drabo K. Maxime IRSS-CNRST

Hervé Hien Institut National de Santé Publique (INSP)

K. Frédéric Hermann Minoungou Legalis Advisory Paulin Küssome Somda Institut National de Santé Publique (INSP); Ministère de la Santé et de l'Hygiène Publique (MSHP)

S. Ibrahim Guitanga SCPA Birba-Guitanga & Associes

Sièlma Salimata Sou-Kone Société Burkinabè de Droit Constitutionnel

Anonymous Contributors

Cambodia

Darwin (Naryth) Hem BNG Legal

Fil B. Tabayoyong, Jr. Pannasastra University of Cambodia

Ruwan Hulugalle BNG Legal

Anonymous Contributors

Cameroon

Barthelemy Onana Ordre des Avocats au Barreau du Cameroun

Bernard Burinyuy Ngaibe Abeng Law Firm

Diane Silabing epse Ouethy Cabinet d'Avocats Me Meuke

Gbaka Ernest Acho Gbaka & Co. Law Office

Hyacinthe Fansi Ngassam Fansi & Mouafo Avocats Associés

John Morfaw Strategic Development Initiatives

Joseph Ngoupayo Université de Yaoundé

Michel Antoine Mben Kanga SCP Ngassam, Fansi, Mouafo & Mben

Léo Fugazza

Malek Batal Université de Montréal

Mirabel Ntui Mungu

MULUH & Partners

epse Toko

Law Firm

Noé Momha

Cabinet M&N PLC

Nsong Ntube Jonas

The Abeng Law Firm

Polycarp Ngufor Forkum

Serges Doumtsop Nzogning

Barreau du Rwanda; East

Zakariaou Njoumemi

Université de Yaoundé I

Anonymous Contributors

Africa Law Society

Canada

Brian Langille

University of Toronto

Constance MacIntosh

Schulich School of Law.

Dalhousie University

Daniel M. Campbell

Cox & Palmer

Domenic Crolla

Gowling WLG LLP

Fabien Gélinas

McGill University

Gerard Kennedy

Université de Sherbrooke

University of Manitoba

Peter A. Allard School of

Law, University of British

Finn Makela

Hoi Kong

Columbia

Jabeur Fathally

University of Ottawa

Athabasca University

Association for Human

Rights & Development

Abeng Law Firm

Michel W. Drapeau University of Ottawa

Pre Lise Desmarais Université de Sherbrooke

Rick Molz Concordia University

Thomas A. Cromwell Borden Ladner Gervais LLP

Ulisce Desmarais Desmarais Desvignes Crespo s.e.n.c.r.l.

Anonymous Contributors

Chile

Alfredo Vial Rodríguez Abogados Mendoza Luksic Valencia

Carlos Ossandon Eluchans y Compañía Abogados

Cristina Santibáñez Boric Abogados Mendoza Luksic Valencia

Diego Mendoza Benavente Abogados Mendoza Luksic Valencia

Domingo Eyzaguirre Della Maggiora Eyzaguirre Abogados Laborales

Edmundo Varas K. KPMG Law

Fernando Jamarne Alessandri Abogados

Fernando Lolas Stepke Universidad Central de Chile; Universidad de Chile

Fernando Maturana Crino Eyzaguirre & Cía Abogados

Gonzalo Hoyl Moreno Socio Hoyl Alliende & Cía. Abogados

Ignacio Abogabir DLA Piper **Ignacio Pera** Dentons

Jorge Canales G. Peralta, Sandoval, Llaneza y Gutiérrez Abogados

Jorge Delpiano Guerrero Olivos

José Ignacio Martinez Estay Universidad de los Andes

José Luis Lara Arroyo Universidad Católica de Chile

Juan Pablo Cox Leixelard Universidad Adolfo Ibáñez

Luis Felipe Hubner UHC Abogados

Luis Parada H. DLA Piper

Manuel José Fernández Barros

Marcelo A. Villalón Calderón Universidad de Chile

Michele Daroch Sagredo Abdala & Cía. Abogados

Omar Morales Montt y Cía. SA

Patricio Morales Aguirre Estudio Jurídico Pérez Donoso

Paulo Montt Colegio de Abogados de Chile

Ramon Garcia Odgers Universidad Católica de la Santisima Concepcion

Raúl Novoa Galán

Ricardo Lillo Universidad Adolfo Ibáñez

Sergio Díez Arriagada Cariola Diez Perez-Cotapos

Zarko Luksic Sandoval Abogados Mendoza Luksic Valencia

Anonymous Contributors

I (. **F**......

Jason Foster

194

China

Ju Cunxu Qiankun Law Firm

Kaiming Liu The Institute of Contemporary Observation

Lu Yiguang Lianhe Law Firm

Xiao Zhang Southeast University

Xie Hao Hubei Haosong Law Firm

Anonymous Contributors

Colombia

Alfonso Plana Bodén ARI Legal

Bernardo Carvajal Sánchez Bernardo Carvajal & Asociados SAS

Carlos Alejandro Toro Prieto

Carlos Andrés Gómez González Socio Gómez Penalistas Abogados

Carlos Arturo Toro López

Carlos Mario Molina Arrubla Molina Díaz & Abogados SAS

Eduardo Cárdenas Dentons, Cardenas & Cardenas

Enrique Alvarez Lloreda Camacho & Co.

Gabriel Sánchez Posse Herrera Ruiz

Guillermo Hernando Bayona Combariza

Joge Lara Urbaneja LaraConsultores

Jorge Acosta-Reyes Universidad del Norte

Jorge Voidonikolas

José Ignacio Lombana Sierra Lombana & Lombana Abogados

José Pablo Velásquez Escobar Universidad de Antioquia

Luis Eduardo Nieto Nieto Abogados

Luisa Fernanda Toro Molina Díaz & Abogados SAS

María Camila Castellanos Forero Universidad de los Andes

Rafael Abuchaibe L. Lloreda Camacho & Co.

Raúl Alberto Suárez Arcila

Sonia Botero Restrepo Universidad Libre Seccional Cali

Anonymous Contributors

Congo, Dem. Rep.

Annie Bambe Cikunda Forum pour les Droits des Jeunes et Enfants au Congo (FODJEC)

Aubin Mabanza N'Semy KLAM Advocates

Benjamin Ifeka Momponza Cabinet Me Ifeka M. Benjamin et Avocats

Bienfait Uwimana Université de Goma

Bruno Bitangilayi Kapongo Barreau de Kinshasa/Gombe

Charles Kitenge

Claude Izua Kembo Voile Leve, Ongdh

Clément Shamashanga Minga Centre de Recherches et d'études sur l'État de droit en Afrique (CREEDA)

Etienne Mbodo Solo

Barreau du Kongo Central Freddy Kitoko Nyembo Centre pour la Justice et la Réconciliation (CJR)

Fulbert Kwilu Nappa Université de Kinshasa

Grace Beda Mbazi Université de Goma

Hélène Kinda

Joseph Kayembe Mutamba

Joseph Yav Katshung Yav & Associates LLP

Kumbu ki Ngimbi Universite de Kinshasa

Liliane Musasa Centre d'Assistance Juridique

Lionnel Umba Mavungu PLA Law Firm SCP

Marie Nyombo Zaina Réseau National des ONG pour le Développement de la Femme (RENADEF)

Michael Selemani Lusembula Hello Docteur; Reseaux des Medecins Congolais de Proximite

Patrick Katebe Kaisa Étude Calwe & Associates

Patrick Nsimba Mata Club des Amis Damien

Richard Lumbika Nlandu Universite Kongo

Rodino Tshibuyi Conseil Supérieur de la Magistrature

Rodrigue Isamaleki Barreau de Kinshasa/Matete

Roger Mukendi Réseau National des ONG pour le Développement de la Femme (RENADEF)

Toussaint Kwambamba Bala Université de Bandundu; Université Catholique du Congo Vianney Kanku Action contre l'impunité pour les Droits Humains

Anonymous Contributors

Congo, Rep.

Alide Bouangui Barreau de Pointe Noire Antonin Boumba Barreau de Pointe Noire

Jean Pierre Mahoungou RENAPC

Muriel Gladys Mouandza

Roland Bembelly Cabinet d'Avocats Gomes

Yvon Bourges Mahinga Ngama Lenny

Zéphirin Abel Moukolo Croix Bleue Congolaise (CBC)

Anonymous Contributors

Costa Rica

Alonso Vargas Lexincorp

Arturo Herrera Barquero Caja Costarricense de Seguro Social

Benjamín Gutiérrez BG&A Abogados Corporativos

Carlos José Valerio ValerioRafaColegio de Abogados y AbogadasSalaColegio de Abogados y AbogadasSala

Carmen Zúñiga Quesada GLC Abogados

David Gutierrez Swanson

Diego González Fernández Universitat Pompeu Fabra

Douglas Barraza-Ruiz Universidad Nacional

Dusting Oreamuno Álvarez Universidad Técnica Nacional

Eduardo Calderón Odio BLP **Erick Ramos Fallas** Bufete Zurcher Odio & Raven, Zurcher Penal

Ewald Acuña Blanco Bufete Acuña & Asociados

Fátima Porras Moya Martinez & Porras Abogados

Federico Morales Chaves Central Law

Felipe Riveros Alta Batalla

Fidelia Solano Gutiérrez Universidad Técnica Nacional

Ignacio Gallegos Zurcher Odio & Raven

John Brenes Rodríguez Alta Batalla

Luis Gonzalez Aguilar Universidad de Costa Rica

Luis Sánchez Facio & Cañas

M. Enrique Lizano Lizano Pacheco Abogados

Marco Durante Calvo BDS Asesores

Marlen León Guzmán Passe-Partout Ed-Tech

Marvin Carvajal Pérez Alta Batalla

Rafael Angel Rodríguez Salazar La Firma de Abogados CR

Ramón María Yglesias Piza Bufete Mora, Yglesias y Asociados

Randall Madrigal Madrigal Colegio de Abogados y Abogadas

Sylvia Bejarano Ramírez Central Law

Vicente Lines Arias

Anonymous Contributors

Côte d'Ivoire

Bile-Aka Joachim Barreau de Cote d'Ivoire; Ordre des Avocats de Cote D'Ivoire

Carine Kouadio Université Félix Houphouët Boigny

Charles Kignima

Eric Bably BK & Associés

Geneviève Sissoko REPSFECOCI

Kignaman Soro K.S. & Associés

Paterne Mambo Université Félix Houphouët Boigny

SCPA KSK

Souleymane Sakho Société Civile Professionnelle d'Avocats Sakho-Yapobi-Fofana et Associés

Anonymous Contributors

Croatia

Alan Bosnar

Alan Soric Attorneys Soric & Tomekovic Dunda

Anita Krizmanic Maćešić & Partners LLC

Arsen Bačić University of Split

Boris Kozjak

Božidar Feldman Matić, Feldman & Herman

Darko Jurišić General Hospital "Dr. J. Benčević"

lvo Grga

Lucija Sokanović University of Split

Marko Borsky

Marko Lovrić

Miroljub Macesic Law Offices Macesic & Partners LLC

Natasa Zunic Kovacevic University of Rijeka

Petar Bačić University of Split

Rudolf Gregurek University of Zagreb

Tomislava Furčić Law Office Furčić

Toni Stifanic Maćešić & Partners LLC

Vedran Zlatić University of Split

Zlatko Mateša Odvjetničko Društvo Mateša & Kapitan DOO

Zrinka Buzatovic Maćešić & Partners LLC

Anonymous Contributors

Cyprus

Alexandros Clerides Phoebus, Christos Clerides & Associates LLC

Alexia Mitsides Chr. P. Mitsides & Co. LLC

Christos Mitsides Chr. P. Mitsides & Co. LLC

Dimitrios Ntourakis European University, Cyprus

Eleftherios T. Economou *Loizides & Economou LLC*

Ioannis Economou Loizides & Economou LLC

Katia Kakoulli Chrysses Demetriades & Co. LLC

Lora Stylianou LC LAW Stylianou & Associates LLC Photos Tsangarides PHC Tsangarides LLC

Anonymous Contributors

Czechia

Anna Gelety

Arkady Alexandrov

Barbora Dubanska Dubanska & Co. Law

Daniel Bartoň

Jan Hurdík Masarykovy Univerzity

Jan Poláček Poláček, Tryznová & Prudlová

Lukáš Prudil AK PRUDIL a spol., s.r.o.

Michal Koscik Masaryk University

Michal Peškar Randl Partners

Ondřej Chlada DLA Piper

Radek Matouš Eversheds Sutherland

Štěpán Holub Holubová Advokáti s.r.o.

Tomas Cihula Kinstellar

Vojtech Steininger Hartmanova & Steininger

Zuzana Derflerova Brazdova Masaryk University

Anonymous Contributors

Denmark

Anna Murphy Murphys-lov.dk

Anne Brandt Christensen

Christian Bay Nielsen STORM Advokatfirma Christian Bentz Lind Advokataktieselskab

Helle Krunke University of Copenhagen

Henrik Bonné Denlaw

Jakob S. Johnsen HjulmandKaptain

John Sahl Andersen University of Copenhagen

Kim Trenskow Kromann Reumert

Paul Kruger Andersen Århus University

Per Andersen University of Southern Denmark

Poul Hvilsted Horten Law Firm

Signe Smith Jervelund University of Copenhagen

Anonymous Contributors

Dominica

Beverly Leblanc Circle of Friends, Achievement Learning Centre

Kael E. London

Anonymous Contributors

Dominican Republic

Alberto Andrés Biaggi Dimitri Biaggi Abogados

Alfredo Lachapel Lachapel Toribio

Argenys Matos Feliz Consultores Jurídicos del Caribe

Arismendi Díaz Santana Fundación Seguridad Social Para Todos (FSSPT) **Arturo Figuereo Camarena** Fiallo Billini Scanlon Abogados & Consultores

Carlos Felipe Báez Carlos Felipe Law Firm, SRL

Denisse Casado Reyes

Francisco Alvarez Valdez Participación Ciudadana

Iván Alfonso Cunillera Alburquerque William Cunillera & Asociados

Jefrey Lizardo Pontificia Universidad Católica Madre y Maestra (PUCMM)

Luis Eduardo Jiménez Jiménez Peña

Luis Julio Jiménez Jiménez Peña

Magdalena Rathe Fundación Plenitud

Manuel Colomé-Hidalgo Hospital Pediátrico Dr. Hugo Mendoza

Mary Fernandez-Rodriguez Headrick Rizik Alvarez & Fernandez

Miguel Ángel Reyes Taveras Fundación Fiscalización Ciudadana

Roberto Medina Reyes Jorge Prats Abogados & Consultores

Rosa Ypania Burgos Minaya

Smerly Rodriguez Jimenez Tribunal Constitucional de la República Dominicana

Virgilio A. Mendez Amaro

Anonymous Contributors

WJP Rule of Law Index 2023 195

Tamara Aquino

Mendez & Asociados

Ecuador

Alan Almeida Z.

Gazette Abogados

Alfredo Brito Ortiz Brito & Pinto Abogados

Álvaro José Freile Franco Dignidad y Derecho

Ana Belén Posso Fernández Ontaneda & Posso Abogados

Ana Veloz Avendaño

Andrés López Valencia Cevallos & Noboa

Augusto Chasillacta Zurita Páez Terán Abogados

Carlos Alberto Varela Arias Universidad Hemisferios

Carlos Carrasco Yépez Almeida, Carrasco & Domínguez Asociados

Ciro Pazmino Zurita P&P Abogados

Claudia Storini Universidad Andina Simón Bolívar

Daniel Caicedo Carmigniani Pérez Abogados

David Albarran Pacheco ADLEX Estudio Jurídico Abogados y Consultores

Diego Almeida Guzmán Almeida Guzmán Asociados

Esteban Javier Polo Pazmiño Universidad Internacional del Ecuador

Farith Simon Universidad San Francisco de Quito

Fernando Sacoto Aizaga Universidad Internacional del Ecuador

Gabriela Rivera Arévalo

Graciela Monesterolo L. Pontificia Universidad Católica del Ecuador

Hugo García, Daniel Caicedo, and Kevin Larco Carmigniani Pérez Abogados

196

James Pilco Luzuriaga Universidad del Azuay

José Eduardo Navas Moscoso Navas & Asociados

Juan Fernando Páez Parral Estudio Jurídico Páez Terán

Marcelo Dávila Martínez Sempértegui Abogados

Marcelo Proaño Paredes

Maria Jose Alarcon Universidad Hemisferios

María José Luna Lara Universidad Hemisferios

María Mercedes Salgado Salazar Bustamante Fabara

Mario I. Armendáriz Y. Armendáriz & Andino Abogados

Mario Moncayo MRV Abogados

Miguel Ángel Gavilánez Guerrero Prospectus Law

Nicole Espinosa Proaño Dignidad y Derecho

Pablo Andino Fiallos Ava Legal

Patricio Ortega Sempértegui Abogados

Patricio Peña R. Noboa, Peña & Torres Abogados

Santiago Solines Solines & Asociados Abogados

Sylvia Bonilla Bolaños Centro de Investigación y Defensa del Derecho al Trabajo

Anonymous Contributors

Egypt, Arab Rep.

Bahieldin H. Z. Elibrachy Ibrachy & Dermarkar **Ibrahim Ahmad** Arab Chamber of Conciliation and Arbitration

Ibrahim Fahmy Kharboush Alexandria University

Khaled El Shalakany Shalakany Law Office

Anonymous Contributors

El Salvador

Adán Araujo Arias

Alberto Alfaro-Alvarado Universidad Dr. José Matías Delgado

Benjamin Valdez Iraheta Benjamin Valdez & Asociados

Carlos Arturo Muyshondt Parker

Christian Bara Cousin Bara Legal Corporation

Claudia Marcela Hernández Chávez FIADI

Consortium Legal

Daniel A. Joya

David Claros García & Bodán

Eduardo Campos Funes Legit - Asesores Legales

Feridee Alabi Romero Pineda & Asociados

Francisco Murillo Central Law

Jose Eduardo Barrientos Aguirre SBA, SA de CV

José Raúl Guerrero Jiménez Legalis

Luis Alonso Navarrete Soto Lans Despacho de Abogados

Piero Rusconi Gutierrez Central Law Rommell I. Sandoval R. I&D Consulting

Sheila Marcella Romero Rodríguez

Anonymous Contributors

Estonia

Aare Tark Law Office Tark

Andres Vutt University of Tartu

Denis Piskunov Magnusson Law Firm

Erik Salur NJORD Law Firm

Gaabriel Tavits University Of Tartu

Kaja Põlluste University of Tartu

Liis Rooväli University of Tartu

Madis Kiisa Advokaadibüroo K&S Legal OÜ

Maksim Greinoman Advokaadibüroo Greinoman & Co.

Merle Erikson University of Tartu

Mikk Jürisson University of Tartu

Tanel Küün Law Office Tark

Tauno Tark Law Office Tark

Anonymous Contributors

Ethiopia

Abiyou Girma Tamrat Abiyou Girma Law Office

Alebachew Birhanu Bahir Dar University

Alemnew Gebeyehu Dessie Konrad-Adenauer-Stiftung Alemu Meheretu Addis Ababa University

Aschalew Ashagre Byness Addis Ababa University

Balewugieze Zemene Beza University of Gondar

Demelash Shiferaw Reta Addis Ababa University

Dessalegn Tigabu Haile Bahir Dar University

Gebreyesus Abegaz Yimer

Guadie Sharew Wondimagegn QMMH

Hiruy Gebreegziabher University of Notre Dame, Australia

Hussein Abdurahman Seid Law Office of Abdurahman Seid; Mizan Teppi University School of Law

Jara Samuel Arsi University

Kinfe Yilma Addis Ababa University

Kokebe W. Jemaneh Addis Ababa University

Mehari Redae Addis Ababa University

Mekete Bekele Tekle Addis Ababa University

Mesfin Beyene Abrha Mekelle University

Messelu Mamenie Endale Bahir Dar University

Muhammed Hillo Arsi University

Nigussie Redae Addis Ababa University

Rahel Alemayehu

Rahel Alemayehu &

Richard Wentzell

Haramaya University

Associates Law Office

Tameru Bereded TWA and Partners Law Office, LLP

Tegegne Zergaw Bahir Dar University

Temesgen Sisay Beyene Bahir Dar University

Tewodros Demamu Teshome Debre Markos University

Tsegaye Mideksa Chaka Arsi University

Tsehai Wada Addis Ababa University

Zewdu Mengesha Bahir Dar University

Anonymous Contributors

Finland

Ari Miettinen Fimlab Laboratories Oy Ltd.

Johanna Haltia-Tapio Hannes Snellman Attorneys Ltd.

Jukka Paloheimo Roschier Attorneys Ltd.

Kimmo Nuotio University of Helsinki

Lauri Railas Railas Attorneys Ltd.

Markku Fredman Law Office Fredman & Månsson

Matti Ilmari Niemi University of Eastern Finland

Matti Tolvanen University of Eastern Finland

Mika J. Lehtimäki Bayesian Realm

Mika Launiala University of Eastern Finland

Patrick Lindgren Advocare Law Office

Raimo Lahti University of Helsinki Sanna-Maria Bertell Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Tatu Hyttinen University of Turku

Teuvo Pohjolainen University of Eastern Finland

Anonymous Contributors

France

Carlos M. Herrera CY Cergy Paris Université

François Cantier

Gauthier Chassang

Léa Boukoulou

Marie-Christine Cimadevilla Cimadevilla Avocats

Nicole Stolowy HEC Paris

Sebastien Ducamp Sesame Avocats AARPI

Véronique Tuffal-Nerson and Walid-Zidane Gouli TNDA

Anonymous Contributors

Gabon

Elza-Ritchuelle Boukandou Kalmie Avocats Associés

Irénée Mezui Mba

Noël Edgar Gomes Ntchango

Thierry Moundoungalt

Yenou Solange SCP Yenou & Chansel

Anonymous Contributors

The Gambia

Cherno Marenah

Loubna Farage Farage Andrews Law Practice Madi Jobarteh Westminster Foundation for Democracy

Malick F. M'Bai M'Bai Legal Chambers

Mary Mam Degen Fye Stefan Huster Ruhr-Universität Bochum

Stephan Sander Sander Terhedebrügge Treumann Rechtsanwälte

Thilo Mahnhold JUSTEM

Thomas Feltes Ruhr-Universität Bochum

Thomas Jürgens Jürgens Rechtsanwaltsgesellschaft mbH

Wibke Köppler Kanzlei Wibke Köppler

Wolf Stahl ADA Cosmetics International GmbH

Anonymous Contributors

Ghana

Abena Ntrakwah-Mensah Ntrakwah & Co.

Azanne Kofi Akainyah A&A Law Consult

Christine Amankwa Sam Okudzeto & Associates

Emma-Jane Daniels Minkah-Premo & Co.

Enock Jengre Legal Resources Centre (LRC)

Ernest Y. Ako University of Cape Coast

Isaac Aburam Lartey Sam Okudzeto & Associates

Kenneth Paa Kwesi Agyir Sam Okudzeto & Associates

Kofi Agyena Agyeman Law Plus Kofi Akronte Asmah Gyandoh Asmah & Co.

Kwabena Opong-Kyekyeku CQ Legal

Nana Esi Aferba Ahlijah Ariel Avery Law

Richmond Aryeetey University of Ghana

Robert Tettey Nomo, Jr. Legal Resources Centre (LRC)

Samson Lardy Anyenini A-Partners at Law

Samuel Alesu-Dordzi AudreyGrey Unlimited

Samuel Pinaman Adomako Ferociter

Tata Kosi Foliba Fugar & Company

Theresa Dzifa Tamakloe

Anonymous Contributors

Greece

Alexios Athanassopoulos AA Law Firm

Alexis Anagnostakis Anagnostakis Law Offices

Anthony G. Mavrides Ballas, Pelacanos & Associates LPC

Athina Moraiti

Dionysis Balourdos National Centre for Social Research

Effimia Tsagkalidou Effimia Tsagkalidou and Partners Law Firm

Ilias Anagnostopoulos

John Kyriakides Kyriakides Georgopoulos Law Firm

Konstantinos Vamas-Vloutis K. Valmas-Vloutis & Associates Law Office Kostoula Mazaraki Nomos Law Firm

Magda Kapoti-Tazedaki Tazedakis Law Firm

Marcel Cremer Cremer & Partners

Melina Avagianou Klimaka

Nikolaos M. Kondylis N. M. Kondylis & Partners Law Office

Panagiotis Gioulakos e-nomos Consultants

Stavros Karageorgiou Karageorgiou & Associates Law Firm

Stelios Andreadakis Brunel University London

Stelios Gregoriou Gregoriou Law Firm

Vasileios Tetokas VTP-Law Athens

Virginia Theodoropoulou

Yota Kremmida Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Anonymous Contributors

Grenada

Avril Anande

Joseph

Trotman-Joseph

Law Office of Joseph &

Law Office of Camille Gooding-DeSouza

Chevanev Charles

Henry Henry & Bristol

Henry Henry & Bristol

Melissa Modeste-Singh

James Bristol

Rosana John

Dentons, Delany

Afi Ventour & Co

Sheriece A. R. Noel

WJP Rule of Law Index 2023 197

Camille Gooding-DeSouza

Tanya K. Lambert

Yurana Phillip Afi Ventour & Co.

Anonymous Contributors

Guatemala

Alejandro Cofiño, Javier Medrano, and Carlos García Sáenz Alta, QIL+4 ABOGADOS

Alvaro R. Cordón Cordon & Cordon Law Offices

Ana Carolina Nichols Solvo Group

Angélica Lucía Aguilar Gutiérrez Universidad Francisco Marroquín

David Ernesto Chacón Estrada Universidad de San Carlos

Edson Lopez ECIJA Guatemala

Emanuel Callejas A. Carrillo & Asociados

Enrique Möller Sánchez Mollerlaw

Fredy Abed Alabi Universidad Francisco Marroquín

Fredy Quinteros

Gabriel Arturo Muadi Garcia

Gonzalo Menendez Park Lexincorp

Guillermo Gándara Espino Work in Progress

Harvey Alvarez HP Abogados

198

Jorge Mario Andrino Grotewold

Juan José Porras Castillo GT Legal **Katia Marina Torres Barrera** Torres de Wit & Asociados

Kenny Alexander Sandoval Linares Solvo Group

Mario Roberto Guadrón Rouanet GT Legal

Marvin Javier Dávila Villegas

Oscar Adolfo Morales Montufar Morales Montufar Bufete Internacional

Oscar Augusto Rivas Villanueva *GR Legal*

Roberto René Alonzo del Cid

Saúl González Cabrera

Sergio A. Peña Mandujano *Globalex*

Vilma Judith Chavez Espina de Pop Universidad Galileo

Anonymous Contributors

Guinea

Aboubacar Kone Centre communautraire des populations clés de Conakry

Aimé Christophe Labilé Kone Avocats Sans Frontières

Ali Badara Bangoura Ordre des Avocats de Guinée

Alpha Kourouma

Amadou Babahein Camara *Barreau de Guinée*

Ansoumane Kalivogui

David Beavogui Barreau de Guinée

Foromo Frederic Loua Les Mêmes Droits pour Tous Mahawa Sylla

Mamadou Gack Afrique arc-en-ciel-Guinée (AAECG)

Oumar Baldé

Ousmane Dambel Brussels United Lawyers (BUL)

Yaramo Cé Saoulomou Cabinet d'Avocat Zoé Prosper

Anonymous Contributors

Guyana

Adrian Smith Toussaint Law Firm

Chandrawattie Persaud Ibis Chambers

Eva Rawana-Scott

Madan Rambaran Woodlands Hospital

R. Jabour

Rajendra Jaigobin

Sarika Gajraj Siskin Consultants

Tyra Bakker Competition and Consumer Affairs Commission

Anonymous Contributors

Haiti

Alain Guillaume Universite Quisqueya

Anderson Gazenord Thémis Cabinet d'Avocats

Christelle St-Natus Cavé Hudicourt-Woolley & Associés

Djenanne Feliz-Désir Barreau de Port-au-Prince

Frantz Gabriel Nerette Juris Excel Cabinet d'Avocats **Genel Pierre** Cabinet Rigaud Duplan

Geneviève Michel

Jean Guy Honore Centre Haitien pour le Renforcement du Système de Santé (CHARESS)

Jean Vandal

Michel Succar Cabinet Lissade-Succar

Patrick Woolley Barraeau de Port-au-Prince

Salim Succar Cabinet Lissade-Succar

Vanessa Abdel-Razak Abdel-Razak & Associés

Wildor Faustin

Anonymous Contributors

Honduras

Alejandro Kaffati Consejo Hondureño de la Empresa Privada (COHEP)

César Antonio Espinal González Consejo Nacional Anticorrupción (CNA); Observatorio de Política Criminal Anticorrupción (OPCA)

David Armando Urtecho López

Eduardo Enrique Fuentes Calix Hablemos de Honduras

Eduardo René Rivera Mendoza ECIJA Honduras

Ekber Matute Arias

Fanny Rodriguez Arias

Federico Moncada Centro de Investigación y Desarrollo en Salud, Trabajo y Ambiente (CIDSTA) Gabriela María Williams Cruz ECIJA Honduras

Henry Salinas

Ivis Alvarado BLP

Jessica Marleni Kennerley Bufete Colegas

Jorge Arturo Reina Interiano ECIJA Honduras

Jose Alvarez BLP

Juan José Alcerro Milla Aguilar Castillo Love

Juan Pablo Larios Guillén Conjurinter

Leobildo Cabrera Cabrera

Lino Carmenate Milián Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Honduras

Lisandro Valle Perez Hospital y Clínicas DIME SA

Marlon Edgardo Andino Martínez Dumas Lex

Marlon Jose Ortiz Martinez Universidad Tecnológica Centroamericana

Olvin Ulises Mondragón Ponce Consejo Hondureño de la Empresa Privada (COHEP)

Rafael Chicas BLP

Rafael Gómez Mateo Grupo Legalsa de Honduras

Rodolfo Dumas Castillo Dumas Lex

Ruth Posadas Hombro a Hombro

Walter L. Ramírez

Lexfirma-Honduras

Valerya Theodoracopoulos López Arias

Yesenia Martinez Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Honduras

Anonymous Contributors

Hong Kong SAR, China

C. M. Chan Centre for the Rule of Law, Hong Kong Policy Research Institute

Ella Cheong

Lawrence Ma Foundation Chambers

Philip Dykes Bernacchi Chambers

Rick Glofcheski University of Hong Kong

Victor Dawes Temple Chambers

Anonymous Contributors

Hungary

András Jakab University of Salzburg

Andras L. Pap ELRN Centre for Social Sciences Institute for Legal Studies

Gábor Baruch Baruch Law Office

Judit Sandor Central European University

Lívia Albáné Feldmájer

Peter Mihalyi Corvinus University of Budapest

Petra Bárd Radboud Universiteit

Zsolt Kortvelyesi

Zsolt Zengődi

Anonymous Contributors

India

Anshul Prakash Khaitan & Co.

Anupamaa Venugopal AVRC Legal

Arush Khanna Numen Law Offices

Arvinder Pal Singh Narula Kartik Ganapathy

IndusLaw

Lalit Bhasin Bhasin and Company Advocates

Lira Goswami Associated Law Advisers

Padmaja Kaul IndusLaw

Puneet Misra

Rabindra Jhunjhunwala, Raj Panchmatia, and Roshnek Dhalla Khaitan & Co.

Rajas Kasbekar CRK Legal

Sheahan Verghese Tree of Life Associates

Vidya Bhushan Rawat

Vipender Mann KNM & Partners, Law Offices

Anonymous Contributors

Indonesia

Alamo D. Laiman Legisperitus Lawyers

Arih Diyaning Intiasari Jenderal Soedirman University

Dr. Robert Universitas Sumatera Utara

Erwin Natosmal Oemar Ikatan Advokat Indonesia

Fadjar Kandar Roosdiono & Partners Felicia Tania Legisperitus Lawyers

Gatot Soemartono Universitas Tarumanagara

Ibnu Syamsu Hidayat Firma Hukum Themis

Kholis Abdurachim Audah Swiss German University

Laode M. Syarif Kemitraan

Louise Patricia Esmeralda Roosdiono & Partners

Marizca Rachel Poluan Legisperitus Lawyers

Muhamad Isnur Indonesia Legal Aid Foundation

Ningrum Natasya Sirait Universitas Sumatera Utara

Rahayu N. Hoed Makarim & Taira S.

S. Sartono Dentons, HPRP

Sianti Candra Agung Podomoro University

Sri Handayani Universitas Dian Nuswantoro

Sulistyaningsih Kusmanto Universitas 'Aisyiyah Yogyakarta

Sunardjo Sumargono Law Office of Semar Suryakencana Cipta Justiesindo

Tanius Sebastian Parahyangan Catholic University

Tristam Pascal Moeliono Parahyangan Catholic University

Violla Reininda Hafidz Konstitusi dan Demokrasi Inisiatif

Wigati N. Partosedono Integrity Law Firm Yassar Aulia Indonesia Corruption Watch

Anonymous Contributors

Iran, Islamic Rep.

Atiyeh Rezaei Dr. Entezari & Associates Law Firm

Fatemeh Haddad Mohamad Abadi Central Bar Association

Kamiar Alaei Medical Alliance for Health Services Abroad (MAHSA)

Mehran Tamadonfar University of Nevada, Las Vegas

Shirin O. Entezari Dr. Entezari & Associates Law Firm

Vahid Ghasemi

Yahya Rayegani Praelegal

Anonymous Contributors

Ireland

Bill Holohan Holohan Lane LLP

Colman McCabe Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Eileen McCabe

Gerard Bury University College Dublin

lan O'Donnell University College Dublin

Rónán Kennedy University of Galway

Shane MacSweeney MacSweeney & Company Solicitors

Anonymous Contributors

Italy

Alessia-Ottavia Cozzi University of Udine

Anna Simonati University of Trento

Antonella Antonucci Università degli Studi di Bari Aldo Moro

Antonio Cassatella University of Trento

Antonio Viscomi Università Magna Graecia di Catanzaro

Emanuele Cortesi CMA Studio Legale

Emanuele Scafato Italian Society on Alcohology

Francesco De Angelis

Giuseppe Lorenzo Rosa

Isabella Aquila University Magna Græcia of Catanzaro

Luca Barontini Università degli Studi di Genova

Luigi Mori Biolato, Longo, Ridola & Mori

Marco Esposito Università di Napoli Parthenope

Mariano Cingolani University of Macerata

Patrizia Magarò Università degli Studi di Genova

Pierpaolo Martucci University of Trieste

Pietrantonio Ricci Magna Graecia University

Pietro Faraguna University of Trieste

Roberto Ceccon

WJP Rule of Law Index 2023 199

ACLAW

Rocchina Staiano Università degli Studi di Teramo

Vittorio Fanchiotti Università di Genova

Anonymous Contributors

Jamaica

Allan S. Wood Livingston Alexander & Levy

Althea Bailey University of the West Indies

Annalee Gray Brown Caribbean Institute for Health Research

Anthony Clayton University of the West Indies

Antoinette Barton-Gooden University of the West Indies

Audrey Brown

Carla-Anne Harris-Roper Employment Matters Caribbean

Christopher Bovell Dunncox

Danielle S. Archer LegalArcher

Dwight Williams Ministry of National Security

Emile G. R. Leiba Dunncox; Jamaican Bar Association

Hadrian R. Christie

J. Peter Figueroa University of the West Indies

Paul Brown University of the West Indies

Roger Gibson University of the West Indies

Sonia Gatchair University of the West Indies

Sylvia Mitchell University of the West Indies Anonymous Contributors

Japan

Akifumi Mochizuki Atsumi Toshiyuki Law Office

Akira Fujimoto Nagoya University

Hiroshi Matsuo Keio University Law School

Junko Suetomi Baker & McKenzie

Kosuke Oie LPA Tokyo

Mayumi Ikeuchi Tokai University

Nanako Tamiya University of Tsukuba

Shugo Hotta Tokyo Metropolitan University

Soichiro Fujiwara Baker & McKenzie

Takashi Maruta Sannomiya Law Office

Toshiaki Higashi Nishinihon Occupational Health Center

Yohei Suda The Law Office of Yohei Suda

Yosuke Shimamura Shimamura Law Office

Anonymous Contributors

Jordan

Abdulellah Al-Nawayseh Mutah University

Abdullah M. Jaradat Abdullah & Partners Law Firm

Eman Mohammed Al Dabbas International Business Legal Associates International Consolidated for Legal Consultations (ICLC)

Farah Almajlai

George Hazboun International Consolidated for Legal Consultations (ICLC)

Hisham Ababneh Ababneh Law and Arbitration S.P.

Kamal Jamal Alawamleh University of Petra

Laith Nasraween Legal Symbol Company for the Work of Law and Arbitration

Michael T. Dabit Michael Dabit & Associates

Tamara Al Rawwad University of Texas, Rio Grande Valley

Zaha Najdawi A&T Najdawi Law

Zaid Muhmoud Agaileh Mutah University

Anonymous Contributors

Kazakhstan

Amangeldy Shormanbayev International Legal Initiative

Arlan Yerzhanov

Artem Nikolaevich Timoshenko Unicase Law Firm

Elena Nesterova *Caspian University*

Evgeny Zhovtis Kazakhstan International Bureau for Human Rights and Rule of Law

Galinskaya Yu Caspian University

Kirill Greshnikov Aequitas Law Firm

Kuben Abzhanov Baker & McKenzie Sergey Vasilievich Skryabin Caspian University; Institute of Legislation and Legal Information of the Republic of Kazakhstan

Timur Yerjanov Almaty City Bar Association

Victoria Simonova Dentons

Vladislav Nadtochiy

Anonymous Contributors

Kenya

Abbas Esmail Anjarwalla & Khanna LLP

Anthony Akelo Okulo Okulo and Company Advocates

Benjamin Musau B M Musau & Co., Advocates LLP

Beryl Orao Kenya National Commission on Human Rights

Daniel Munyao Yumbya Machakos County Executive Committee

Dennis Mung'ata Gichimu Mung'ata & Company Advocates

Elly Nyaim Opot University of Nairobi

Ercik Maosa Maosa & Co. Advocates

Fred Ondieki Mogotu Fred and Isaac Advocates LLP (FredLaw)

Joe Mwangi Waweru Muthoga Gaturu & Company Advocates

John Kihiu Confederation of Micro and Small Enterprises Organisation, Kenya Chapter

Kamau Karori DLA Piper, IKM Advocates Kiingati Ndirangu Kiingati Ndirangu & Associates Advocates

Mohamed A. Karega Wanjiku Mohamed Advocates LLP

Nicolas Andrew Obara Muthoga Gaturu & Company Advocates

Patricia Khisa P.N. Khisa Advocates

Patrick Rugo MG & Co. Advocates

Remigeo Mugambi Muthoga Gaturu & Company Advocates

Ronald Rogo University of Nairobi

Thomas M. Chokwe University of Nairobi

Thomas N. Maosa Maosa & Co. Advocates

Wilfred Mbugua Ngugi Chiuri Kirui & Rugo, Advocates

Anonymous Contributors

Korea, Rep.

Bok Ki Hong Yonsei University

Changwoo Lee Donghwa Labor Consulting Firm

Dongjin Lee Seoul National University

Geary Choe Kim & Chang

Hwang Lee Korea University

Jaeseop Song

James I. S. Jeon Sojong Partners **Junsok Yang** The Catholic University of Korea

Rokho Kim World Health Organization

Sangbong Lee DR & AJU International Law Group LLC

William Woojong Kim Lee & Ko

Anonymous Contributors

Kosovo

Agon Rrecaj

Agron E. Beka University of Mitrovica "Isa Boletini"

Ardian Rugova Kosovo Medicines Agency

Arta Dauti

Bahtir Troshupa

Besim Tafa

Ehat Miftaraj Kosovo Law Institute

Enver Hasani University of Prishtina

Fatmire Haliti Kosova Rehabilitation Center for Torture Victims (KRCT)

Gjyljeta Mushkolaj University of Prishtina

Halim Bajraktari University "Ukshin Hoti" Prizren

Hava Ismajli University of Prishtina

Jona Bica Kacinari Kalo & Associates

Kole Krasniqi University of Peja

Krenar Loshi Mervete Shala University of Business Technology **Mytaher Haskuka** University of Prishtina

Nerxhivane Dauti University of Prishtina

Teuta Beka

Anonymous Contributors

Kuwait

Abdulrahman AlHumaidan Mashora Advocates & Legal Consultants

Adel Abdulhadi Al Oula Law (Adel Abdulhadi & Partners)

Chetan Sharma Abdullah Kh. Al-Ayoub & Associates

Christopher Walugembe ASAR Al-Ruwayeh & Partners

Fawaz Khaled Alkhateeb Taher Group Law Firm

Faisal Alfahad Alfahad & Partners Consultants & Attorneys

Hossam Abdullah Al-Hossam Legal, Al-Turqi & Partners

Mohamed Ramadan Taha Ameen Almarkaz Law Firm

Sanabel Jafar First Law Firm - Adel Abdel Hadi & Partners

Talal Taqi Al-dostour Law Firm

Anonymous Contributors

Kyrgyz Republic

Anastasiya Folts S.A. Veritas

Azamat Kerimbaev ABA ROLI

Gulshaiyr Abdirasulova Kylym Shamy Human Rights Defense Center Nurlan Kyshtobaev GRATA International

Anonymous Contributors

Latvia

Agnese Medne Law Firm Glimstedt

Aldis Lieljuksis Riga Stradiņš University

Andris Lazdins Ellex Klavins Law Firm

Armands Rasa Law Office RER Lextal

leva Reine Riga Stradiņš University

Inga Kudeikina Riga Stradiņš University

Irina Kostina Law Firm Ellex Klavins

Iveta Ceple Zab Ellex Klavins Sia

Jānis Ešenvalds Law Office RER Lextal

Jelena Kvjatkovska Law Office Rode & Partners

Juris Janums Law Office RER Lextal

Juta Meimere COBALT

Karina Palkova Riga Stradiņš University

Karlis Reihmanis Reihmanis & Partners Law Firm

Kristaps Loze Loze & Partners

Liene Cakare Zab Ey Law

Linda Lielbriede Rusanovs & Partneri

Stella Kaprāne Law Firm NJORD

Anonymous Contributors

Lebanon

Antoine G. Ghafari

Carlos Abou Jaoude Abou Jaoude and Associates

Elias W. Chalhoub Arab Center for the Development of the Rule of Law and Integrity

Fadia Rizk Hachem and Rizk Law Office

Jean E. Akl Akl Law Practice

Jihad Irani St. George University

Joelle Choueifati

Joelle Khater Badri and Salim El Meouchi Law Firm

Khatoun Haidar Synergy-Takamol

Mohamad Ramadan Elaref International Law Office

Najib Mikhael Khattar Khattar Associates

Rany Sader Sader & Associates

Raymond Medlej

Roger El Khoury HiiL

Sheryne Koteiche Awada & Tyan Law Firm

Tatiana Hamiyeh Itani Abboud & Associates

Anonymous Contributors

Liberia

Cecil B. Griffiths Criminal Justice Support Foundation for West Africa

John Kamma Citizen Bureau for Development and Productivity Lucia Diana Sonii-Gbala Heritage Partners & Associates LLC

Nelson S. Weh, Jr.

Samwar S. Fallah

Stephen K. McGill Stop AIDS in Liberia (SAIL)

Sylvester G. Lormie, II Center for Legal Education, Human Rights and Peace Studies; Green Advocates International

T. Damacious Saysay Central Law Offices, Inc.

T. Debey Sayndee Kofi Annan Institute for Conflict Transformation, University of Liberia

Anonymous Contributors

Lithuania

Andželika Vosyliūtė Vilnius University

Auksė Domeikienė Lithuanian University of Health Sciences

Ingrida Mačernytė Panomariovienė Lietuvos Socialinių Mokslų Centro Teisės Institutas

Linas Žalnieriūnas Redlines

Loreta Andziulytė ECOVIS Proventus Law

Paulius Murauskas Law Firm Sorainen & Partners

Ramune Kalediene Lithuanian University of Health Sciences

Rimantas Stanevičius

Ellex Valiunas Law Firm

Romualdas Drakšas Lithuanian Bar Association

Anonymous Contributors

WJP Rule of Law Index 2023 201

Luxembourg

Antoine Fortier Grethen

Benjamin Nerva Etude Koener & Mines

Emilia Fronczak Loyens & Loeff

Frank Wies Wies & Hertzog

Pierre Elvinger Elvinger Hoss Prussen

Rudatinya Mbonyumutwa *Mbonyumutwa Avocats*

Anonymous Contributors

Madagascar

A. Ramiakajato

Alain Yvon Ramamison Barreau de Madagascar

Alphonse Anatole Barreau de Madagascar

Andry Herisoa Andrianasolo Institut International des Sciences Sociales

Andry Randriaminosoa

Bakoly Razaiarisolo

Fahafahantsoa Rapelanoro Rabenja University of Antananarivo

Jedidia Rakotomalala Université Catholique de Madagascar

Olivia Rajerison Cabinet Rajerison

Rojo Randrianarivony Ralitera

Saholiniaina Njivasoa Nathalie Rambeloson Barreau de Madagascar

Tino Harvel Razafinimanana

202

Tojo Andriambololona Barreau de Madagascar Toki Ramilison

Anonymous Contributors

Malawi

Adamson Sinjani Muula Kamuzu University of Health Sciences

Ahmed Mussa Ritz Attorneys at Law

Chikondi Chijozi Southern Africa Litigation Centre

George Naphambo Naphambo and Company

Immaculate Maluza Irish Rule of Law International

Jones Stanley Gulumba Naphambo and Company

Lewis Chezan Bande University of Malawi

Lozindaba Mbvundula Ritz Attorneys at Law

Patrice C. Nkhono Mbendera & Nkhono Associates

Victor Mhango Center for Human Rights Education, Advice and Assistance

Wanangwa Hara Ritz Attorneys at Law

Anonymous Contributors

Malaysia

Ashgar Ali Ali Mohamed Ahmad Ibrahim Kulliyyah of Laws, International Islamic University Malaysia

Chew Phye Keat Raja, Darryl & Loh

Dato Azmi Mohd Ali Azmi & Associates

Joshua Tay AmerBON Lim Wei Jiet Messrs Lim Wei Jiet

Mohd Munzil Muhamad Multimedia University

Norhisham Abd Bahrin Azmi & Associates

Nurhafilah Musa National University Malaysia

Nurjaanah Chew Li Hua University Malaya

S. B. Cheah S. B. Cheah & Associates

Suganthi Singam Messrs Shearn Delamore & Co

Sumitha Shaanthinni Kishna Our Journey

Wah Yun Low Universiti Malava

Yusramizza Md Isa @ Yusuff Universiti Utara Malaysia

Anonymous Contributors

Mali

Oumar Niare SCPA Hera Conseils, Avocat Associe

Ousmane Sylla Office National de la Santé de la Reproduction

Samba Baba N'Diaye Barreau du Mali

Seydou Doumbia Avocats Sans Frontières

Anonymous Contributors

Malta

Arthur Azzopardi Azzopardi, Borg & Associates Advocates

Christine Calleja Mamo TCV Advocates

Julian Mamo University of Malta Louis de Gabriele Chamber of Advocates

Pierre Mallia University of Malta

Tyrone Grech Naudi Mizzi & Associates Advocates

Anonymous Contributors

Mauritania

Zeinebou Taleb Moussa Association Mauritanienne pour la Santé de la Mére et de l'Enfant

Anonymous Contributors

Mauritius

Burty François Juristconsult Chambers

Deepti Bismohun

Marie Cindy Trevedy AILES

Mohammad Nawaz Dookhee Prakash Bheeroo Prakash Bheeroo Chambers of Law

Rajen Narsinghen National University

Rubna Daureeawo RD Chambers

Yousuf Ali Azaree MC Law Offices

Yves Hein Hein Chambers

Zareena Tawheen Choomka LexCorp Chambers

Anonymous Contributors

Mexico

Alejandra Moreno Altamirano Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM) Alfonso Laborde Ramírez Moreno Rodríguez y Asociados SC

Alfonso Rodriguez Arana LegalMex S.C.

Alma Priscilla Torres Malanche Hernández Torres Abogados

Carlos de Buen Unna Bufete de Buen, SC

Carlos Santos-Burgoa The George Washington University

Christian Ascensio Martínez Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM)

Daniel Nuñez Durán GR & ND Asociados

Diana Ivette Fuentes Ulloa Grupo Gentera

Diego Gomez-Haro Katznelson Sánchez DeVanny Eseverri, SC

Elias Huerta Psihas Asociación Nacional de Doctores en Derecho (ANDD)

Enrique Camarena Domínguez

Ernesto C. Sanchez Rodríguez MSU; SSY; Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM)

Esteban Maqueo Barnetche Oléa, Maqueo y Giménez, SC

Gerardo Carrasco Soledad & Carrasco Abogados

Gilberto Miguel Valle Zulbarán Basham Ringe y Correa SC

Guillermo Piecarchic PMC Group SC

Hugo Hernandez-Ojeda Alvirez Hogan Lovells Iñigo Alejandro Torres Ortíz Hernández Torres Abogados

Jose Alberto **Campos Vargas** Sánchez DeVanny Eseverri, SC

José Arturo Granados Cosme Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana

José Martín Galván Muñoz

Juan David Balanzátegui Sánchez Asociación Nacional de Doctores en Derecho (ANDD)

Juan Francisco Torres Landa Ruffo The Latin America Practice Group

Karen Lizbeth Franco Díaz Instituto Nacional de Salud Pública (INSP)

Leopoldo Hernández Romano UNE México

Lucía Carmina Jasso López Instituto de Investigaciones Sociales

Luciano Mendoza Cruz Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM)

Luis Ravmundo Lozano Juarez Juntendo University

María Luisa Mendoza López Sánchez DeVanny Eseverri, SC

Mariana and Luis Fernando Teiado Gallegos Green Business Partners

Miguel Angel Gonzalez Bravo **GB** Abogados

Moisés Manuel Castro Jarquín Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM)

Mónica Jazmín Martínez Pérez Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM)

Oliva López Arellano Secretaría de Salud del Gobierno de la Ciudad de México; Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana

Roselia Arminda **Rosales** Flores Universidad Autónoma de la Ciudad de México

Sergio López Moreno Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana

Sofía de Robina Castro Centro de Derechos Humanos Miguel Agustín Pro luárez

Víctor Manuel Ortega Gonzalez Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM)

Anonymous Contributors

Moldova

Adrian Belîi Nicolae Testemitanu State University of Medicine and Pharmacy

Alexandru Cuznetov State University of Moldova

Alexei Croitor

Andrei Bivol Bivol & Asociatii

Andrei Briceac and Nicoleta Gavriliță PB&partners

Andrei Brighidin Moldovan Equality Council

Angela Popil Avornic & Partners Law Office

Baciu Inga

Doltu Svetlana Act for Involvement (AFI)

Doreen Popescu Union of Lawyers

Galina Obreja State University of Medicine and Pharmacy

Guennadi Turcanu Center for Health Policy and Research (PAS Center)

Ion Guzun

Iulia Furtuna Turcan Cazac Law Firm

Larisa Spinei Nicolae Testemitanu State University of Medicine and Pharmacv

Laura Urschi Law Company "Laura Urschi"

Marandici Ludmila O.O. Initiativa Pozitiva

Mihail Durnescu Cabinetul Avocatului Mihail Durnescu

Mihail Gorincioi The Law Office of Mihail Gorincioi

Olga Dorul Moldova State University

Prodan Nelea IMSP CNAMUP

Sergiu Ursu Bar Association of Moldova

Tudor Ocoianu Union of Lawyers

Vasile Gherasim Smile Dent Team

Victor Zaharia

Anonymous Contributors

Mongolia

Badamragchaa Purevdorj Open Society Forum

Badral Munkhdorj Mongol-Advocates LLP

Bolormaa Volodya **GRATA** International

Enkhtur Demberelsuren MahoneyLiotta LLP

Ganpurev Tsagaanbayar Bayar and Oka Law Firm

Oyunchimeg Dovdoi Public Participation for Sustainable Development

Anonymous Contributors

Montenegro

Branislav Radulović Association of Lawvers in Montenegro

Janko Đurđić Law Office Podgorica Montenegro

Jelena Brajkovic

Jelena Vujisic Law Office Vujacic

Ljiljana Golubović Institute of Public Health of Montenegro

Milan Keker Harrison Solicitors

Miomir Joksimović Law Office Joksimovic

Saša Vuiović

Slaven Scepanovic

Vesko M. Bozovich VMB Law Firm

Anonymous Contributors

Morocco

Abdelmajid Khachai

Ali Lachgar Essahili Lachgar Essahili Law Firme

> Manuel Bila, Jr. MB Advogados - Sociedade Unipessoal, Lda.

Sal & Caldeira Advogados, Lda.

Chivangue Augusto Chivangue, Advogados, Sociedade Unipessoal, Lda.

Costa Mateus Amanze Amanze & Associados

Joaquim Manhique Kenguelekeze for Health, Human Rights and Environment

José Gama Durão

José Gil Vicente Universidade Federal do Amazonas

José Manuel Caldeira

WJP Rule of Law Index 2023 203

Badi Ali Association Nationale pour Défendre des Droits de L'Homme au Maroc

Loukili Aouali Souhir

Flbachir Aaddi

Mimoun Charqi Charqi Lex Consulting

Mohamed Baske Manar Cadi Ayyad University

Mokhtar Haddou Horizons for Legal Advice, Mediation & Arbitration

Mounssif El Khaiari

Mustapha Said Briou

Nesrine Roudane Roudane & Partners Law Firm, Al Tamimi & Co.

Rachid Attahir University Hassan the First

Rashid Al-Sabti

Mozambique

Augusto Armando

Hassan First University

Anonymous Contributors

Rivad Fakhri

Natércia Sitoe

Pascoal Bié Pascoal Bié Advogados

Paula Vaz Fundação Ariel Glaser

Rafique Albuquerque Dentons, FL&A

Ricardo Rodrigues Lopes *Caiado Guerreiro, Sociedade de Advogados, SP, RL*

Anonymous Contributors

Myanmar

Maw Maw Tun Department of Marine Administration

Wai Wai Nu Women's Peace Network

Anonymous Contributors

Namibia

Christiaan Cronjé Cronjé Inc. Law Practice

Clement Daniels Hendrik Krüger *Krüger Van Vuuren & Co.*

Magano Erkana Dr. Weder, Kauta & Hoveka Inc.

Masake Harris Pilisano Namibia University of Science and Technology

Nambili T. K. Shipena Namibia Special Risks Insurance Association Ltd.

Nawala Kamati Engling, Stritter & Partners

Anonymous Contributors

Nepal

204

Ajay Risal Kathmandu University **Ajay Shankar Jha** Public Defender Society of Nepal

Ananta Raj Luitel

Anita Neupane Thapalia Legal Aid and Consultancy Centre (LACC)

Anju Thapa Seven S. Incorporates Pvt. Ltd.

Ashim Chapagain Lawgical Legal Associates

Ayasha Sharma Sinha Verma Law Concern

Avush Gautam

nha Verma Law Concern

Lawgical Legal Associates Sa Bijaya Kumari Rai Shrestha Aaprabasi Mahila Kamdar Sa

Bishnu Luitel BG Law Foundation

Samuha (AMKAS)

Bishwa Nath Khanal Prithivi Legal Service Centre

Dev Datta Joshi Equip for Equality Nepal

Jyasmin Bhandari

Kabita Luitel Public Defender Society of Nepal

Kalyan Pokhrel F-Dimensional Legal Services and Research Centre

Lila Mani Paudel Dhaulagiri Legal Service

Meen Bahadur Poudyal Chhetri Nepal Center for Disaster Management (NCDM)

Pabitra Raut Kasthamandap Law Chamber

Pradeep Kandel Social Legal Services

Prakriti Karki Center for Legal Research and Resource Development (CeLRRd) **Prashanna Shrestha** *Pradhan & Associates*

Preetam Ghimire Lawgical Legal Associates

Rajeshwor Shrestha Sinha Verma Law Concern

Raju Prasad Chapagai

Rameshwor Neupane Himalayan Lawyers and Associates

Rudra Prasad Pokhrel R.P. Pokhrel & Associates

Rukamanee Maharjan Tribhuvan University

Sadikshya Maharjan Aaprabasi Mahila Kamdar Samuha (AMKAS)

Sambidha Sharma Tapasheel Legal Advisors

Saurav Karki

Shital Subedi Seven S. Incorporates Pvt. Ltd.

Shringa Rishi Kafle Merit Legal Consultancy Ltd.

Shyam Sundar Budhathoki Nepalese Society of Community Medicine

Subarna Kumar Khatry Nepal Nutrition Intervention Project

Sudeep Gautam DOJ OPDAT

Sunil Kumar Yadav Minerva Law Partners

Upendra Raj Dulal Agrani Law Associates

Anonymous Contributors

Netherlands

Arnold Versteeg Macro & Versteeg Advocaten

Eugenie Nunes Dentons **Gabriel Meijers** Meijers Canatan Advocaten

Hansko Broeksteeg Radboud Universiteit

Henricus Snijders Snijders Law; University of Leiden

Jaap-Willem Roozemond Roozemond [+] De Haan Advocaten

Jolanda Meeuwissen Trimbos Institute, Netherlands Institute of Mental Health and Addiction

Nico van der Peet Thuis Partners Attorneys-at-Law

Reinier W. L. Russell Russell Advocaten

Simon van der Sluijs Van Diepen Van der Kroef Advocaten

Steven Jellinghaus Pallas Lawyers; Tilburg University

Anonymous Contributors

New Zealand

A. J. Forbes Clarendon Chambers

Alan Knowsley Rainey Collins Lawyers

Asha Stewart Quigg Partners

Campbell Roberts The Salvation Army

Colin Henry Libertas Chambers

Douglas Lyon Lyon O'Neale Arnold Lawyers

Gay Morgan Te Piringa - Faculty of Law, University of Waikato

Geoff Hall University of Otago **Gordon Anderson** Victoria University of Wellington

Grace Haden Transparency New Zealand

lan Douglas Miller

Kate Diesfeld Auckland University of Technology

Marie Bismark The University of Melbourne

Mark Winger Holmden Horrocks

Matthew Berkahn Massey University

Nigel Hampton

Paul Michalik

Peter Watts Bankside Chambers

Petra Butler Victoria University of Wellington

Scott Wilson Duncan Cotterill

Simon Gyenge Lyon O'Neale Arnold Lawyers

Sonja M. Cooper Cooper Legal

Stephen Eliot Smith University of Otago

Stephen Franks Franks Ogilvie

Steven Zindel Zindels of Nelson

Trevor Daya-Winterbottom University of Waikato

W. John Hopkins University of Canterbury -Te Whare Wānanga o Waitaha

William Akel Sangro Chambers

Anonymous Contributors

Nicaragua

Avil Ramírez Mayorga Central Law

Carlos Eduardo Téllez Páramo

Edgard Torres Dentons

Gabriel Álvarez Rocha

Gerardo Martin Hernandez Consortium Legal

John Lordsal Minnella-Romano Minnella Romano v Asociados

Kevin Humberto Castro Arias

Kimberly Adriana Fletes Padilla *Arias*

Leonardo Maldonado González Arias

Linda Barquero

María Ofelia Medina

Maryeling Suyen Guevara Sequeira Arias

Meyling Sampson Espino Consortium Legal

Nelson Gustavo Cortes Fundacion Ministerio Sanador Isaías 53:5

Octavio Alarcon Guardado Arias

Roderick Salinas

Uriel E. Balladares Arias

Yaser Gabriel Bonilla Amador & Asociados

Anonymous Contributors

Niger

Abdoul-Aziz Mamadou M. Maiga Université Joseph Ki-Zerbo

Aboubacar Souley AMAS Group

Ali Idrissa Rotab

Alkassoum Salifou Ibrahim

Amani Yahouza

Diori Hamani Oumarou Barreau du Niger

Hassane Oumarou

Ismael Tahirou Aouta Barreau du Niger

Mahamane Laouali Manzo Ministère de la Santé Publique

Mohamed Bargaz ANITEB

Moustapha Amidou Nebie Maman Barreau du Niger

Souleymane Seydou Idrissa Barreau du Niger

Anonymous Contributors

Nigeria

Aaron Olaniyi Salau Olabisi Onabanjo University

Abdulhamid Abdullahi Bagara Achieving Health Nigeria Initiative

Abraham Oladipupo Emollient Law Practice

Adamu M. Usman F.O. Akinrele & Co.

Adedeji Adekunle Juritrust Centre for Socio Legal Research and Documentation

Aina Precious Aderemi Roygold Solicitors Ayotunde Ologe SUN Legal You

Bisi Bright LiveWell Initiative (LWI)

Charles Olufemi Adekoya Olabisi Onabanjo University

Chiamaka I. Orabueze University of Nigeria

Chioma Jennifer Onuta Nigerian Institute of Medical Research

Chisom Ndubuisi Udo Udoma & Belo-Osagie

Chudi Nelson Ojukwu L. C. & N.

Daprim Samuel Ogaji University of Port Harcourt

Dorcas Abimbola Akinpelu University of Ibadan

Ehijeagbon Oserogho Oserogho & Associates

Emmanuel Okorie Hope Behind Bars Africa

Femi Aborisade Abope Chambers

Folake Ogunbamowo Beatus Legal Services

Funke Agbor Adepetun Caxton-Martins Agbor & Segun

Gbenga Ibukun Odusola Gbenga Odusola & Co., Acme Law Partners

Gbenga Oyebode Aluko & Oyebode

Godwin Etim Aelex Partners

Ibrahim Imam University of Ilorin

Isimeme Mary Andrew Novalux Legal Practitioners

Israel Akanmidu Israel Akanmidu & Associates Joseph E. O. Abugu Joe Abugu, SAN & Co.

L. Omolola Ikwuagwu George Ikoli & Okagbue

Leonard Chukwuemeke Ebie L. C. Ebie & Co.

Mark Chidi Agbo J-K Gadzama LLP

Motolani Oyerinde LiveWell Initiative (LWI)

Muhtar Etudaiye University of Ilorin

Newman U. Richards University of Nigeria

Nkechi J. Odinukwe Safepath Alliance for Women and Girls

Nnenna Ejekam Nnenna Ejekam Associates

Obiajulu Nnamuchi University of Nigeria

Oghogho Makinde Aluko & Oyebode

Okeoma Ibe Goodshare & Maxwells

Oluchi Maduka Center for Bioethics and Research

Oluniyi Adediji J-K Gadzama LLP

Oluwafunke Adeoye Hope Behind Bars Africa

Oluyemisi Bamgbose San University of Ibadan

Oseinoma Okpeku The Law Crest LLP

Ozofu 'Latunde Ogiemudia *Udo Udoma & Belo-Osagie*

Peter K. Fogam Crescent University

Samson Ojodomo Onuche Hope Behind Bars Africa Samuel Dare Checkers Consultancy Services

Sarat Akinloye Chief Rotimi Williams Chambers

Shedrack Ekpa

Stanley Ibe University of Oxford

Stephen Abah Federal University of Health Sciences

Sylvester Anya University of Nigeria

Terrumun Z. Swende Benue State University Makurdi

Uju Obuka University of Nigeria

Anonymous Contributors

North Macedonia

Aleksandar Ickovski

Aleksandra Deanoska Trendafilova Law Faculty lustinijanus Primus, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University (UKIM)

Aleksandra Maksimovska Law Faculty lustinijanus Primus, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University (UKIM)

Ana Tosic Chubrinovski Law Firm Tosic & Jevtic

Andon Majhosev University of "Goce Delchev" Shtip

Andrej Bozhinovski Judges Association of North Macedonia

Andrijana Trpchevska Stojanovska

Deljo Kadiev

Dimitar Gelev Law Faculty lustinijanus Primus, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University (UKIM)

WJP Rule of Law Index 2023 205

Dragan Lazarov Law Office Lazarov

Dushan Sharanovikj

Elena Nikodinovska Miftari Law Office Emil Miftari

Elisaveta Stikova Ss. Cyril and Methodius University (UKIM)

Emil Miftari Emil Miftari Law Office

Filip Nacevski Law Firm Donevski

Gordana Lazhetikj Law Faculty lustinijanus Primus, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University (UKIM)

Gordana Susuleska-Itikj Law Office Lazarov

Kristina Tomashevska -Blazhevska Law Office Lazarov

Leonid Trpenoski Law Firm Trpenoski

Maja Risteska AD Osiguritelna Polisa

Sami Mehmeti Southeast European University

Svetlana Necheva Law Office Pepeljugoski Skopje

Svetlana Veljanovska University St. Kliment Ohridski - Bitola

Valentin Pepeljugoski Law Office Pepeljugoski Skopje

Vesna Gavriloska Cakmakova Advocates

Anonymous Contributors

Norway

206

Alexandra Refsnes Thommessen **Bent Endresen**

Berit Reiss-Andersen Advokatfirma Andenæs Aaløkken Veum

Eivind Smith University of Oslo

Frank S. Thrana MedBase

Geir Steinberg Advokatfirmaet Haavind AS

Geir Sverre Braut Stavanger University Hospital

Harald B. Ciarlo

Jan Fougner Wiersholm

Jovana Vukovic-Lütken Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Karl Harald Søvig University of Bergen

Lasse Solberg Advokatfirmaet Judicium DA

Magne Strandberg University of Bergen

Niels R. Kiaer Rime Advokatfirma DA

Stella Tuft Microsoft

Anonymous Contributors

Pakistan

Adnan Aslam Qureshi Qureshi Law Associates

Arshad Nawaz Khan Quaid I Azam University

Ashfaq Ahmed Ayub Medical College

Asim Nasim Orr, Dignam & Co.

Benazir Jatoi

Faiza Muzaffar Legis Inn Attorneys & Corporate Consultants Hassan Arif Liaquat Merchant Associates

Iftikhar Ahmad Tarar Rashid Latif Khan University

Kausar S. Khan Interactive Research & Development (IRD)

Mohammad Akmal Wasim

Muhammad Nouman Shams Qazi Law Associates, Advocates and Legal Consultants

Muhammad Waqas Butt Black Duck Software Inc.

Muhammad Zeeshan Haroon Ayub Medical College

Muzaffar Islam Legis Inn Attorneys & Corporate Consultants

Taffazul Haider Rizvi Rizvi and Rizvi Advocates

Tariq Rahim Tariq Rahim Law Associates

Umer Farooq Ayub Medical College

Waheed Ahmad Waheed Law Firm

Anonymous Contributors

Panama

Adan Arnulfo Arjona Lopez *Galindo, Arias y Lopez*

Carlos Barsallo

Carlos Ernesto Gonzalez Ramirez Morgan & Morgan Legal

Cinthya Camargo Saavedra Naturgy

Eduardo Duran

Erick R. Muñoz M. Sucre, Arias & Reyes **Ernesto Shirley** Shirley & Asociados

Joaquín de Obarrio Patton, Moreno & Asvat

Jorge Henriquez

Jorge Molina Mendoza Fabrega Molino

Joy Paul Torres J. Morgan & Morgan Legal

Maria Teresa Mendoza Vallejo Morgan & Morgan Legal

Mayte Sánchez González Morgan & Morgan Legal

Milagros Caballero Morgan & Morgan Legal

Nadya Price

Natalia Vega University of South Florida

Rafael Rodríguez Barrios Lex Labour Panama

Ricardo J. Aleman Morgan & Morgan Legal

Rigoberto Centeno

Victoria Valdes Universidad de Panama

Anonymous Contributors

Paraguay

Addis Rivarola Sosa Hospital Regional de Encarnación

Alberto Manuel Poletti Adorno Vinclum Abogados & Consultores

Alvaro Valinotti

Carlos Miguel Rios González Universidad Nacional de Caaguazu

Cynthia Viveros Ministerio de Salud Pública y Bienestar Social Esteban Armando Kriskovich De Vargas Altamirano y Abogados Asociados

Javier Parquet Villagra Parquet & Asociados

Jorge Giubi Bobeda Universidad Nacional de Asunción

José V. Altamirano Altamirano y Abogados Asociados

Laura Emilce Flores Rodriguez Vitalitas

Liliana María Moreno Rodríguez Alcalá Moreno Ruffinelli & Asociados

Luciano Garrido B.

Luis Samaniego Correa Estudio Jurídico Samaniego Correa y Asociados

María del Pilar Callizo Lopez Moreira Estudio Jurídico Gross Brown

Maria Elena Acevedo Universidad Nacional de Asunción

María Esmeralda Moreno Moreno Ruffinelli & Asociados

Pablo Cheng Lu Mersan Abogados

Perla Alderete Vouga Abogados

Roberto Ruiz Díaz Labrano Universidad Nacional de Asunción

Rosa Martinez de Vacchetta Poder Ciudadano en Acción

Sandra Otazú ALC S.A.

Anonymous Contributors

Peru

Alejandro Araujo Gaviria

Alejandro Vela Quico Universidad Nacional de San Agustín de Arequipa

Alfredo Gastañeta Alayza García Sayán Abogados

Alicia Jimenez Philippi Pietrocarrizaza Ferrero DU & Uria

Antonio David Bardales Pereira Universidad César Vallejo

Armando Natividad Maguiña Estudio Ghersi Abogados

Aurelio Loret de Mola Böhme Lex Asesores SAC

Carlos Javier Torres Berrío Estudio Muñiz

Carlos Margary Brignole Estudio Salas Rizo Patron & Margary Abogados

César Puntriano Rosas Universidad ESAN

Christian Valencia Sarmiento Estudio Ghersi Abogados

Dennis Oswaldo Vilchez Ramirez Estudio Ghersi Abogados

Diana Briones Morey Rodrigo, Elías & Medrano Abogados

Giulliana Arecelli Loza Avalos Estudio Loza Avalos Abogados

Gonzalo Garcia Calderon Moreyra

Gustavo de los Ríos Woolls *Rey & de los Rios Abogados*

Juan Carlos de los Heros Baker & Mckenzie, Estudio Echecopar

Luz Cecilia Catacora Torres *Estudio Olaechea* Manuel Bermúdez-Tapia Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos

Manuel Villa-García Noriega Estudio Olaechea

María de Pilar Pozo García Universidad Norbert Wiener

Percy Tapia Vargas Universidad Continental

Yuri Vega Mere Muñiz, Olaya, Meléndez, Castro, Ono & Herrera

Anonymous Contributors

Philippines

Afdal B. Kunting Zamboanga City Medical Center

Carl Jillson Acasili

Carmelita Gopez Nuqui Development Action for Women Network (DAWN)

Cesar L. Villanueva Villanueva Gabionza & Dy Law

Emerico O. de Guzman ACCRALAW

Enriquito J. Mendoza Romulo Law Office

Irma Rose C. Marcelo El Nido Foundation

James Fulton B. Almagro Almagro Law Office

Jesusito G. Morallos Follosco Morallos & Herce

Jonathan Sale Law and Society Association

Jose Cochingyan III Cochingyan & Partners Law Offices

Karen Gomez Dumpit De La Salle University

Kate Montecarlo Cordova Association of Transgender People in the Philippines Leland Villadolid, Jr. ACCRALAW

Nancy Joan M. Javier Javier Law

Patricia Blardony Miranda HoldWater

Regie Tongol Regie Tongol and Associates Law & Communications

Ronahlee A. Asuncion University of the Philippines

Roy Enrico C. Santos Puyat Jacinto & Santos

Zelayne Morpe Cochingyan & Partners Law Offices

Anonymous Contributors

Poland

Adam Morawski Morawski & Partners Law Firm

Andrzej Brodziak University of Applied Sciences

Iwona Kowalska-Bobko Jagiellonian Univeristy Medical College

Joanna Kosińska-Wiercińska

Julian Bielicki Drzewiecki, Tomaszek & Partners

Krzysztof Kowalczyk BSJP Brockhuis Jurczak Prusak Sroka Nilsson

Robert Mołdach Institute for Health and Democracy

Anonymous Contributors

Portugal

António Vaz de Castro Universidade de Coimbra

António Casa Nova Instituto Politécnico de Portalegre Carlos Cartageno Clinical Academic Center of Coimbra (CACC)

Carlos Lopes Ribeiro CR Advogados

Carlos Pinto de Abreu Carlos Pinto de Abreu e Associados

Cláudia Amorim Forum Penal: Sérvulo

Eduardo Buisson Loureiro

Eliseu Gonçalves Francisco TaskLegal Advogados

Felipe Baião do Nascimento Paulo Espírito Santo Amil & Associados

Fernando Alves Correia Universidade de Coimbra

Francisco Marques Bom Marques Bom & Associados

Gonçalo Gil Barreiros PRA - Raposo, Sá Miranda & Associados, Soc. Adv., SP RL

Joana Barrilaro Ruas FCM - Advogados

João Costa Gonçalves Marques Bom & Associados

Luís Brito Correia Luís Brito Correia - Advogados

Luis Miguel Amaral Luis Miguel Amaral -Advogados

Miguel Andrade

Miguel Reis Miguel Reis & Associados

P. Saragoça da Matta SM&SB

Pedro Miguel Branco

Rui Tavares Correia Abreu & Marques

Sandra Oliveira e Silva CIJ, Universidade do Porto

Sandrine Bisson Marvão

Sofia Monge Carlos Pinto de Abreu e Associados

Teresa Violante Universität Friedrich Alexander Erlangen-Nürnberg

Vânia Costa Ramos Carlos Pinto de Abreu e Associados

Anonymous Contributors

Romania

Alexandru Athanasiu University of Bucharest

Alexandru Corpodean Corpodean Alexandru Law Office

Ana-Maria Vlasceanu University of Bucharest

Andrei Danciu SCA Cataniciu & Asociatii

Andrei Mircea Zamfirescu bnt Gilescu, Valeanu & Partners

Aurora Ciucă University "Ștefan cel Mare"

Bogdan N. Bulai University of Bucharest

Botau Diana Babeș-Bolyai University

Ciprian Miloiu Auxmundus Law

Dan Oancea University of Bucharest

Dragoș Daghie Universitatea "Dunărea de Jos" Galați

Gheorghe Piperea Piperea și Asociații; University of Bucharest

Iulian Alexander Stoia Cluj Bar Association

Larion Alina Paula University "Ștefan cel Mare" Laura Lazar Babeş-Bolyai University

Lazar Elena University of Bucharest

Lazar loan Baroul Alba

Marius Balan Universite "Alexandru Ioan Cuza" Iași

Mihai Carabas Carabas, Lungu - SCA

Nicolae Ploeșteanu UMFST "G.E.Palade" from Târgu Mureș

Nicolae-Horia Tit Universite "Alexandru Ioan Cuza" Iași

Ovidiu Podaru Babeș-Bolyai University

Radu Rizoiu University of Bucharest

Raul Miron MMS Lawyers; UMFST "G.E. Palade"

Sergiu Golub Babeș-Bolyai University

Silvia Gabriela Scintee National Institute of Health Services Management

Stefan Strilciuc Iuliu Hatieganu University of Medicine and Pharmacy

Tudor L. Pop Iuliu Hatieganu University of Medicine and Pharmacy

Valerius M. Ciucă Universite "Alexandru Ioan Cuza" Iași

Veronica Voinescu Voinescu & Partners

Anonymous Contributors

Russian Federation

Anatoly Malyugin Russin & Vecchi **Gleb Glinka** Glinka, Rubinstein & Partners

Pavel Alexandrovich Kabanov Kazan Innovative University

Sergey Bogatyrev

Vasily Shavin Chamber of Advocates, Nizhny Novgorod Region

Vyacheslav Chasnyk Saint-Petersburg State Pediatric Medical University

Anonymous Contributors

Rwanda

Arsène Rutiyomba

Denis Bikesha University of Rwanda

Herbert Zziwa Stabit Advocates

Jean Claude Bizimana Shoshi

Jean Pierre Gafaranga University Teaching Hospital of Kigali

Melissa Ishimwe Igihozo Corban

Pie Habimana Amilex Chambers

Rwabigwi Augustin R.R. Modern Law Firm

Vedaste Mugemanyi Oasis Law Chambers

Anonymous Contributors

Senegal

Adrien Dioh Université Gaston Berger de Saint Louis

Aminata Kidiera Lux Mea Lex Consulting

Babacar Niang Université Cheikh Anta Diop (UCAD) Bacre Waly Ndiaye Ordre des Avocats du Sénégal

Daouda Faye CAJA Consulting

Gueye Seyni Entente des Mouvements et Associations de Développement (EMAD)

Joseph Djigdiam Diop Cabinet d'Avocats Maîtres Michel Simel Basse et Joseph Djigdiam Diop

Laura Petiot LP-Consulting

Mbaye Diene Consortium pour la Recherche Economique et Sociale (CRES)

Meissa Toure EUROMED Université

Moustapha Ngaido

Ndiouma Ndour Université Assane Seck

Paul Babacar Faye SCP Sow-Seck-Diagne & Associes

Samba Cor Sarr Ministere de la Santé et de l'Action sociale

Thierno Amadou Ndiogou Université Cheikh Anta Diop (UCAD)

Yaya Bodian Université Cheikh Anta Diop (UCAD)

Anonymous Contributors

Serbia

Ana Popovic Živković Samardžić Law Office

Andjelka Radovanovic Law Firm Stankovic & Partners

Danijela Korać-Mandić Novi Sad Humanitarian Centre (NSHC) Hajrija Mujovic Institute of Social Sciences, Center for Legal Research

Jovana Tomić CT Legal Law Office

Nebojsa Stankovic Stankovic & Partners Law Office

Nikola Kovacevic

Petar Bulat University of Belgrade

Petar Stojanovic Joksovic, Stojanovic & Partners

Violeta Mitrovic Joint Law Office Radović & Ratković

Vladimir Hrle Hrle Attorneys

Vladimir Marinkov Guberina-Marinkov Law Office

Zoran V. Radovic Radovic & Ratkovic z.a.k.

Anonymous Contributors

Sierra Leone

Abdulai Deadehwai Swaray Pikin-To-Pikin Movement

Adewale Showers Fornah-Sesay, Cummings, Showers & Co.

Augustine Sorie-Sengbe Marrah Marrah & Associates

Gelaga King GPK Legal

Haja Hawa Turay Haikal Organization

Håkon Angell Bolkan CapaCare

Julia J. M. Fofanah Conservation Alliance SL; Focal Point, ECONSA SL Mariam Koroma Marrah & Associates

Morlai Kamara Life for Africa Mothers, Sierra Leone

Anonymous Contributors

Singapore

Benjamin Joshua Ong Yong Pung How School of Law, Singapore Management University

Chandra Mohan Tan Rajah & Cheah

Chia Boon Teck Chia Wong Chambers LLC

Eric Tin Donaldson & Burkinshaw LLP

Eugene K. B. Tan Singapore Management University, Yong Pung How School of Law

Gregory Vijayendran

K. H. Mak

Lawrence Boo The Arbitration Chambers

Leslie Chew RHT Law Asia; Singapore University of Social Sciences

Simon Chesterman National University of Singapore

Suet-Fern Lee Morgan Lewis & Bockius

Tham Wei Chern Fullerton Law Chambers LLC

Ti Lik Chia Chia Ngee Thuang & Co.

Wendell Wong Drew & Napier LLC

Anonymous Contributors

Slovak Republic

Alojz Baránik Barbara Pavlikova Comenius University

Daniela Ježová

Hana Kovacikova Comenius University

Ivan Simovcek Trnava Univerzity

Jana Trangelová HKV Law Firm

Jozef Čentéš Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave

Jozef Záhora Pan-European University

Martin Janáč Law Firm JUDr. Martin Janáč

Martin Magál Allen & Overy LLP

Natália Hangáčová Dentons

Pavol Kundrik CMS Cameron McKenna Nabarro Olswang

Silvia Capikova Comenius University

Tomáš Rybár Čechová & Partners SRO

Anonymous Contributors

Slovenia

Aleksandra Janežič Law Firm Janežič & Jarkovič d.o.o.

Andrej Bukovnik Bukovnik & Kulbaba IP Guardians

Anton Gradišek

Blaž Prinčič Law Firm Blaž Prinčič; Ulčar & Partnerji Branko Ilić ODI Law

Denis Baghrizabehi University of Maribor

Erazem Bohinc New University

Grega Strban University of Ljubljana

Iris Pensa Jadek & Pensa Law Office

Klemen Drnovšek University of Maribor

Luka Tičar University of Ljubljana

Matej Avbelj New University

Matija Repolusk RepoluskLaw

Matjaž Ulčar Ulčar & Partnerji

Nana Weber New University

Nina Peršak Institute for Criminal Law, Ethics, and Criminology

Primož Rožman Blood Transfusion Centre of Slovenia

Sara Ahlin Doljak New University

Tanja Glušič Law Firm Glušič

Tine Mišic ODI Law

Tjaša Drgan Law Office Drnovšek

Verica Trstenjak Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU)

Žiga Sternad Law Firm Senica & Partners, Ltd.

Anonymous Contributors

South Africa

Altair Richards ENSafrica

David Coetzee University of Cape Town

Dejo Olowu Walter Sisulu University

Elizabeth M. Webb University of Pretoria

Funmi Abioye

Henry Ngcobo Bowman Gilfillan Attorneys

Irvin Lawrence and Ferosa Kirsten ENSafrica

Jacques du Plessis Stellenbosch University

Jacques Matthee University of the Free State

Johann Kriegler Freedom Under Law

Lukas Muntingh Dullah Omar Institute, University of the Western Cape

Margaretha Engelbrecht Group One Advocates

Michelle Posemann Garlicke & Bousfield Inc.

Nisha Jacob University of Cape Town

Pamela Jane Schwikkard University of Cape Town

Peter Jordi Wits Law Clinic

Rakgetse John Mokwena University of South Africa (UNISA)

Richard Maddern Wright Rose Innes Inc.

Riette du Plessis University of the Witwatersrand **Robert W. Vivian** Rule of Law Project of the Free Market Foundation

Sandhiya Singh University of KwaZulu Natal

Shrikant Maurice Peters Groote Schuur Hospital, University of Cape Town

W. du Plessis North-West University

Anonymous Contributors

Spain

Alba García Torres Universidad de Oviedo

Alfonso Pedrajas Abdón Pedrajas Littler

Alfonso Vega Navarro A.V. Boutique Legal SLP

Amparo Garrigues Giménez Universitat Jaume I

Ana Fernández Pérez Universidad de Alcalá

Ana Rosa Argüelles Blanco Universidad de Oviedo

Andrea Macía Morillo Universidad Autónoma de Madrid

Antonio J. Garcia-Ruiz Universidad de Málaga

Benito Alaez-Corral Universidad de Oviedo

Carla Sanahuja Fonte Gómez-Acebo y Pombo

Carlos Pinilla Garrigues

Eduardo Santamaría Moral *Garrigues*

Elena Espinosa SCS

Emilio Díaz Ruiz

Federico Navarro Nieto Universidad de Córdoba Federico Rodríguez Morata Universidad de Castilla -La Mancha

Fernando G. Benavides Universitat Pompeu Fabra

Fernando Guanarteme Sánchez Lázaro Universidad de La Laguna

Fernando Ignacio Sánchez Martínez University of Murcia

Fernando Reviriego Picón Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia

Francisco Jódar Sánchez Universidad de Málaga

Francisco Ramos Romeu Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona

Ildefonso Hernández Aguado Universidad Miguel Hernández

Iñigo Sagardoy de Simón Sagardoy Abogados

Jacobo Dopico Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

Jaime Flores Pérez-Durías Garrigues

Jaime Hernández García Bufete Choclán

Jesús Padilla Gálvez Universidad de Castilla -La Mancha

José Fernández-Rañada Garrigues

Jose Luis Cembrano Reder Asociación Española de Abogados de Familia

José Luis Goñi Sein Universidad Pública de Navarra

José M. Labeaga Azcona Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia José Manuel Mateo Sierra Garrigues

José Martí Boscà Sociedad Española de Salud Ambiental

José-Ignacio Gallego Soler Universidad de Barcelona

Josep Lluís de Peray

Juan Alberto Díaz López J. A. Díaz. Litigación Penal

Juan Antonio Lascuraín Sánchez Universidad Autónoma de Madrid

Juan Francisco Aguiar Rodríguez Servicio Canario de Salud, Gobierno de Canarias

Juan Ignacio Fuster-Fabra Fuster-Fabra Abogados

Juan Oliva Universidad de Castilla -La Mancha

Leonardo Álvarez Álvarez Universidad de Oviedo

Luis Gaite Hospital Universitario Marqués de Valdecilla

Manuel Ángel de las Heras García Universidad de Alicante

Manuel Cachón Cadenas Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona

Manuel Cancio Meliá Universidad Autónoma de Madrid

María Acale Sánchez Universidad de Cádiz

María Barberá Riera Sociedad Española de Salud Ambiental

Maria del Carmen Gete-Alonso y Calera Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona

210

María José Benítez Jiménez Universidad de Málaga

María Marcos González Universidad de Alcalá

María Pilar Marco Francia Universidad Complutense de Madrid

Maria-Luisa de la Puente

Marina Lorente Lara Garrigues

Martín Godino Reyes Sagardoy Abogados

Montserrat Casamitjana

Nicolás Bárcena Suárez Universidad de Oviedo

Nicolás García Rivas Universidad de Castilla -La Mancha

Orlanda Díaz-García Universidad de Castilla -La Mancha

Pablo Salvador Coderch Universitat Pompeu Fabra

Paloma Biglino Campos Universidad de Valladolid

Patricia Barber Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria

Paz M. De La Cuesta Aguado Universidad de Cantabria

Raquel Flórez Escobar Freshfields

Remedios Aranda Rodríguez Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

Remedios Menéndez Calvo Universidad de Alcalá

Román Gil Alburquerque Sagardoy Abogados

Rosa M. Urbanos Garrido SESPAS; Universidad Complutense de Madrid Rosario Vicente Martínez Universidad de Castilla -La Mancha

Salvador Peiró Fundación para el Fomento de la Investigación Sanitaria y Biomédica de la Comunidad Valenciana

Sebastián Cantallops Mir Rambla Abogados Y Asesores

Vicente Ortun Rubio Universidad Pompeu Fabra

Anonymous Contributors

Sri Lanka

A. I. Wickramasinghe

Ajithaa Edirimanne Ajithaa Edirimanne Law Office

B. M. N. G. Balasuriya Sudath Perera Associates

Chandima Ambepitiya

Chandravathany Vasavan Vasavan Law Office

Dhanushka Dissanayake

Gamini Perera International Law Chambers

Ishara Jayasinghe

K. H. Shane Nilusha de Silva

Kushani Weerakon D. L. & F. De Saram

Lasantha Hettiarachchi Law Chambers of Lasantha Hettiarachchi

Milinda Jayatilaka

Nihal Jayawardene Law Advisory Commission of Sri Lanka

Priyantha Gamage

R. M. N. U. Rajapaksha Ministry of Health

S. R. L. Rosa

Sanuji Munasinghe D. L. & F. De Saram

Shewon Silva D. L. & F. De Saram

Upali Jayatilaka AAL

Anonymous Contributors

St. Kitts and Nevis

Charles Wilkin

Dia Forrester Daniel Brantley

Krysta S. Liburd Clarke

Maurisha A. Robinson Morton Robinson I P

Michella Adrien Michella Adrien Law Offices

Rayana Dowden WEBSTER LP

Sonya Parry Gonsalves Parry

Anonymous Contributors

St. Lucia

Alberta Richelieu Richelieu & Co.

Chevanev Charles Temple Stoke

Henri-Jacques Mangal Republic Bank (EC) Ltd.

Leandra Gabrielle Verneuil Leandra Verneuil Chambers

Virginia Joseph Spartan Health Science University

Anonymous Contributors

St. Vincent and the Grenadines

Chevanev Charles Temple Stoke Moureeze L. Franklyn Ministry of Legal Affairs

Stanley K. John Elizabeth Law Chambers

Stephen Williams Williams & Williams Chambers

Anonymous Contributors

Sudan

Amir Suliman African Center for Justice and Peace Studies

Asma ElSony

Epedimilogical Labartory for Public Health Research and Development

Hanadi Ibrahim Elkhateim Raise for Development and Humanitarian Aid Organization

Khalid Fedail Fedail Hospital

Musaed Awad Al-Karim Ahmed Musaed Sudan Open University

Nafisa Omer Omer Abdelati Law Firm

Sawsan Musa Wali

Anonymous Contributors

Suriname

Anne-Marel Linger 4 Justice Advocaten

Francyn Djajadi Confianza Advocaten

Gloria Monique de Mees Anton de Kom University of Suriname

Humphrey R. Schurman Schurman Advocaten N.V.

Maureen Tjon-Jaw-Chong

Iris Nazir Law Firm Nazir Merlin A. Gout Law Firm M.A. Gout

Nashreen R. J. Ilahibaks Radju Brijobhokun Anton de Kom University of Suriname

Ruby C. A. Bleau

Anonymous Contributors

Sweden

Bengt Järvholm Umeå University

Bengt Lundell Lund University

Birgitta Nyström Lund University

Boel Flodgren Lund University

Christer Thordson

Jack Ågren Karlstad University

Karol Nowak Lund University

Kavot Zillén Stockholm University

Lena Enqvist Umeå University

Mauro Zamboni Stockholm University

Mikael Hansson Uppsala University

Ola Zetterquist Court of Appeal for Western Sweden; University of Gothenburg

Olle Mårsäter Uppsala University

Reinhold Fahlbeck Lund University

Staffan Michelson Hellström Law

Sverker Jönsson Lund University **Ulf Maunsbach** Lund University

Vilhelm Persson Lund University

Anonymous Contributors

Tanzania

Anna Aloys Henga Legal and Human Rights Centre

Annmarie Mavenjina Nkelam Hadasa Associates

Eliud Kitime

African Online Law Academy

Elizabeth John Mlemeta

Fundikila Wazambi Legal and Human Rights Centre

George Fernandes Dentons, East African Law Chambers

Hakiel. O. Mgonja M&M Law Chambers

Mathias Omar Kisegu Trustworthy Attorneys

Mpale Kaba Mpoki Mpoki and Associates Advocates

Richard Paresso The Open University of Tanzania

Vintan Willgis Mbiro Breakthrough Attorneys

Anonymous Contributors

Thailand

Chalermwut Sriporm Thammasat University

Chulapong Yukate Siam Legal

Chusert Supasitthumrong Tilleke & Gibbins International Ltd. Ittichai Prasongprasit R&T Asia Limited

Jakkrit Kuanpoth Tilleke & Gibbins International Ltd.

Koreeyor Manuchae Migrant Working Group

Manaswee Wongsuryrat Tilleke & Gibbins International Ltd.

Munin Pongsapan Thammasat University

Pisut Rakwong Pisut & Partners

Shohei Sasaki Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu

Wanchai Yiamsamatha LS Horizon Ltd.

Anonymous Contributors

Togo

Adjowavi Saratou Moreira

Bakafitine Banque Martial Akakpo & Associes

Dékawunga Djoliba Kutolbena Cabinet Maitre Kutolbena

Elliott Hegbor Essiamé Koko Dzoka Barreau du Togo

Kao Palanga

Koffi Houndebasso Société Togolaise de l'Evaluation

Kossi Tarkpessi Ministere de la Sante

Kounde Innocent Kpeto

Simliwa Kolou Dassa Unversité de Lomé

Yawo Apélété Agbobli Chu Sylvanus Olympio

Anonymous Contributors

Trinidad and Tobago

Asaf Hosein

Christopher Yaw Socialis Ingeniarius Firm

Jerome F. Maxime Girwar and Deonarine

Kaveeta Persad Fitzwilliam, Stone, Furness-Smith & Morgan

Keri A. Kitson

L. Samuel Harrison Mair and Company

Shastri Vedanta Christopher Parsad Shastri Parsad & Associates, Attorneys-at-Law

Sonnel David-Longe M.G. Daly and Partners

Tamara A. Jackson

Anonymous Contributors

Tunisia

Amine Hamdi Hamdi Law Office

Elies Ben Letaifa Juris International

Elyes Trabelsi Ordre des Avocats de Tunisie

Hechmi Louzir Institut Pasteur de Tunis

Khadija Anane

Labidi Ahmed Ordre des Avocats de Tunisie

Mohamed Amine Kchouk

Mohamed Raouf ElHeni Eversheds Sutherland El Heni

Nadhir Ben Ammou

Nadhir Ben Yedder Ben Yedder et Associes Avocats Conseils

Nesrine El Amri Cabinet d'Avocat Nesrine El Amri Nizar Sdiri

Radhouane Elayba

Ridha Mezghani

Yassine Guemri

Eversheds Sutherland El Heni

Anonymous Contributors

Turkey

Demirhan Burak Çelik Galatasaray University

Ece Göztepe Bilkent University

Erdem Çağlar

Esenyel Barak Bal Ak Barak Attorney Partnership

Fatih Selim Yurdakul Yurdakul Law Office

Filiz Tepecik Anadolu Universitesi

Mahmut Kacan M.K. Law Office

Murat Volkan Dülger İstanbul Aydın University

Mustafa Cem Yeniaras Yeniaras Attorneys at Law

Nuray Gökçek Karaca

Istanbul Medipol University

İstanbul Medipol University

Anadolu Universitesi

Omer Atac

Osman Hayran

R. Murat Önok

Savas Bozbel

Ufuk Aydin

Turkish Press Council

Bozbel Law & Consulting

Şule Özsoy Boyunsuz

Galatasaray University

İstanbul Aydin University

Anonymous Contributors

WJP Rule of Law Index 2023 211

Uganda

Alexander Kibandama Ortus Advocates

Alunga Patrick Alvarez Alvarez Advocates

Atim Evelyn Bigirwaruhanga & Atim Advocates

Bernard Mukasa Ortus Advocates

Brigitte Kusiima Byarugaba Shonubi, Musoke & Co. Advocates

Emmanuel Luyirika African Palliative Care Association

Hasfa Namulindwa Katende, Ssempebwa & Co. Advocates

Irene Priscilla Ayaru Daly Lynch Crowe and Morris Solicitors

Isaac Newton Kyagaba Dentons, Kyagaba & Otatiina Advocates

Joseph Matsiko Kampala Associated Advocates

Kallu C. Kalumiya Kampala Associated Advocates

Laura Nyirinkindi Pro Initiatives Agency

Lubang Vincent Losio Enreach Foundation; Kingston Law Services

Robert Mugisa

Ronald Mutalya Mutalya & Co. Advocates

Ronald Serwanga East African Law Society

Seth Nimwesiga Center for Health, Human Rights and Development (CEHURD)

Anonymous Contributors

Ukraine

Andrey Guk Romodanov Neurosurgery Institute, National Academy of Medical Sciences of Ukraine

Anna Sakalosh Ivan Franko National University of Lviv

lgor Svechkar Asters

Ivan Horodyskyy Dnistrianskyi Center for Law and Politics

Konstantin Nadutyy The Coordinating Council of the Ukrainian Medical Expert Community

Kriachkova Lilia Viktorivna Dnipro State Medical University

Liudmyla Hrytsenko Dnipro State Medical University

Oleksandr Skliarenko Skliarenko, Sydorenko and Partners, Attorneys at Law

Olexander Martinenko Kinstellar

Serhii Andriienko

Valeriia Lekhan Dnipro State Medical University

Yaroslav Shkvorets

Zoryana Skaletska National University Kyiv-Mohyla Academy

Anonymous Contributors

United Arab Emirates

Ahmed Eldakak UAE University

Ahmed Khedr KLCCA Legal & Corporate Affairs

Ghassa Atris

Al-Aidarous Advocates and Legal Consultants

Jayadevan Sreedharan Gulf Medical University

Michael Krämer Meyer-Reumann & Partners

Mirza R. Baig Dubai Pharmacy College for Girls

Nasiruddeen Muhammad University of Dubai

Stuart Paterson HSF

Anonymous Contributors

United Kingdom

David Josse 5 St Andrew's Hill Chambers

Helen Coombes Lewis Silkin LLP

Jan van Zyl Smit Bingham Centre for the Rule of Law

Jill Stavert Edinburgh Napier University

Rebecca Niblock Kingsley Napley LLP

Richard Ashcroft City, University of London

Richard Whitecross Edinburgh Napier University

Steven Lorber Lewis Silkin LLP

Tonia Novitz University of Bristol

Tony Ward Northumbria University

Anonymous Contributors

United States

André Douglas Pond Cummings University of Arkansas at Little Rock, William H. Bowen School of Law

Bruce P. Frohnen Ohio Northern University

Christopher David Ruiz Cameron Southwestern Law School

David C. Yamada Suffolk University

Elise Groulx Diggs Georgetown Law Center

Emiliano Baidenbaum Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Eric M. Schweiker Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Erin Rodgers Rodgers Selvera, PLLC

Georges C. Benjamin American Public Health Association

Gustavo Marin Garcia The Law Office of Gustavo Marin Garcia

Hal Kauffeldt Hewlett Packard Enterprise

James H. Pietsch University of Hawaii

Jeff Aresty InternetBar.org Institute, Inc.

John Pollock National Coalition for a Civil Right to Counsel

John R. LaBar LaBAR Law PLLC

Jon Hiatt International Lawyers Assisting Workers (ILAW) Network; Solidarity Center

Kepler B. Funk Funk, Szachacz & Diamond LLC **Leonard A. Sandler** University of Iowa, Law and Policy In Action Clinic

Michael Felsen Justice at Work

Michele Forzley

Paul Bender Arizona State University

Renaldy J. Gutierrez Gutierrez & Associates

Renée M. Landers Suffolk University

Ricks P. Frazier

Robert J. Colllins University of Pennsylvania

Rovshan Sharifov Sharifov and Associates, PLLC

Roy M. Poses Brown University

Stephen A. Saltzburg The George Washington University

Stephen C. Veltri Ohio Northern University

Stephen Corbin

Timothy E. Dolan DMS Foresight Academy

Anonymous Contributors

Uruguay

Alejandro Santi Estefan Arrighi, Mantero & Santi Abogados

Claudia Arriaga Villamil Universidad de la República

Eduardo Esteva-Gallicchio

Centro de Documentación y Estudios Constitucionales del Uruguay

Escandor El Ters Instituto Nacional del Cancer, Montevideo

Facundo Chávez Fernández Universidad de la República Juan Andrés Fuentes Arcia Storace Fuentes Medina Abogados

Juan Diego Menghi Adders

Julio Lens

Julio Soffer

Leonardo Slinger Guyer & Regules

Magela Ramón Hughes & Hughes

Manuel Reyes Puig Estudio Reyes Rius; Intrust Business Solutions

María Durán Hughes & Hughes

Martín Fridman Ferrere Abogados

Martín Risso Universidad Católica del Uruguay

Natalia Veloso Delpiazzo Abogados

Nils Helander Universidad de la República

Santiago Pereira Campos Universidad de Montevideo

Santiago Theoduloz Theoduloz & Arroyo

Tomás Guerrero Estudio Posadas, Posadas & Vecino

Anonymous Contributors

Uzbekistan

Allan Pashkovskiy Acceptus Law Firm

Aziz Sattarov Acceptus Law Firm

Iskander Yakubov Law and Business Consulting Agency AB (LBCA)

Madina Tursunova

Serikbek Alpamysovich Murataev Tashkent State University of Law

Anonymous Contributors

Venezuela, RB

Alejandro Gallotti LEGA Abogados

Alexander Marcano Montero Lawyers Group, Despacho de Abogados

Alfredo Romero Foro Penal

Alí Daniels Acceso a la Justicia

Alvaro Badell Madrid Badell & Grau Despacho de Abogados

Andres Halvorssen RDHOO Abogados

Antonio Silva Aranguren CIDEP

Carlos D. Atencio Blackman

Carolina Giron Observatorio Venezolano de Prisiones

Edgar Eduardo Berroterán

Fatima Camirra

Franco Puppio UCAB

> Frank M. Vicent Gómez CVA Abogados

Franklin Teodoro Hoet Linares Bloque Constitucional

Fredy Martinez Martinez Diaz Firma de Abogados

Gonzalo Himiob Santomé Foro Penal

Gustavo Adolfo Urbano Zabala Travieso Evans Arria Rengel & Paz Ivan D. Paredes Calderon Martinez & Paredes Abogados

Jaime Martinez Estevez Rodner, Martinez & Asociados

Jesus Escudero Universidad Central de Venezuela

Jorge Rosell Senhenn

José Antonio De Sousa Cumbrado Torres Plaz & Araujo

José Manuel Ortega Pérez *Palacios Ortega y Asociados*

Juan Alberto Berríos Ortigoza Universidad del Zulia

Juan Carlos Garantón-Blanco Universidad Católica Andrés Bello

Juan Carlos Sainz Borgo University for Peace

Juan Domingo

Alfonzo Paradisi Universidad Central de Venezuela

Juan Manuel Raffalli Raffalli de Lemos Abogados

Karla Sáez Rodríguez ESC+G Abogados

Laura Louza Acceso a la Justicia

Lolymar Hernández Camargo Universidad Católica Andrés Bello

Luis Petit Guerra Monteavila University

Mariela Molero Fundación Mariela Molero

Nergan Pérez

Oscar Eduardo Rivero López *Rivero & Asociados, Abogados Consultores*

Pedro Prato Alvarez

Rafael de Lemos Matheus Raffalli de Lemos Halvorssen Ortega y Ortiz

Raul Sanchez Urribarri La Trobe University

Roberto Hung Cultura Jurídica ORG

Rosa Virginia Superlano LEGA Abogados

Simon Jurado-Blanco Sandoval Jurado-Blanco & Aguirre Abogados (JBA Legal)

Tadeo Arrieche Franco Venpaís, Centro de Ideas

Tulio Alberto Álvarez-Ramos Universidad Católica Andrés Bello; Universidad Central de Venezuela

Víctor Rafael Hernández-Mendible Universidad Monteávila

Victor Rujano Bautista Asociación Civil CIMAS

Victoria Capriles La Universidad Metropolitana

Yeoshua Bograd Lamberti Travieso Evans Arria Rengel & Paz

Anonymous Contributors

Vietnam

Danny Duy Vo Santa International Law PLLC

Do Thi Hang ANT Lawyers

Dzung Vu YKVN

Ho Thi Tram ADK Vietnam Lawyers

Huu Nhi Ngo Thien An Law Office

Howard & Marietta Legal

Anonymous Contributors

Huu Phuoc Nguyen Phuoc & Partners Law Firm

Kent Wong VCI Legal LLP

Le Van Thanh SureLaw Law Firm

Pham Thi Thoa Apolat Legal Law Firm

Tho Nguyen Truong Dai Nghia Law Office

Tra Thu Thao SureLaw Law Firm

Tran Thi Kieu Tran The Lam Law LLC

Tuan Phung VCI Legal LLP

Anonymous Contributors

Zambia

Anne Namakando-Phiri Dovecot College

Arthur Mazimba

Ernest Muketoi Beele University of Zambia

Fredrick Mudenda University of Zambia

John Chibalabala John Chibalabala Legal Practitioners

Martha S. Namwila-Mwala Corpus Legal Practitioners

Mehluli Malisa Batakathi Muyatwa Legal Practitioners

Sebastian Chinkoyo Ministry of Health, Ndola

Corpus Legal Practitioners

Sydney Chisenga

Tino Maliselo

Ministry of Health

Tiziana Marietta

Practitioners

Zimbabwe

Andrew Makoni Mbidzo, Muchadehama & Makoni Legal Practitioners

Brighton Mahuni Scanlen & Holderness

Casper Pound Family Aids Support Organisation (FASO)

Chiratidzo Ellen Ndhlovu University of Zimbabwe

David Ochieng

David Peter Drury Honey & Blanckenberg

David Tinashe Hofisi University of Wisconsin -Madison

Doug Coltart Mtetwa & Nyambirai Legal Practitioners

Evans Talent Moyo

Faro Mahere Gill, Godlonton & Gerrans

Jeremiah Mutongi Bamu Mbidzo, Muchadehama & Makoni Legal Practitioners

Johannes Marisa Westview Medical Clinic

Jonh Makanda Kantor and Immerman Legal Practitioners

Maxwell Musingafi Riverflow Global Consultants

Mordecai Pilate Mahlangu Gill, Godlonton & Gerrans

Mufaro Mpofu SEJA

Obey Shava Shava Law Chambers, Rights and Business Centre

Philip G. Nyakutombwa Nyakutombwa Legal Counsel

Simplicio Bhebhe Kantor and Immerman Legal Practitioners Tawanda Tandi Kantor and Immerman Legal Practitioners

Stephen Junior Alumenda

Gill, Godlonton & Gerrans

Tatenda Nyamayaro

Terence Hussein Hussein and Co.

Wellington Chimwaradze

Anonymous Contributors

Acknowledgments

The World Justice Project's Honorary Chairs, Directors, Officers, Staff, Financial Supporters, and Sponsoring Organizations are listed in the last section of this report. Polling companies, research organizations, and contributing experts are listed in the Methodology section of this report.

Individual Advisors

Mark David Agrast; Maurits Barendrecht, Tilburg University: Tim Besley, London School of Economics: Juan Carlos Botero, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana: Paul Brest, Stanford University; Jose Caballero, IMD Business School: Giulio Caperna, EU-JRC: Thomas Carothers, Carnegie Endowment for International Peace; Eduardo Cifuentes, Universidad de los Andes; Christine M. Cole, Crime & Justice Institute; Mariano-Florentino Cuellar, Carnegie Endowment for International Peace: Nicolas Dassen, Inter-American Development Bank; Larry Diamond, Stanford University; Sandra Elena; Brad Epperly, University of British Columbia; Julio Faundez, Warwick University; Hazel Feigenblatt, University of Maryland; Todd Foglesong, Munk School of Global Affairs at the University of Toronto; Tom Ginsburg, University of Chicago; Joseph Foti, Open Government Partnership; James Goldston, Open Society Foundation; Jorge Gonzalez, Universidad Javeriana; Jon Gould, Arizona State University; Martin Gramatikov, HiiL; Brendan Halloran, Transparency and Accountability Initiative; Linn Hammergren; Tim Hanstad; Wassim Harb, Arab Center for the Development of Rule of Law and Integrity: Nathaniel Heller: Susan Hirsch, George Mason University; Ronald Janse, University of Amsterdam Law School: Erik G. Jensen, Stanford University; Haroon Khadim, PAE; Rachel Kleinfeld, Carnegie Endowment for International Peace; Jack Knight, Duke University; Harold H. Koh, Yale University; Matija Kovacic, EU-JRC; Aart Kraay, The World Bank; Margaret Levi, Stanford University; Iris Litt Stanford University: Clare Lockhart The Institute for State Effectiveness; Zsuzsanna Lonti, OECD; Diego Lopez, Universidad de los Andes; William T. Loris, Lovola University: Lauren E. Loveland, National Democratic Institute (NDI); Paul Maassen, Open Government Partnership; Beatriz Magaloni, Stanford University; Jenny S. Martinez, Stanford University; Toby McIntosh, FreedomInfo.org; Toby Mendel, Centre for Law and Democracy; Nicholas Menzies, The World Bank; Ghada Moussa, Cairo University; Sam Muller, HiiL; Robert L. Nelson, American Bar Foundation and Northwestern University: Harris Pastides, University of South Carolina; Randal Peerenboom, La Trobe University and Oxford University; Angela Pinzon, Universidad del Rosario; Pascoe Pleasence, University

College London; Shannon Portillo, George Mason University; Michael H. Posner, New York University; Roy L. Prosterman, University of Washington; Anita Ramasastry, University of Washington; Audrey Sacks, The World Bank; Lutforahman Saeed, Kabul University; Michaela Saisana, EU-JRC; Andrea Saltelli, EU-JRC; Moises Sanchez, Alianza Regional por la Libertad de Expresión; Andrei Shleifer, Harvard University; Jorge Luis Silva, Secretaria de Economia; Christopher Stone, Oxford University; Stefan Voigt, University of Hamburg; Barry Weingast, Stanford University; Michael Woolcock, The World Bank.

Institutional Contributors and Advisors

Altus Global Alliance; APCO Worldwide; Fleishman-Hillard; The Center for Advanced Study in the Behavioral Sciences, Stanford University: The Center on Democracy. Development, and the Rule of Law. Stanford University: The German Bar Association in Brussels; Governance Data Alliance; Google Inc.; The Hague Institute for Innovation of Law (HiiL); Investigative Reporting Program, UC Berkeley Graduate School of Journalism; The Legal Department of Hewlett Packard Enterprise: The Legal Department of Microsoft Corporation; The Whitney and Betty MacMillan Center for International and Area Studies, Yale University: Rule of Law Collaborative, University of South Carolina; The University of Chicago Law School; Vera Institute of Justice; The Wright Center for the Study of Computation and Just Communities.

About the World Justice Project

The World Justice Project (WJP) is an independent, multidisciplinary organization working to create knowledge, build awareness, and stimulate action to advance the rule of law worldwide. Effective rule of law reduces corruption, combats poverty and disease, and protects people from injustices large and small. It underpins development, accountable government, and respect for fundamental rights, and it is the foundation for communities of justice, opportunity, and peace.

Our Approach

Traditionally, the rule of law has been viewed as the domain of lawyers and judges. However, everyday issues of safety, rights, justice, and governance affect us all; everyone is a stakeholder in the rule of law. Based on this, the WJP builds and supports a global, multi-disciplinary movement for the rule of law by:

Collecting, organizing, and analyzing original, independent rule of law data, including the *WJP Rule of Law Index*;

Supporting research, scholarship, and teaching about the importance of rule of law, its relationship to development, and effective strategies to strengthen it; and

Connecting and building an engaged global

network of policy-makers and advocates to advance the rule of law through strategic partnerships, convenings, coordinated advocacy, and support for locally-led initiatives, including through the World Justice Forum and the World Justice Challenge, a competition to identify, recognize, and promote good practices and successful solutions for strengthening the rule of law worldwide.

Honorary Chairs

The World Justice Project has the support of outstanding leaders representing a range of disciplines around the world. The Honorary Chairs of the World Justice Project are:

Giuliano Amato; Robert Badinter; James A. Baker III; Cherie Blair; Stephen G. Breyer; Sharan Burrow; David Byrne; Jimmy Carter; Maria Livanos Cattaui; Hans Corell; Hilario G. Davide, Jr.; Hernando de Soto; Adama Dieng; Richard J. Goldstone; Kunio Hamada; Lee H. Hamilton; Mohamed Ibrahim; Tassaduq Hussain Jillani; Anthony M. Kennedy; Beverley McLachlin; George J. Mitchell; Sandra Day O'Connor; Ana Palacio; Roy L. Prosterman; Richard W. Riley; Mary Robinson; Antonio Vitorino; Harold Woolf; and Andrew Young.

Board of Directors

Sheikha Abdulla Al-Misnad; Kamel Ayadi; Adam Bodnar; Michael Chu; William C. Hubbard; Hassan Bubacar Jallow; Suet-Fern Lee; Mondli Makhanya; M. Margaret McKeown; John Nery; William H. Neukom; Ellen Gracie Northfleet; and James R. Silkenat.

Directors Emeriti

Ashraf Ghani Ahmadzai Emil Constantinescu Petar Stoyanov

Rule of Law Leadership Council

Beverley McLachlin, Co-Chair; Judy Perry Martinez, Co-Chair; Kerry Abrams; Paige Alexander; Bernard Amyot; Donald Ayer; Cherie Blair; Nicola Bonucci; Nouzha Chekrouni; Antony Cook; Halimah DeLaine Prado; Tom Dery; Adam Goldenberg; Karen F. Green; Tim Hanstad; Mo Ibrahim; Jeffrey Jowell; Paul Lawrence; Ian McDougall; Judith A. Miller; Ali H. Mokdad; Michael Posner; Regan Ralph; David S. Steuer; and David K.Y. Tang.

Officers and Staff

Officers: William C. Hubbard, Co-Founder and Chairman of the Board; William H. Neukom, Co-Founder and CEO; Mark D. Agrast, Vice President; Deborah Enix-Ross, Vice President; Judy Perry Martinez, Vice President; Nancy Ward, Vice President; James R. Silkenat, Director and Treasurer; and Gerold W. Libby, General Counsel and Secretary.

Staff, Consultants, and Interns: Elizabeth Andersen, Executive Director; Amy Gryskiewicz, Chief of Staff and Operations; Mark Lewis, Chief of Public Sector Partnerships; Alejandro Ponce, Chief Research Officer; Richard Schorr, Chief Financial Officer; James van der Klok, Chief of Philanthropic Partnerships; Tanya Weinberg, Chief Communications Officer; Said Aarji; Sally Aldrich; Daniela Barba; Marta Basystiuk; Hailey Bouwman; Abigail Boyce; Erin Campbell; Ana Cárdenas; Estefany Caudillo; Lilian Chapa Koloffon; Maria Chavarria; Lloyd Cleary; Avery Comar; Miguel Contreras; John Cullen; Shallum David; James Davis; Christine Detz; Giacomo D'Urbano; Alicia Evangelides; Allyse Feitzinger; Vianney Fernández; Marco Ivan Figueroa; Renae Ford; Joshua Fuller; Amir Galván; Alejandro González Arreola; Lucía Estefanía González Medel; Eréndira González Portillo; Kirssy González; Issa Guerra; Fernando Gutierrez O.; Dalia Habiby; Shakhlo Hasanova; Irene Heras; Roberto Hernández; Grace Hulseman; Skve Jacobs: Natalia Jardon: Verónica Jaso; Osvaldo Jiménez; Alphina Kamara; Lauren Kitz; Ally Knapp; Lauren Littlejohn; Mariana López; Debby Manley; Gabriela Marquez; Olimpia Martínez; Raquel Medina; Ignacio Miranda; María José Montiel; Ana María Montoya; Gustavo Núñez Peralta; Fernando Omedé; María Fernanda Ortega; Horacio Ortiz; Santiago Pardo; Enrique Paulin; Artha Pillai; Srirak Plipat; Stephanie Presch; Tanya Primiani; Hannah Rigazzi; Marien Rivera; Mario Rodríguez; Natalia Rodríguez Cajamarca; Juan Salgado; Alicia Segovia; Nikta Shahbaz; Leslie Solís Saravia; Helen Souki Reyes; Victoria Thomaides; Carlos Toruño; Paulina Vega; Sergio Villanueva; Katrina Wanner; and Moss Woodbury.

World Justice Project Funders

The World Justice Project thanks the following major 2022-2023 donors whose support makes our work possible:

Institutional Donors 2022-2023

American Bar Association Section of Environment, Energy & Resources Carnegie Mellon University The Chandler Foundation The Charles Stewart Mott Foundation The Hewlett Foundation The Lawrence Foundation Luminate Mo Ibrahim Foundation Neukom Family Foundation The Open Society Foundations

Private Sector Partnership for the Rule of Law 2022-2023

Arnold & Porter Google Hewlett Packard Enterprise (HPE) K&L Gates LLP LexisNexis Rule of Law Foundation Microsoft Corporation Shell Global Uber Wilson Sonsini Foundation

Additional Private Sector Support 2022-2023

Cooley Fredrikson & Byron Jupitice Pacifica Law Group Perkins Coie LLP Zuber Lawler

Major Individual Donors 2022-2023

Mark Agrast and David Hollis Sheikha Abdulla Al-Misnad Bernard Amyot Elizabeth Andersen and Stephen Pomper Anne Avis Elizabeth F. Bagley Margaret Breen and Stewart Landefeld Cindy and Bob Carlson Patricia Casale and Gary Gut Stephan Coonrod and Cheryl Clark Kelly Cullinane Eileen and John Donahoe Deborah Enix-Ross Barbara and R. Bradford Evans Suzanne E. Gilbert Karen Green The Haldeman Family Foundation David A. Heiner Samuel D. Heins Ruth Holzer and Michael Byowitz Lynn Hubbard and David A. Zapolsky Kappy and William C. Hubbard R. William Ide Jean Johnson and Peter Miller Cynthia Jones and Paul Lawrence P.K. Kilty and Stoddard Lambertson Linda Klein and Michael S. Neuren The Lawrence Foundation Suet-Fern Lee Gerold W. Libby Julie and Tom Lombardo Karla Kurbjun Mathews M. Margaret McKeown Sally and William H. Neukom Ellen Gracie Northfleet Judy Perry Martinez Michelle and Karl Quackenbush Suzanne Ragen Lucy Reed Lesley and Ted Rosenthal Paula Selis and Jonathan Fine Barbara Shufro and David Steuer James R. Silkenat John H. Stout Tonia and Robert B. Strassler David K.Y. Tang Lucy Vance James van der Klok Nancy Ward and Tobias Bright David Weiner Stephen Zack

A current list of funders can be found at worldjusticeproject.org.

More from the World Justice Project





World Justice Forum 2022 MAY 30 - JUNE 3 | THE HAGUE, NETHERLANDS & ONLINE



WJP Rule of Law Index 2023 Insights Highlights and data trends from the WJP Rule of Law Index 2023

2022 Forum Outcome Report

WJP Mexico States Rule of Law Index 2022-2023 Perceptions and experiences in 32 states



Latin America and the Caribbean Country Reports 2023





Corruption in the Caribbean 2023

WJP Justice Data Graphical Report I 2023

For more information or to read these reports, visit worldjusticeproject.org/our-work



(i) worldjusticeproject.org

facebook.com/thewjp

X.com/theWJP



Scan the QR code or visit worldjusticeproject.org/index to view our interactive data portal.

Washington, DC

Singapore

Mexico City